ayaTech<sup>®</sup> Corporation **Disparities in Prevalence of Physical and Cognitive Disabilities among Blacks in the United States: Examining the Effects of Nativity** 

> Mesfin S. Mulatu, Ph.D., M.P.H. The MayaTech Corporation

Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Public Health Association Washington, D.C., November 4-7, 2007

Copyright 2007, Mesfin S. Mulatu, mmulatu@mayatech.com

## **M**. Foreign-Born Blacks in the US

 36.2 million people (12.9%) of the total US population reported that they were Black (Census 2000)

 Only about 7% of Blacks are foreignborn, but the diversity in nativity/ethnicity has been increasing over the years

 More importantly, foreign-born Blacks account for a significant share of the increase in the total Black population

# Nativity, Race/Ethnicity, and Health

- Foreign-born individuals tend to have lower prevalence of various health problems, including disability, compared to their US born counterparts
- These advantages, however, are often not well studied among Blacks
- Health advantages of foreign-born have been explained in various ways:
  - Healthy immigrant/ positive selection effect
  - Favorable lifestyle/cultural practices
    - Stronger social network, family support, & social capital

# **A**. Study Aims

- To compare the patterns of disabilities among native and foreignborn Blacks in the United States
- To examine if differences in rates of disabilities are accounted for by acculturation, socio-economic status, and other demographic characteristics

# **A** Data Source

 Data from the 2006 American Community Survey (ACS) were used for this study

#### The ACS is:

- A new data collection survey used by the Census Bureau
- Provides annual estimates of population/housing characteristics at the national, state, and local levels

#### • ACS 2006:

- Collected between January-Dec. 2006
- Covers the total population, including those in group quarters
- Public access data file contains 2,923,336 person records from households and 81,151 person records from group quarters

## **A** Analytical Sample

#### We included:

- All native Blacks (Hispanic and non-Hispanic)
- All Blacks born in Caribbean Islands and Latin America
- All non-Hispanic Blacks from Europe & Sub-Saharan Africa

#### • We excluded:

- All Blacks born in PR, USVI, and territories
- All Blacks born in Asia, North Africa, & Oceania
- Hispanic Blacks born in Europe & Sub-Saharan Africa
- Comparison Groups:
  - Native, non-Hispanic Blacks and/or Whites

# I able 1. Distribution of Analytical Sample by Racial/Ethnic and Nativity Image: Component of Analytical <tr

	N	% Total Sub- Sample	% Total Black (N=212,298
White: Native, Non-Hispanic	1,600,07	88.3	
Blacks: All Native +	212,298	11.7	
Native, Non-Hispanic	189,836	10.5	89.4
Native, Hispanic	1,615	0.1	0.8
Europe-Born, Non-	936	0.1	0.4
Caribbean-Born, Non-	11,204	0.6	5.3
Caribbean-Born, Hispanic	667	0.0	0.3
L America Born, Non-	1,462	0.1	0.7
L America Born, Hispanic	671	0.0	0.3
SS Africa Born, non-	5,904	0.3	2.8
Hispanic			

### **Measures of Disability**

- Six items to capture degrees of disability
  - Impairment:
    - 1) Sensory disability
    - 2) Physical disability
    - 3) Mental disability
  - Activity limitations:
    - 4) Self-care limitations
  - Participation restriction:
    - 5) Going outside-home disability
    - 6) Employment disability
  - **Overall dichotomous measure**

## **A**. Covariate and Control Variables

#### Acculturation

- Years lived in the United States
- Other language spoken at home
- English language skills

#### Socio-economic status

- Level of education
- Total earnings
- Poverty status

#### **Demographic characteristics**

- Age, gender, and marital status
- Region of residence

# **A** Analysis

#### Descriptive and bivariate comparisons

- To compare the patterns of overall and specific types of disabilities among Blacks
- To explore the patterns of demographic, socioeconomic and acculturation related variables among Blacks

#### Logistic Regressions

- To determine unadjusted and adjusted odds of Blacks compared to native-born non-Hispanic Whites
- To determine unadjusted and adjusted odds of foreign-born Blacks compared to native-born non-Hispanic Blacks

### **Findings: Background Characteristics**

#### **Acculturation Differences:**

- Foreign-born Blacks lived 17.4(SD=12.4) yrs in the US
- 48.5% of the foreign-born Blacks and only less than 3% of native Blacks spoke another language at home

#### Socio-Economic Differences:

 Foreign-born Blacks tend to be more educated and have higher income than native non-Hispanic Blacks

#### Demographic Differences:

- More foreign-born Blacks tend to live in the NE (42.4 vs. 13.0%); more native Blacks in the South (61.4 vs. 43%)
- More foreign-born than native Blacks were married (51.1 vs. 34.7%)
- Foreign-born are younger than native Blacks (M=43.8 vs. 45.6 yrs)

## **A**. Findings: Patterns of Disability

- Nearly one in five (19.7%) of the subsample reported one or more disabilities
  - Most common are physical impairments and work-related limitations
- Comparison of unadjusted rates suggest significant variation by nativity, ethnicity, and race
  - Lowest rate among non-Hispanic Blacks born in SS Africa (8.2%) and highest among non-Hispanic US-born Blacks (25.3%)
  - Patterns of differences are similar by type of disability

#### Table 2. Prevalence of Disabilities among Foreign-Born Blacks and Native Blacks and Whites

	SE	PH	CO	SLF	OU	WR	DIS
White: Native, Non-Hispanic	6.0	13.2	6.5	4.3	6.5	12.5	19.2
Blacks: Native, Non-	6.1	17.6	10.0	6.7	9.2	17.3	25.3
Native, Hispanic	4.4	11.0	9.1	4.0	6.5	11.4	19.7
Blacks: All Foreign-Born	3.2	7.9	4.4	2.8	4.3	7.4	12.5
Europe-Born, Non-His	2.1	6.5	5.3	2.8	3.9	6.9	10.6
Caribbean-Born, Non-	3.5	8.9	4.9	3.2	4.9	8.3	13.5
Caribbean-Born,	7.5	14.7	8.5	5.4	7.6	13.6	22.2
Latin Am-Born, Non-	3.8	10.6	6.2	4.9	6.4	10.8	16.7
Latin Am-Born,	4.5	10.4	4.5	2.4	3.9	7.6	16.1
SS Africa-Born, non-	2.1	4.5	2.3	1.4	2.5	4.3	8.2
Blacks: All Native + Foreign	5.8	16.6	8.7	6.3	9.4	16.3	24.0
Born							

### **M**. Black vs. White Comparisons

#### Disparities in Overall Disability

- Non-Hispanic native Blacks were more likely than Non-Hispanic Whites to have a disability
- Most foreign-born Black groups were less likely than Non-Hispanic Whites to have a disability
- Foreign-born advantage persisted only for non-Hispanic Caribbean- and SS Africa-born Blacks

#### Disparities in Specific Disabilities

- Mixed findings for non-Hispanic native Blacks:
  - higher physical, self-care, and work disabilities
  - Iower sensory and mental disabilities
- Non-Hispanic Caribbean and African-born Blacks had consistently lower disabilities

# Table 3. Odds of US- and Foreign-born Blacks<br/>having a Disability Compared to US-Born, Non-<br/>Hispanic Whites

	OR	р	OR	р
Blacks: Native, Non-	1.44	.000	1.11	.000
Native, Hispanic	1.07	.295	1.10	.175
Europe-Born, Non-His	.46	.000	.85	.193
Caribbean-Born, Non-	.66	.000	.75	.000
Caribbean-Born,	1.15	.169	.97	.833
Latin Am-Born, Non-His	.83	.012	.91	.320
Latin Am-Born,	.83	.082	.93	.557
SS Africa-Born, Non-His	.38	.000	.71	.000

### Native vs. Foreign Born Black Comparisons

#### Disparities in Overall Disability

- All foreign-born Blacks as well as native Hispanic Blacks were less likely than native, non-Hispanic Blacks to have a disability
- Foreign-born advantage persisted only for non-Hispanic Caribbean-, Latin American- and SS African-born Blacks

#### Disparities in Specific Disabilities

- Non-Hispanic Caribbean and African-born Blacks had consistently lower disabilities
- Non-Hispanic Latin America born-blacks had also lower rates on sensory, physical, going-out disabilities

# Table 4. Odds of US- and Foreign-born Blacks<br/>having a Disability Compared to US-Born, Non-<br/>Hispanic Blacks

	OR	р	OR	р
Blacks: Native, Hispanic	.75	.000	1.02	.790
Europe-Born, Non-His	.32	.000	.82	.124
Caribbean-Born, Non-	.46	.000	.69	.000
Caribbean-Born,	.80	.023	.88	.348
Latin Am-Born, Non-His	.58	.000	.81	.042
Latin Am-Born,	.58	.000	.87	.278
SS Africa-Born, Non-His	.27	.000	.65	.000

Copyright 2007, Mesfin S. Mulatu, mmulatu@mayatech.com

# **A**. Summary

- US-born, non-Hispanic Blacks are significantly more likely than US-born, non-Hispanic Whites to have a disability
- In contrast, non-Hispanic Blacks born in the Caribbean and sub-Saharan Africa were less likely than US-born, non-Hispanic Whites to have a disability
- Comparison between Black groups confirmed that non-Hispanic Blacks from the Caribbean, SS Africa and Latin America were less likely to have disabilities
- No advantage of being native Hispanic Black nor of being Blacks born in Europe

# **M**. Implications

 Blacks in the United States are increasingly heterogeneous and that their levels of health, disease, and disability may vary by nativity and ethnicity

 Treating Blacks as a monolith group may lead to an underestimation or overestimation of health outcomes and potentially erroneous conclusions

 Research into better conceptualization and measurement of ethnicity, nativity, and race would improve our understanding social disparities in

# **A**. Limitations

- These analyses are conducted with unweighted data
  - Do not account for errors associated with sampling design
  - Not to be taken as national estimates
- Classification of Blacks can still be refined
  - Foreign-born Blacks from some specific regions and countries (e.g., Jamaicans, Nigerians, E Africans)

#### ACS data on disabilities may be limited

Copyright 2007, Mesfin S. Mulatu, mmulatu@mayatech.com