

Using Evidence to Inform Policy-Makers about Health Care Affordability: The Oregon Healthy Kids Experience

American Public Health Association Annual Meeting and Exposition, 2007

Heidi Allen, MSW, Portland State University Tina Edlund, MS Jeanene Smith, MD, MPH



In the beginning there was the Federal Poverty Level...

- Mollie Orshansky and the Orshansky Poverty thresholds
- Based on the least costly of four nutritionally adequate food plans developed by the Dept. of Agriculture and then multiplied by 3.
- Adjusted annually by changes in the Consumer Price Index
- Department of Health & Human Services uses it to determine program eligibility

HE TO A

Oregon's Healthy Kids plan

- Governor Kulongoski asked the Medicaid Advisory Committee to make policy recommendations on a SCHIP expansion program for Oregon children.
- MAC requested data from OHPR to assist in the development of health care affordibility standards for the Healthy Kids program.



The Stakes

- You CAN build it but they won't come if they can't afford it.
 - Oregon's experience with health care subsidies, Family Insurance Assistance Program (FHIAP)
 - National literature
 - Ku & Coughlin, 1999
 - Cunningham, Schaefer, and Hogan, 1999
- Equity



The Quest

- To get an idea of how much money families can afford to contribute to health care through premiums, co-pays, and deductibles depending on:
 - How many wage-earners in the home
 - How many children in the home
 - Monthly Income (measured by Federal Poverty Level Guidelines)
 - Monthly expenses
 - Geographic Area (rural vs. urban)



Our Approach

- Utilize data on family budgets to understand discretionary income that can be used to pay for healthcare by Federal Poverty Level.
- Guiding Assumptions
 - Existing data is good data!
 - Standardized cost versus expenditures
 - Health care is NOT discretionary for some families but may seem so to others in light of competing financial demands.



Data Sources

- Economic Policy Institute (<u>www.epi.org</u>)
 - 2004 family budget calculator
 - Methodology available: *Family Budget Technical Documentation* (Allegretto & Fungard) <u>www.epi.org</u>.
 - Adjusted by inflation rate of 6.83% to reflect 2006 amounts
 - US Dept. of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index Inflation Calculator at <u>http://www.bls.gov/cpi</u>
- The United States Department of Health & Human Services 2006 HHS Poverty Guidelines
 - Issued yearly and used for determining financial eligibility for means-tested federal programs



Calculations & Assumptions: Housing

- Housing: based on the Department of Housing and Urban Development's fair market rents (FMR):
 - representing rent + utilities for "privately owned, decent, structurally safe, and sanitary rental housing of a modest (non-luxury) nature with suitable amenities".
- Assumptions:
 - Two bedroom apartments for families with 1 or 2 children.
 - Three bedroom apartments for families with 3 children.



For more information visit HUD: www.huduser.org/datasets/fmr.html

Calculations & Assumptions: Food

- Food Costs: based on the Department of Agriculture's "Official USDA Food Plans: Cost of Food at Home at Four Levels" report.
- Budget uses the "low-cost" plan, the second lowest plan calculated.
- Assumes a very basic diet, and that almost all food will be prepared in the home.



For more information visit: http://www.usda.gov/cnpp/using3.html

Calculations & Assumptions: Transportation

- Transportation costs per mile are from the IRS cost-per-mile rate, which includes the cost of gas, insurance, vehicle registration fees, maintenance, and depreciation.
 - Varies by urban or rural area, and number of parents in the family.
- Budget assumes only non-social trips (work, school, church, and errands for the 1st adult and only work trips for the 2nd adult).



Calculations & Assumptions: Child Care

- For the most part, costs are based on child care centers and varies by urban vs. rural locations.
- Budget assumes a 4 year-old in one-child families, one 4 year-old and one school-age child in two-child families, and a 4 year-old and two school-aged children in three child families.



Calculations & Assumptions: Taxes

- Taxes include federal personal income tax, federal Social Security and Medicare payroll taxes, state income taxes, and well as local income or wage taxes.
- Budgets assume:
 - All families are renters
 - All adults work and all income is from work
 - Adults take advantage of all tax credits



Calculations & Assumptions: Other Expenses

- Clothing
- Personal Care expenses
- Household supplies
- Reading materials
- School supplies
- Estimated as 27% of housing and food costs
 - Based on data from the Consumer
 Expenditure Survey (http://www.bls.gov/cex)





The Budgets

2006 Portland-Vancouver Rural Oregon



Office for Oregon Health Policy & Research

Copyright 2007, Heidi L. Allen, heidi.allen@state.or.us

Family Budget: Portland-Vancouver 1 Parent/ 1 Child

Portland Area-One Parent+ One Child		
	2006 \$ amount	
Monthly housing	\$	766
Monthly food	\$	283
Monthly child care	\$	595
Monthly transportation	\$	294
Monthly taxes	\$	435
Monthly other necessities (e.g., clothing)	\$	283
Monthly total mandatory expenses	\$	2,656

Economic Policy Institute (2004) + 6.83% inflation

Discretionary Monthly Income after 250% Federal Poverty Level (FPL)



Family Budget: Rural Oregon 1 Parent/ 1 Child

	2006	6 \$ amount
Monthly housing	\$	629
Monthly food	\$	283
Monthly child care	\$	447
Monthly transportation	\$	334
Monthly taxes	\$	230
Monthly other necessities (e.g., clothing)	\$	247
Monthly total mandatory expenses	\$	2,170
Annual total	\$	26,037

Economic Policy Institute (2004) + 6.83% inflation

Discretionary Monthly Income after 200% Federal Poverty Level (FPL)



Copyright 2007, Heidi L. Allen, heidi.allen@state.or.us

Family Budget: Portland-Vancouver 2 parents/1 child

	200	6 \$ amount
Monthly housing	\$	766
Monthly food	\$	479
Monthly child care	\$	595
Monthly transportation	\$	401
Monthly taxes	\$	486
Monthly other necessities	\$	337
Monthly total mandatory expenses	\$	3,063
Annual total	\$	36,754

Economic Policy Institute (2004) + 6.83% inflation

Discretionary Monthly Income after 250% of Poverty Level



\$1,779

Family Budget: Rural Oregon 2 Parents/1 Child

	200	6 \$ amount
Monthly housing	\$	629
Monthly food	\$	479
Monthly child care	\$	447
Monthly transportation	\$	449
Monthly taxes	\$	350
Monthly other necessities	\$	299
Monthly total mandatory expenses	\$	2,653
Annual total	\$	31,831

Economic Policy Institute (2004) + 6.83% inflation

Discretionary Monthly Income after 200% of Poverty Level



Family Budget: Portland-Vancouver 1 Parent/ 2 Children

	2006 \$ amount
Monthly housing	\$ 766
Monthly food	\$ 433
Monthly child care	\$ 913
Monthly transportation	\$ 294
Monthly taxes	\$ 389
Monthly other necessities (e.g., clothing)	\$ 324
Monthly total mandatory expenses	\$ 3,118
Annual total	\$ 37,420

Economic Policy Institute (2004) + 6.83% Inflation

Discretionary Monthly Income after 250% FPL



Family Budget: Rural Oregon 1 Parent/ 2 Children

	2006 \$ amount
Monthly housing	\$ 629
Monthly food	\$ 433
Monthly child care	\$ 702
Monthly transportation	\$ 334
Monthly taxes	\$ 92
Monthly other necessities (e.g., clothing)	\$ 286
Monthly total mandatory expenses	\$ 2,476
Annual total	\$ 29,716

Economic Policy Institute (2004) +6.83% Inflation

Discretionary Monthly Income after 200% FPL *



Conservative Decisions

- Budgets do not include debt, or higher than normal interest rates that might affect families with less than perfect credit.
- Estimates are conservative (particularly regarding child care, housing, and food)
- Other factors, beyond health care, compete for discretionary income as income goes up. Assumed standard of housing stays the same.
- Budget does not include recommended savings or catastrophic expenses.



MAC Process

- MAC public meetings
 - Public reported that budgets were conservative and over-estimated health care affordability for Oregon families
- Based on evidence and public input, MAC recommended to the Governor:
 - No cost-sharing above 200% FPL
 - Sliding-scale premium subsidies up to 350% FPL
 - Predictable cost-sharing through premiums versus unpredictable cost-sharing through co-payments and co-insurance.

Legislative Process

- OHPR produced an affordability brief for legislative testimony
- Multiple bills resulted in Senate Bill 3
 - Premium subsidies up to 300% FPL
- Funded by a *tobacco tax* in the Oregon Constitution
- Voters decide on November 6th



What Worked

- Being concrete
- Adjusting for geography
- Being conservative
- Public (voter) input



Limitations

- Prescriptive definitions of essential expenses
- Some argue that this approach makes health insurance seem less essential than other expenses
- Other approaches offer different strengths/weaknesses
 - Expenditures
 - Blumberg, Holahan, Hadley & Nordahl (2007)
 - Gruber (2007)
 - Enrollment analysis
 - Gruber (2007)



Onward Ho!

- Changing landscape of health reform
 - Individual mandates
 - The Massachusetts approach
- The Healthy Oregon Act of 2007





• For more information, contact Heidi Allen email: heidi.allen@state.or.us



Office for Oregon Health Policy & Research

Copyright 2007, Heidi L. Allen, heidi.allen@state.or.us