

# Gender differences in conversations that play roles in preventing dementia among the elderly in Japan

Yoshitaka SAITO

Katsunori KONDO

Chiyo MURATA

# 1. Purposes of this study

- (1) We would show that by statistical evidence **conversation** might play different roles for **men** and **women** in reducing a risk of **dementia**.
- (2) By showing it, we would argue that **interventions** of Japanese local governments may be partly ineffective for **old men**.

## 2. Theoretical background

- It's pointed out that involvement with **social networks** may reduce a risk of dementia (e.g., Fratiglioni et al. 2000)

Fratiglioni L, Wang H, Ericsson K, Maytan M, Winblad B, Influence of social network on occurrence of dementia: a community-based longitudinal study. The Lancet 2000; 355: 1315-9.

- In more focused context, it's shown that “**conversation**” can play an important role in reducing a risk of dementia (e.g., Otake 2007).

Otake M, Development of support service for prevention and recovery from dementia and science of lethe. The 21st Annual Conference of the Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence 2007

### 3. Our point

However, we speculate that this may be **NOT** simply true similarly among **men** and **women**.

There is not much research of the role of **conversation** in **preventing dementia** especially focusing on **gender difference**.

**Does conversation play an important role for both men and women?**

# 4. Data, methods, & variables

- AGES project, **cohort** data (N=3,771)  
**wave1** in 2000, **wave2** in 2003 (& 2004)
- Multiple **logistic** regression models

**Dependent V:**

Suffering from dementia (=1), or not (=0)  
in **wave2**

# 4. Data, methods, & variables

Independent V: conversation in wave1

- a) Talk with family or friends(=1), or not(=0)
- b) Talk to young people(=1), or(=0)

## - Control V:

Self-rated health, Education, Income

Ages (65-69=1, 70-74=2, 75-79=3, 80-84=4, 85+=5)

# 5. Results

**Table 1** Logistic regression model (Reduction of risk of dementia)

	women	men
(a) Talk with family & friends	1.077 (.215)***	
(b) Talk to young people	.151 (.230)	
Intercept	-4.098 (.357)***	
Nagelkerke R <sup>2</sup>	.051	

## Finding 1:

“Talking with family and friends” is significantly related to the reduction of a risk of dementia among **WOMEN** (Odds Ratio=2.9)

**Finding 2:** However, it's **NOT** true among **MEN**

**Table 2** Logistic regression model (Reduction of risk of dementia)

	women	men
(a) Talk with family & friends	.497 (.237)*	-.126 (.307)
(b) Talk to young people	-.047 (.243)	-.085 (.284)
Age	.623 (.083)***	.385 (.094)***
Self-rated Health	.063 (.212)	.304 (.249)
Education	.165 (.149)	-.012 (.161)

Another question:

**Variable (b) among women is NOT significantly related to reduction of a risk of dementia?**



**Table 3** Logistic regression model : **Women** (Reduction of risk of dementia)

	1	2
(a) Talk with family & friends	---	
(b) Talk to young people	.585 (.210)**	
Age	---	
Intercept	-3.189 (.294)***	
Nagelkerke R <sup>2</sup>	.012	

1. Without (a) => (b) is significantly related.
2. Inserting "Age" => makes a change !

Considering 1 & 2,

=> create an **interaction term**

<Age> \* <Talk to young people>

Table 4 Logistic regression model : Women (Reduction of risk of dementia)

	Women
(a) Talk with family & friends	.470 (.235)*
(b) Talk to young people	1.311 (.589)*
Age	1.143 (.233)***
Self-rated Health	.087 (.212)
Education	.157 (.149)

=> **(b): talking to young people** works more strongly,  
when the elderly are younger.

**Interaction** is statistically significant.

**(b)** is also significant.

Age1 (65-69 yrs old) => coefficient = .928x  
Age2 (70-74 yrs old) => coefficient = .545x  
...Age3, Age4, ...

## 6. Policy implication

- Many **salons** (chances to have conversation) are provided by local governments in Japan. People complain “**Old men are not active to participate!**”
- But such an intervention may **NOT work** for health promotion for men.
- In recent Japan, typical old men are not talkative. (typical old **women** are **very active and talkative**)

Therefore, other types of interventions should be provided for men? (e.g., betting, chess)

# 7. Conclusion

This study may suggest the importance of considering **gender difference** in public health studies.

This may not be just the story of Japan, the elderly, or conversation and dementia.