Breast Cancer Survival in Ontario, 1985 to 2005

Evidence of Equitable Advances Across Most Diverse Urban and Rural Places

Co-Investigators

Karen Fung, Madhan Balagurusamy and Aziz Mohammad, Biostatisticians, University of Windsor, Ontario

Isaac Luginaah and Kathy Tang, Geographers, University of Western Ontario

Emma Bartfay, Epidemiologist and Biostatistician, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

Caroline Hamm and Frances Wright, Oncologists, Windsor Regional Cancer Center and Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center, Toronto, Ontario

Eric Holowaty, Senior Scientist, Cancer Care Ontario

Why study breast cancer? It's a *sentinel* health care quality indicator.

Relatively common over the life course

Effective screens (including primary care) and treatments exist.

Timely diagnosis and access to the best available treatments matter.

Excellent prognoses expected: Long survival & high quality of survivable life

Historical-Political Canadian Context: Past 20 Years

Significant uptake and advancement of breast cancer screening and increasingly effective treatments

Anecdotes about Canadian health care failures abound.

- Long waits
- Scare resources (physicians & equipment)

Some surveys have suggested increasing dissatisfaction with the health care system.

Political rhetoric advocating for 'American-like' private enterprise solutions to such woes has become prevalent.

Historical-Scientific Context in Ontario: Past 20 Years

Mid-1980s to early-1990s: Series of metropolitan analyses

- No observed SES-breast cancer survival gradients
- 2 later studies equivocal: 1 significant, 1 null
- Has socioeconomic equity been maintained?

Later-1980s to mid-1990s: Province wide analyses

- Small SES-breast cancer survival gradients observed
- Very diverse places are lumped together though.
- Where are there survival disadvantages?

Research Questions

Given the significant improvement in breast cancer survival during the past generation:

- 1. Has this medical advance been enjoyed equitably by women across Ontario's diverse places: very small to very large cities, towns and villages, and rural and remote places?
- 2. Can personal income levels explain any observed place disadvantages?



Comparison of Historical Cohorts: Mid-1990s Followed to 2005 Versus Mid-1980s Followed to 1995

Copyright 2007, Kevin M. Gorey, gorey@uwindsor.ca

Sample Design

Ontario Cancer Registry

- 98% complete
- Very high demonstrated validity

29,934 women, 25 years of age and older with primary invasive breast cancer

Places defined by Statistics & Health Canada

 Income measure: Census tract prevalence of low-income households

Place Definitions: Place

City of Toronto Remainder GMT Large cities **Mid-sized cities Small cities** Very small cities Towns & villages Rural Remote Very remote **Extremely remote**

Population

2.5 million 2.5 million 500,000 to 1 million 250,000 to 499,999 100,000 to 249,999 50,000 to 99,999 10,000 to 49,999 < 10,000 & < 400/km² 100-199 km from city 200-299 km from city 300+ km from city

Results

Copyright 2007, Kevin M. Gorey, gorey@uwindsor.ca

5-Year Breast Cancer

1 -

Place	Survival Advance (%)
City of Toronto	19
Other GMT	22
Large cities	20
Mid-size cities	23
Small cities	18
Very small cities (6%)	11
Towns & villages	22
Rural	16
Remote	17
Very remote	14
Extremely remote (< 1	%) 51

 \sim

Two More Exemplary Findings

1980s Greater Metropolitan Toronto

- Complete absence of income gradient
- Matchless in worldwide public health annals

1990s Extremely Remote Areas of Ontario

- Absence of income gradient
- Survival experience similar to Toronto's

Both demonstrations of equity stand in stark contrast to contemporaneous findings in similar US places.

Discussion

Copyright 2007, Kevin M. Gorey, gorey@uwindsor.ca

Summary/Conclusion

Women with breast cancer in nearly all of Ontario's diverse places have equitably enjoyed contemporary survival advances.

Small inequities seem unrelated to personal economic resources.

The most likely explanation for such remarkably equitable advances seems to be Canada's single-payer, universally accessible health care system.

Community Resources: Health Care Service Endowments in Areas

What may account for the observed patterns of relatively better or worse survival advancements in certain places?

Extremely remote areas (1%)

 Cancer Care Ontario instituted screening and treatment outreach in remote areas in 1990s

Small cities (6%)

 Advocates have suggested long waits secondary to resource problems (physicians & equipment)

Our Group's Future Research

International Cancer Care Database (under construction)

- Diverse places in California & Ontario
- Breast & colon cancer
- Retrospective & prospective, 1985 to 2010
- Personal & community resources
 - Wait times
 - Primary care and specialist physician supplies
 - Investigative and treatment equipment

Hypotheses:

- Community resources more predictive in Canada
- Personal resources more predictive in America