Readiness To Change HIV/HCV Risk Behavior Among Young African American IDUs.

Edward V Morse, PhD, Tulane University Health Sciences Center, Department of Pediatrics, New Orleans, LA, Patricia M Morse, LCSW, PhD, and Stan Hoogerwerf, MA, LSU Health Sciences Center, Department of Psychiatry New Orleans, LA, Samuel Burgess, MA, MPH Louisiana Office of Public Health

Objective:

To examine the differential effects of self-efficacy and self-esteem on stimulating readiness to change HIV/HCV sexual and drug use risk behaviors in a cohort of young African American IDUs participating in a longitudinal study comparing the relative efficacy of three HIV/HCV isk reduction interventions.

part of a National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) - func reduction intervention study, 228 young incarcerate can American IDUs were tested for HBV and HCV (Abb oratories) and interviewed using an audio comput sted interview schedule (ACASI) designed w estionnaire Development System (QDS) software. Da ected included participant demographics, high risk inject ctices including sharing syringes, cookers, cotton, rir er, and backloading, high risk sexual behavior practic vell as measures of readiness to change, self efficacy a esteem.

aseline participants were randomized into one of three rvention arms:

Basic counseling and testing arm with pre and post test nseling using a standard CDC protocol

Pharmacy Syringe Purchase– Participants were taugh to purchase syringes at pharmacies (permitted by isiana law) without calling attention to themselves and atening pharmacy personnel

3I- Brief client centered behavioral intervention using ivational Interviewing techniques

ee Measures of Readiness to Change

- ention not to Share Syringes
- ention to Purchase Syringes at a Pharmacy
- ention to use Condoms
- h was measured at baseline and 6 month follow-up using 5 point scale ranging from 1=never to 5=always

ction Risk Scale-- measured at baseline and 6 month w-up. The scale is a summary measure of the otomous responses (0=no, 1=yes) to 5 self reported ction risk behaviors (range 0-5; Chronbach's alpha=.86)

- aring Syringes
- aring Cookers
- aring Rinse Water
- aring Cotton
- ckloading

hods: Self Esteem and Self Efficacy measured at eline and 6 month follow-up

<u>F-Esteem</u> – (Rosenberg, 1965) – 10 item scale where icipants are asked to respond to questions about nselves on a 4 point scale ranging from (0) strongly agree to (3) strongly agree Chronbach's alpha = .82; rang D. Example: "I feel that I have a number of good qualities

<u>**Efficacy– HIV/HCV</u>– 2 item scale- self report of self** cacy related to prevention of HIV/HCV infection; range fro ow self efficacy to 6= high self efficacy; Chronbach's na=.74. Example: "How much can you do to keep from ing HIV/AID?" and "How much can you do to keep from ing Hepatitis C?"</u>

sults:

the 228 participants interviewed at baseline, 7 we eased at 6 month follow-up, 28 were re-incarcerated a were lost to follow-up. With the exception of gender the re no differences in the demographics of participar opleting only baseline data and those completing baseline and 6 month follow-up (Table 1). Similarly the re no differences in baseline and 6 month participar inacteristics across intervention arms (Table 2). ble 1 Comparison of Participants who completed 6 month follow-up d those who completed only baseline interviews

naracteristic	Baseline	6 month	statistic
	(N=69)	(N=159)	
ean Age	25.09	24.74	t=.774
ean # incarcerations	9.22	9.20	t=.007
an age 1 st injection	20.46	20.48	t=.045
an times in drug Tx	1.81	1.42	t=1.41
an mo. Income	\$1249.00	\$1138.48	t=.618
ale	64(92.8%)	159(100%)	<i>x</i> ² =11.78
ngle never married	48(69.6%)	126(79.2%)	<i>x</i> ² =4.71
High School	41(59%)	110(69%)	<i>x</i> ² =4.89
meless	12(17.4%)	36(22.6%)	<i>x</i> ² =.798
CV+	46(67.6%)	109(69.4%)	<i>x</i> ² =.070
3V+	1(1.5%)	9(5.7%)	<i>X</i> ² =++
. _			

)5

Chi square not computed due to cell count less than 5

and those who completed only baseline interviews

haracteristic	Only Base	<u>statistic</u>	
	(N=69)	(N=159)	
tervention Arm			
Control	24(34.8%)	65(40.9%)	<i>x</i> ² =.07
PSP	31(44.9%)	62(39.0%)	$x^2 = .07$
CCBI	14(20.3%)	32(20.1%)	$x^2 = .07$

05

Chi square not computed due to cell count less than 5

ble 2 Descriptive characteristics by intervention arm at follow-up

naracteristic	СТ	PCP	CCBI	statistic
	(N=65)	(N=62)	(N=32)	
ean Age	24.35	25.18	24.69	f=1.14
ean # incarcerations	8.07	11.38	7.00	f=1.26
an age 1 st injection	19.91	21.20	20.26	f=1.91
ean times in drug Tx	1.40	1.57	1.16	f=1.41
an mo. Income	\$952.21	\$1133.03	\$1522.31	f=2.58
ale	65(92.8%)	62(100%)	32(100%)	<i>x</i> ²=na
ngle never married	46(70.8%)	51(82.3%)	29(90,6%)	<i>x</i> ² =5.70
High School	44(67.7%)	44(71.0%)	22(68.8%)	<i>x</i> ² =.163
meless	17(26.2%)	11(17.7%)	8 (25%)	<i>x</i> ² =1.41
CV+	47(74.6%)	42(67.7%)	20 (62.5%)	<i>x</i> ² =1.60
3V+	4(6.3%)	4(6.5%)	1 (3.1%)	<i>X</i> ² =++

Chi square not computed due to cell count less than 5

ble 3 High Risk Injection Practices at baseline and 6 month followby intervention arm

naracteristic	СТ	PCP	CCBI	statistic
ared Syringes				
baseline	28(43.0%)	29(47.0%)	15(47.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =4.64
6mo follow up	11(17.0%)	9(15.0%)	9(28.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =2.91
ared Cookers				
baseline	29(44.6%)	34(54.8%)	15(46.9%)	<i>x</i> ² =1.40
6mo follow up	16(25.0%)	21(34.0%)	6(19.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =3.76
ared Rinse Water				
baseline	27(41.5%)	28(45.2%)	16(50.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =.632
6mo follow up	12(18.0%)	16(26.0%)	6(19.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =.539
ared Cotton				
baseline	26(40.0%)	28(45.2%)	13(40.6%)	<i>x</i> ² =.384
6mo follow up	14(22.0%)	15(24.0%)	6(19.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =.539
ckloaded				
baseline	18(27.7%)	23(37.1%)	9(28.1%)	<i>x</i> ² =1.51
6mo follow up	7(11.0%)	10(16.0%)	8(25.0%)	<i>x</i> ² =3.21
ean Injection Risk Sc	ore			
baseline	1.97	2.29	2.13	f=.424
6 month follow-up	Copyright 2007, Edward $_923$	Morse, gbear@tulane.edu	1.09	f=.311

High Risk Injection Practices

As Table 3 demonstrates, all participants significantly reduced their high risk injecting practices

Changes in high risk injecting practices were equivocal across intervention arms

ble 4 Readiness to change risk behavior at baseline and 6 month low-up by intervention arm

haracteristicCTPCPCCBIstatistic(N=65)(N=62)(N=32)

an not to share needles in future

baseline	62(95.4%)	62(100%)	32(100%)	<i>x</i> ² =.1.50
6mo follow up	61(93.8%)	61(98.4%)	31(96.9%)	<i>x</i> ² =.972

an to use pharmacies to purchase syringes

baseline	8(12.3%)	17(27.4%)	5(15.6%)	<i>x</i> ² =15.74
6mo follow up	24(36.9%)	25(40.3%)	12(37.5%)	<i>x</i> ² =1.41

an to use condoms in the future

baseline	47(72.3%)	46(74.2%)	25(78.1%)	<i>x</i> ² =6.17
6 month follow-up	42(64.6%)	39(62.9%)	20(62.5%)	<i>x</i> ² =.725

ble 4 Self Esteem, and HIV/HCV Self Efficacy by Intervention Arm signment

haracteristic CT PCP CCBI statistic ean Self Esteem Score 19.02 18.34 18.91 f=.220 6mo follow up 17.05 17.79 19.00 f=.876

ean HIV/HCV Self Efficacy Score

baseline5.005.404.75f=1.8076mo follow up4.485.035.06f=1.564

Efficacy HIV/HCV Risk Behavior and Readiness to Change Risk Behavior

- Self esteem and HIV/HCV self efficacy are significantly positively correlated at both baseline an six-month follow-up (r=.23 p \leq .01; r=.43 p \leq .01)
- Self esteem is significantly inversely correlated to injection risk behavior scores at 6 month follow-up (r=.24 p \leq .01)
- Self esteem is significantly positively correlated with intention to not share syringes (r=.20 p \leq .05), intention to use pharmacies to purchase syringes (r=.21 p \leq .07 but not to intention to use condoms (r=.15 p=ns)

Conclusions:

The findings from this study support our clinical experiences with IDUs seeking substance abuse treatment and strongly suggest that increasing self-esteem and self efficacy can both promote recovery and reduce HIV/HCV related high risk behaviors. Therefore, employing HIV/HCV interventions that increase self-esteem and selfefficacy are likely to result in significant reduction of HIV and HCV high risk injecting practices and be incorporated into risk reduction should programs targeting young injecting populations.