Use of Health Impact Assessment in the United States: 27 Case Studies, 1999-2007

Andrew L. Dannenberg, MD, MPH National Center for Environmental Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention acd7@cdc.gov

American Public Health Association Annual Conference Washington, DC, November 5, 2007

A Vision of Health Impact Assessment

- Community planners and zoning boards will request information on potential health consequences of projects and policies as part of their decision-making process
- Local health officers will have a tool to facilitate their involvement in community planning and land use decisions that impact health

Health Impact Assessment Definition

 Collection of procedures and tools by which projects, policies, and programs can be evaluated based on their potential effects on the health of a population, and the distribution of those effects within the population

Gothenburg consensus statement, 1999

Steps in Conducting an HIA

<u>Screening</u>

Identify projects/policies for which HIA useful

<u>Scoping</u>

Identify which health impacts to include

<u>Risk assessment</u>

- Identify how many and which people may be affected
- Assess how they may be affected

<u>Recommendations</u>

- Identify changes to promote health or mitigate harm
- **<u>Reporting</u>** of results to decision-makers
- **Evaluation** of impact of HIA on decision process

Methods for Identifying Completed HIAs in the U.S.

- Networking among small group of HIA investigators who have been involved in most HIAs done in United States
- Requested completed HIAs on HIA-USA listserve; updated September 2007
- Literature search on Medline, Google Scholar, and other databases
- List of HIAs identified may be incomplete

Location of 27 Completed HIAs in United States, 1999-2007



HIAs of Projects (N=13)

- 1. Housing redevelopment: Trinity Plaza CA
- 2. Housing redevelopment: Rincon Hill CA
- 3. Mixed-use redevelopment: Executive Park CA
- 4. Senior housing: Jack London Gateway CA
- 5. Transit Village: MacArthur BART station CA
- 6. Transit-related greenway: Alameda County CA
- 7. Urban redevelopment: Oak to Ninth CA
- 8. Urban redevelopment: Commerce City CO
- 9. Corridor redevelopment: Buford Highway GA
- 10. Corridor redevelopment: Lowry Avenue MN
- 11. Transit, parks and trails: Atlanta Beltline GA
- 12. Coal-fired power plant: Taylor County FL
- 13. Farmers market revitalization: Trenton NJ

HIAs of Policies (N=14)

- 1. Local planning: Eastern neighborhoods CA
- 2. Area plan and rezoning: Eastern neighborhoods CA
- 3. After-school programs: Statewide CA
- 4. Walk-to-school programs: Sacramento CA
- 5. Public housing flooring policy: San Francisco CA
- 6. Living wage ordinance: San Francisco CA
- 7. Living wage ordinance: Los Angeles CA
- 8. Community transportation plan: Decatur GA
- 9. Low income rent subsidies: Statewide MA
- 10. Low income home energy subsidies: Statewide MA
- 11. Oil and gas leasing: Outer continental shelf AK
- 12. Oil and gas leasing: Chukchi Sea AK
- 13. Oil and gas leasing: National Petroleum Reserve AK
- 14. Federal farm bill: National

Decision-Making Organization for Project or Policy

City council; planning commission	N=17
State legislature	N= 3
US federal agency	N= 3
US Congress	N= 1
Non-profit organization	N= 1
Local cooperative	N= 1
State Dept. of Transportation	N= 1

Organization that Conducts HIA

Academic group; CDC Local health department Private consultants Tribal council N = 12 N = 9 N = 3 N = 3

Funder of HIA

N = 7
N = 7
N = 5
N = 3
N = 2
N = 2
N = 1

Scoping: Health Determinants Considered in 27 HIAs in U.S.

- Physical activity and obesity
- Housing adequacy and affordability
- Pedestrian injuries
- Air quality, asthma, other respiratory diseases
- Parks and greenspace
- Income adequacy; housing; social equity
- Diet, nutrition, food safety, food insecurity
- Adolescent risk behaviors alcohol, drugs, sex
- Noise
- Mental health
- Social capital, community severance
- Access to jobs, stores, schools, recreation

Population Affected by Project or Policy in 27 HIAs in U.S.

Small area within city City or county-wide Statewide National N = 13 N = 7 N = 6 N = 1

Primary impact on: Persons with low income Children/adolescents Whole population

N = 17 N = 2 N = 6

Community Involvement in Conduct of 27 HIAs in U.S.

Community input involved in conduct of 20 of 27 HIAs

 Barriers to community involvement in HIAs include lack of time or resources, human subjects research, or federal management restrictions

Conduct of 27 HIAs in U.S.

• Screening

– Some targeted to create change, others more academic

• Scoping

- Generally clearly described

Risk assessment

Various quantitative and qualitative methods

Recommendations

Most made recommendations, actionable ones best

Reporting

- Most on web, a few published; public testimony
- Evaluation
 - Relatively little conducted

Quantitative and Qualitative Health Indicators in 27 HIAs in U.S.

- Quantitative
 - Physical activity
 - Pedestrian injuries
 - Mortality
 - Impact of particulate matter in air
 - Crime
 - Parks and greenspace
- Qualitative
 - Academic performance
 - Income adequacy; social equity
 - Diet, nutrition, food safety, food insecurity
 - Adolescent risk behaviors alcohol, drugs, sex
 - Noise
 - Mental health; stress
 - Social capital, community severance
 - Access to jobs, stores, schools, recreation
 - Housing adequacy and affordability

Key Results in 27 HIAs in U.S.

- Most identified improvements needed to mitigate adverse health impacts
 - Redevelopment projects that lacked affordable housing; rental voucher program
- A few encouraged projects or policies that would be health-promoting as designed
 - Walk-to-school program; Beltline transit project
- One concluded that proposed program would fail to reach its intended target population
 - After-school programs

Impact of HIA on Subsequent Decisions in 27 HIAs in U.S.

- Documentable impacts were evident for some HIAs
 - Plan improvements to increase pedestrian safety
 - Change in redevelopment plans to provide 1:1 housing replacement for affected families
 - Noise mitigation measures required
 - Living wage ordinance adopted
 - Urban road corridor plans improved

 Most HIAs raised awareness of health issues for some audiences

Types of HIA Effectiveness

	Decisions modified due to HIA YES	NO
Health issues adequately acknowledged YES	Direct effectiveness: Changes made or project stopped because of HIA	General effectiveness: HIA acknowledged but changes not made; health awareness raised
NO	Opportunistic effectiveness: Health-promoting choice made anyway	No effectiveness: HIA ignored

Wismar M, et al. Effectiveness of HIA. WHO, 2007

HIA Level of Complexity

- Qualitative describe direction but not magnitude of predicted results
 - Easy to predict; hard to use in cost/benefit models
 - Example: Build a sidewalk and people will walk more
- Quantitative describe direction and magnitude of predicted results
 - Difficult to obtain data; useful for cost/benefit models
 - Hypothetical example: Build a sidewalk and 300 people who live within 200 yards of location will walk an average of 15 extra minutes per day

Cooper River Bridge Charleston, South Carolina

• If you build a walkway on a major bridge, how many pedestrians and bicyclists will use it?



Copyright 2007, Andrew Dannenberg, acd7@cdc.gov

Walkway on Cooper River Bridge, Charleston, South Carolina



Copyright 2007, Andrew Dannenberg, acd7@cdc.gov

Conclusions

- Use of HIA growing in U.S. with 27 HIAs completed and dozens more initiated
- Some HIAs conducted within context of Environmental Impact Assessment process
- Ongoing demand for HIA training materials and workshops
- Feasibility of developing more quantitative results
- Database of completed HIAs and other resources being developed at UCLA



Health Impact Assessments can help guide community design and land use choices to promote human health



www.ph.ucla.edu/hs/health-impact/ www.cdc.gov/healthyplaces