



#### Pediatric Asthma Hospitalizations: Impact of Managed Care in the Patterns of Outpatient Healthcare Utilization

#### Capriles, JA., Rodríguez, MH., Rios, R., Dávila, R., and Sánchez, Y.

Puerto Rico Health Services Research Institute University of Puerto Rico, School of Public Health



### Introduction

- Most asthma hospitalizations for children are preventable with adequate disease management described in National Educational Prevention Program (NAEPP).
- Disparities are observed in health services utilization in Puerto Rican children by insurance coverage.



### Introduction (cont)

- Medicaid Managed Care patients are three times more likely to use emergency department services than those enrolled in the Fee-For-Services group.
- The use of the emergency department as a primary usual source of care that may turn to deficient continuing preventive care for asthma, increased rates of preventable hospitalizations, and delay in the achievement of an effective long-term control of asthma.



### Background

- 1993, integration of public and private healthcare delivery systems.
- The medically indigent population in the Healthcare Reform plan received private health insurance services paid by the government, which provided them access to health care within a group of providers in a Medicaid Managed Care (MMC) model.



### **Background (continued)**

- Participants of the Healthcare Reform group are lower income individuals, former Medicaid beneficiaries, or uninsured.
- Primary care providers are paid by a fixed amount for each person served (Capitation), regardless of the number or nature of services provided to each person in a set period of time.



### **Study Objective**

 To compare outpatient health services utilization patterns by health insurance coverage among children with asthma who at least one hospitalization per year.



### Materials/Methods

- This study explores the patterns of health care utilization for people under 18 years old diagnosed with asthma (ICDC: 493.0, 493.1, 493.2, 493.9)
- Subjects: group of beneficiaries of the Puerto Rico Health Care Reform (MC, managed care) and group with private insurance (FFS, fee-for-services).
- Claims files (75,672) of the insurance companies for 1999, 2000 and 2001
- A total of 3,257 hospitalizations claims for three years were analyzed.



## Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics ofAsthma Hospital Claims by Insurance Type

| Characteristic      | Total<br>n=3257 | Manage Care<br>(MC)<br>n=1140 | Fee for Service<br>(FFS)<br>n=2117 |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Age mean (SD)       | 4.60 (4.46)     | 4.14 (4.64)                   | 5.46 (3.96)                        |  |
| 0-12                | 91.8%           | 91.6%                         | 92.1%                              |  |
| 13-17               | 8.2%            | 8.4%                          | 7.9%                               |  |
| Gender<br>Male      | 57.9%           | 56.4%                         | 60.6%                              |  |
| Female              | 42.1%           | 43.6%                         | 39.4%                              |  |
| Socioeconomic Index |                 |                               |                                    |  |
| High                | 60.8%           | 51.2%                         | 78.7%                              |  |
| Low                 | 39.2%           | 48.8%                         | 21.3%                              |  |



### Table 2: Comparison of Patterns of Health Service Useof Hospital Claims by Insurance Status

|                           | Manage Care (MC) |      |        | Fee for Service (FFS) |       |        |
|---------------------------|------------------|------|--------|-----------------------|-------|--------|
| Health Services           | Mean             | SD   | Median | Mean                  | SD    | Median |
| Physician's office visits | 0.84             | 0.00 | 1.71   | 11.39                 | 19.29 | 4.00   |
| Emergency Room visits     | 0.77             | 1.43 | 0.00   | 1.19                  | 3.37  | 0.00   |
| Asthma Prescriptions      | 3.32             | 4.03 | 2.00   | 19.76                 | 10.00 | 25.03  |



### Results

- The FFS enrollees correspond to a higher mean of physician office visits (11 vs. 1),
- Number of drug prescriptions FFS (20 vs.
  3) MC group.
- There were no differences in ED visits by insurance type.



# Table 3: Results of LogisticRegression Analysis

|                  | Physician's office visits<br>O.R. (95% CI) | Emergency Room<br>visits<br>O.R. (95% CI) | Asthma<br>Prescriptions<br>O.R. (95% CI) |  |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Managed Care*    | .85 (0.807-0.887)                          | 1.47 (1.360-1.596)                        | 0.87 (0.860 - 0.912)                     |  |
| Fee-For-Services | 1.00                                       | 1.00                                      | 1.00                                     |  |



### Results (cont)

- The logistic regression analysis showed the FFS group had a higher probability of outpatient services use than the MC group with the exception of ED visits.
- The MC group has a higher probability to visit the ED than the FFS group (OR= 1.5; 95% CI= 1.36-1.60).



### Conclusions

- Results illustrate differences in the way pediatric asthma patients are treated according to their insurance status.
- The differences observed between MMC and FFS patients in use of health services in Puerto Rico were confirmed by the recent evaluation of the healthcare system in Puerto Rico.
- It is vital further research assessing how the system structure, policies of practice sites, adherence to NAEPP guidelines and quality of services impact asthma management.



### **Study Limitations**

- Databases were designed for administrative purposes
- Data does not enable to follow cases along years of study.
- Severity measures of asthma difficult to assess with available secondary databases.

*Grant number:* 1 R24 HS1 4060-02

Grant sponsor: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality