Lessons from a peer-based participatory training program for Latino day laborers

Rutgers OTEC
New Labor
UMDNJ School of Public Health
NJ Laborers Health and Safety Fund
LIUNA-NJ Local 1030

Funded through the Center to Protect Workers Rights NIOSH Research consortium

Project Team

Rich Cunningham, New Labor

Lou Kimmel, New Labor

Betsy Marshall, UMDNJ School of Public Health

Carmen Martino, Rutgers OTEC

Michele Ochsner, Rutgers OTEC

Ken Hoffner, NJ Laborers Health and Safety Fund

New Labor Trainer Organizers

- Germán Flores
- Alejandro de la Paz
- José Villanueva
- Gustavo Vazques

Focus of presentation

- Summarize the population and intervention
- Describe evaluation measures: baseline and follow-up surveys, follow-up interviews
- Summarize evaluation results and limitations
- Address lessons from this effort and plans for the future

Project Overview

- Outreach to day laborers in 5 communities
- Curriculum development through focus groups
- Training peer trainers
- Training offered to more than 350 DL—training is ongoing
- Pre- and post-training surveys, interviews and focus groups

Participatory Elements

- Recruitment through community organization
- Community provided input on focus group and survey instruments
- Peer trainers led focus groups and surveys
- Curriculum contents led by workers

Findings from baseline survey (n=117) and focus group surveys (n=43):

- Most have no prior construction experience or training
- First jobs in the U.S.
- Typical earnings \$250 \$350 per week
- Regular work only six months of year
- Little to no English
- Primarily Mexican men, with a range of ages and literacy

Evaluation of the Impact of the Training

- Compared pre-training survey results (n=117) to sub-group attending follow-up training (n=47).
 - Could not match individual first responses to followup responses
 - Compared overall results for pre and post groups
- Interviews with participants after training collected qualitative information

Evaluation Surveys: Comparing Injuries and Exposures

- Injury within previous 3 months:
 - Pre-training: 37%
 - Post-training: 29%
- Recent hazardous exposures:
 - Similar levels of exposure to excessive noise, power tools, heavy equipment, falling objects, heights over 2 meters, trenches

Impact of Training: Use of Personal Protective Equipment

Use of PPE increased post-training, comparing pre (n=115) to post (n=47) surveys (any use).

■ Work Boots: + 7%

■ Hard hats: + 8%

■ Safety Vests: + 14%

■ Ear Protection: + 12%

■ Goggles: + 10%

■ Fall Protection: + 13%

■ Masks/Respirators: + 0%

Interviews Post-Training Reveal Similar Self-Reports

- "In roofing...if I see that the inclination is too steep, I ask for a safety harness..."
- "I got a box of masks so I have my own masks. And I got some sunglasses...to protect my eyes."
- "I can't afford full PPE, but I have mask and safety glasses. I can't afford a safety harness."

Impact of Training: Survey Results Showed Some Changes in Self-Protective Actions.

	% > Never	% > Never
	Pre-Training (n=115)	Post-Training (n=47)
Tried to talk to a boss	75	81
Talked to co-workers	88	96
Tried to find out on own	69	85
Refused task	67	66
Left job site	52	45

Interviews highlighted attempts and difficulty at self-protection:

- "If I put into practice everything I have learned I may have no jobs, won't be able to work!"
- "I began to learn what I need to do in my job. So then I talked to my employer..."
- "Before the conference, we used to tell only friends, but now we tell everybody [about bad employers]."

Impact of Training: Other Findings

- Survey showed it was more probable post training workers would talk to other workers, refuse too dangerous jobs, present complaints to OSHA, and take other protective actions.
- Workers reported using the workbook and sharing materials with co-workers.
- Interviews affirmed participatory training methods, need for hands-on training, and improved confidence in health and safety.

Summary of Evaluation

- Very limited descriptive data shows, posttraining, higher percentages of the use of PPE and self-protective actions.
- No way to ascertain change in actual injury risk, individual change, or whether pre and post groups were truly comparable.
- Workers reporting using the training materials and new knowledge to improve health and safety.

Challenges in Evaluation of Interventions among Day Laborers

- Outreach and organizing quite labor intensive
- Economic imperative experienced by DL's planning is difficult
- Transience of DL's—follow-up becomes uncertain
- Limited literacy of some participants: everything takes longer

Lessons and Future Plans

- Continue to combine survey and interviews for evaluation.
- Shorten and simplify survey instruments.
- Provide hands-on, mobile training to meet the needs of day laborers, especially for fall protection, PPE.
- Rely on participatory peer-led training methods.

Contact information:

Elizabeth Marshall

marshaeli@umdnj.edu

732-235-4666

Michele Ochsner

ochsner@smlr.rutgers.edu

732-932-9245