
PRESENT BUT NOT ACCOUNTED FOR:
Identifying & Addressing the Needs of Non-
heterosexually Identified Women with HIV
Interventions for Women with HIV

Lisa Bowleg, PhD & Michelle Teti, MPH

Drexel University School of Public Health

APHA Presentation

November 7th, 2007

Presentation Goals

s in a word?: NHI, WSW vs. lesbian and/or bisexual w

ght some key issues relevant to NHI women & HIV;

quantitative and qualitative data from the non-
osexually identified (NHI) women in the *Protect and
ct* program for women with HIV/AIDS, illustrate the
periences and prevention needs of NHI women with
IDS;

ss the implications of failing to address the needs of
en in research & HIV prevention programs.

Men Who Have Sex with Women (MSM) HIV/AIDS: More Questions than Answers

roughly 3% of reported AIDS cases among women through
ber 2004 (CDC, 2006)

(2006) reports no confirmed cases of HIV transmitted sexually between women. It acknowledges that vaginal secretions and menstrual blood are potentially infectious. Anal or vaginal mucous membrane exposure to these secretions may increase the risk of infection.

half (60%) of the total women's AIDS case reports ($n = 246$) do not include information about female sex partners because the interviewer did not inquire about it and/or the woman did not voluntarily provide information.

Accurate and complete estimates of the extent of HIV among women are unavailable.

So Little Information on WSW & HIV/AIDS

HIV and STI research and prevention initiatives for women focus on heterosexual sexual risk practices, and do not collect adequate information about NHI women's partners or risk practices (Kennedy, 2005).

A hierarchical categorical system of HIV risk accounts for transmission via injection drug use, heterosexual sex, and homosexual sex only (Chu, 1990; Marrazzo, 2005; Mays et al., 1996; Richardson, 2005).

Current measures of sexual identity & behavior for women are limited.

The current study asked women about their identification as: "straight/heterosexual"; "gay/homosexual"; "bisexual"; "not sure"; "other".

King the Case for a Focus on WSW in Prevention Programs

men who report male & female sex partners report more
and drug risk behaviors than heterosexual women
(1995; Lemp et al., 1995; Koh et al., 2005; Marrazzo, Koutsky, & Handsfield

may perceive that they are at low risk for HIV (Dolan &
omez et al., 1996; Fishman & Anderson, 2003; Stevens, 1994; Stevens &

may be ill-informed about how to protect themselves
and HIV (Marrazzo et al., 2005; Stevens & Hall, 2001)

prevention programs focus almost exclusively on male
partners & heterosexual women's safer sex needs

Goals of Our Analysis

Better understand the non-heterosexually identified (NHI) HIV+ women in *Protect and Re*

Examine their demographic, health related characteristics, sexual risk behaviors, pregnancies, and substance use patterns

Compare the heterosexual and NHI sub-sar

Protect & Respect @ A Glance

A-funded Special Project of National
Significance (SPNS)

Goal: Reduce HIV+ women's sexual risk
behaviors

Intervention messages delivered via:

Medical providers (MPs) during regular visits

skills-based group level intervention (GLI)

peer-led support groups

Program Design

study groups:

participants received safer sex messages from MPs ($N = 185$)

2) also attend 5-session GII and Peer-leadership support groups ($n = 93$)

primary outcomes: safer sex practices; HIV risk reduction; disclosure to sexual partners

measured by computer-assisted survey at baseline, 6, 12, and 18-months

Analyses @ Baseline (N = 185)

Quantitative:

Descriptive statistics, Fisher's exact chi-square tests and Mann-Whitney tests used to describe and compare genderosexually-identified and NHI participants

Qualitative:

Data source: GII sessions & interviews with group participants

Open-coded and transcribed verbatim

Using Atlas.ti.5.4 for content analysis

Results: Sexual Identification

Sexual identification	Non-heterosexual (n=32, 17%)	Heterosexual (n=152, 83%)
straight/heterosexual	--	100%
gay/homosexual	38%	--
bisexual	47%	--
Other	6%	--
Not sure	9%	--

Results: Selected Demographics

Demographic	Non-heterosexual (<i>n</i> =32)	Heterosexual (<i>n</i> =152)	Significance
Age: 18-49 years	79%	77%	.971
Ethnicity: African American	81%	86%	.739
Education: ≤ HS	84%	80%	.937
Income: ≤ \$10,000/year	81%	74%	.341
Relationship Status: Single	50%	52%	.326

Results: Income Source

Income Source	Non-heterosexual (<i>n</i> = 32)	Heterosexual (<i>n</i> = 152)	Significance
	9%	23%	.096
	41%	38%	.843
Work	25%	0%	.000
Drugs	16%	0%	.000
	13%	1%	.003

Results: Health Characteristics

Health characteristic	Non-heterosexual (n=32)	Heterosexual (n=152)	Significance
Insurance: Medicaid	38%	26%	.344
Health: Poor	50%	29%	.292
In route: With man	69%	82%	.095
needles	31%	15%	.038
HIV/AIDS	8.5 years (mean)	8.7 years (mean)	.985

Results: Sexual Behaviors & Substance Use

Behavior	Non-heterosexual (n=32)	Heterosexual (n=152)	Significance
Engaged in unprotected vaginal/anal sex in the past 6 months	45%	40%	
Number of sexual partners in the past 6 months	3.4 (mean) 1-10 (range)	2.1 (mean) 1-25 (range)	
Knowledge of HIV transmission intentions: Not knowledgeable about it	81%	72%	
Alcohol use in the past 6 months	44%	45%	
Illicit prescription drug use in the past 6 months	34%	21%	
Illicit drug use in the past 30 days	3%	1%	

Voices of NHI Women with HIV/AIDS

feel like we don't have to use rubbers since we got
er woman, and if you are sticking with your partner
dn't have to worry about no rubber, no plastic, no
ever. That's where we get fooled...now they got da
sk of female to female STI and HIV transmission]... Th
ata I need.

by me being a gay woman, it was a little uncomfor
e they were talking about their men and stuff and I w
k about my women experiences, but now we all just
am gay]. Next week we have a [peer] session on g
en."

Key Findings

f the sample ($n = 32$) were NHI

omen were more likely than their heterosexual counterparts to report the following risk behaviors:

nfection via needles ($p = .038$)

ng engaged in sex work, hustling, or selling drugs for money

ng had more male sex partners in the past six months (p

Study Limitations

Sample size of NHI women limits meaningful statistical analysis

Assumption of heterogeneity (e.g., problems of lumping all NHI women into a single group)

Sexist focus of original study meant that measures not designed to measure or reflect the experiences of NHI women:

Sexual identity based on a male model (e.g., "gay/homosexual")
Sexual identity/orientation questions were inadequate
Measures did not focus on risky practices with female partners

Conclusions

women need prevention information
red to their unique experiences

uation methods that fail to capture no
erosexual women's risk practices with
e and female partners limit the
erstanding of these women's risk redu
ds

Implications: More Research Is Needed

Provide us with epidemiological data about NHI women
HIV/AIDS

Larger and socioeconomically and ethnically diverse
Samples of women who have sex with women

Help us better understand the context in which NHI's
High risk behaviors occur

Examine the impact of heterosexist prevention programs
on NHI women's sexual risk practices

Develop effective risk reduction programs for non-
Bisexual women

Understand the relationship between sexual identity
and risk behavior for women, particularly for Black and
Latina women (lessons from ethnic minority MSM?)

Implications for Prevention Programs for Women with HIV/AIDS

Determine the extent to which current HIV prevention programming meets the needs of heterosexual women with HIV/AIDS

Identify the specific sexual risk reduction needs of heterosexual women

Recognize and address the experiences of women in HIV prevention programs

Involve NHI women in the design of HIV prevention and programming

Acknowledgements

wish to thank: Dianne Rorie, Rhonda Ferguson, Marisa, Katerie Warner and the rest of the Protect and Prevent study team; the Partnership clinic and patients at Drexel School of Public Health; the Philadelphia Mid-Atlantic Education and Training Center; the Enhancing Prevention with Positives Evaluation Center (EPPEC); and Dr. A.

This presentation is supported by grant number 03048 from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Special Projects of National Significance (SPNS) Program. The presentation's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official view of HRSA or the SPNS program.

References

- J., Chiasson, M. A., Heffernan, R. T., and Castro, K. G. (1995). Women at a sexually transmitted disease clinic who reported same-sex contact: Their HIV seroprevalence and risk behaviors. *American Journal of Public Health, 86*(12), 1822-1830.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2006). *HIV/AIDS among women who have sex with women*. Retrieved November 1, 2006, from www.cdc.gov/hiv/pubs/facts/wsw.htm
- Delehanty, J., Fleming, P., and Berkelman, R. (1990). Epidemiology of reported cases of AIDS in the United States 1980-1989. *American Journal of Public Health, 80*(11), 1380-1381.
- Delehanty, J., and Davis, P. W. (2003). Nuances and shifts in lesbian women's constructions of STI and HIV risk. *Social Science and Medicine, 57*, 25-38.
- Delehanty, J., and Anderson, E. H. (2003). Perception of HIV and safer sexual behaviors among lesbian women. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care, 14*(6), 48-55.
- Delehanty, J., Garcia, D., Kegebein, V., Shade, S., and Hernandez, S. (1996). Sexual identity versus sexual behavior: Implications for HIV prevention strategies for women who have sex with women. *Women's Health Issues, 6*(2), 91-109.
- Delehanty, J., Moore, J., Schuman, P., Schoenbaum, E., Zierler, S., and Rompalo, A., et al. (1998). Prevalence of HIV-infected women reporting recent sexual contact with women. *JAMA, 280*(1), 29-34.
- Delehanty, J., Gomez, C. A., Shade, S., and Rowley, E. (2005). Sexual risk factors among self-identified lesbian, bisexual women, and heterosexual women accessing primary care settings. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 76*(5), 569-574.
- Delehanty, J., Jones, M., Kellogg, T. A., Nieri, G. N., Anderson, L., and Withum, D., et al. (1995). HIV seroprevalence and risk behaviors among lesbians and bisexual women in San Francisco and Berkeley. *American Journal of Public Health, 85*(11), 1549-1552.

References (Continued)

o, J. M. (2005). Dangerous assumptions: Lesbians and sexual death. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, 32(9), 570-571.

o, J. M., Coffey, P., and Bingham, A. (2005). Sexual practices, risk perception and the range of sexually transmitted disease risk among lesbian and bisexual women. *Perinatal and Reproductive Health*, 37(1), 6-12.

o, J. M., Koutsky, L. A., and Handsfield, H. H. (2001). Characteristics of female sexually transmitted disease clinic clients who report same-sex behavior. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 12(4), 41-46.

Cochran, S., Pies, C., Chu, S., and Ehrhardt, A. (1996). The risk of HIV infection to women who have sex with women: Implications for HIV research, prevention and policy. *Women's Health: Research on Gender, Behavior, and Policy*, 2(2/1), 119-128.

on, D. (2000). The social construction of immunity: HIV risk perception and prevention among lesbians and bisexual women. *Culture, health, and sexuality*, 2(1), 33-49.

P. E. (1994). HIV prevention education for lesbians and bisexual women: A culture change of a community intervention. *Social Science and Medicine*, 39(11), 1565-1578.

P. E., and Hall, J. M. (2001). Sexuality and safe sex: The issues for lesbians and bisexual women. *JOGNN*, 30(4), 439-447.

Rubinstein, S., Lloyd, L., Aaron, E., Merron-Brainerd, J., Spencer, S., et al. (2007). Project Program: A sexual risk reduction intervention for women living with HIV/AIDS. *Behavior*, 11(S1), 106-116.