Rural Analysis of Data From a Medicare Demonstration

Brenda R. Wamsley, PhD

Session #5113.0

135th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association November 7, 2007, Washington, DC

Copyright 2007, Brenda R. Wamsley, wamsleyb@wvstateu.edu

Acknowledgements

 Office of Rural Health Policy/HRSA/DHHS (#06-S250-0597)

Project Officer: Joan Van Nostrand, DPA

 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (#95-C-90467/2-01)
Project Officer: J. Donald Sherwood

Purpose of Medicare Demonstration¹

To test and evaluate a model of:

- 1. Disease self-management/health promotion, and
- 2. Consumer-directed care

¹A Randomized Controlled Trial of Primary and Consumer-Directed Care for People with Chronic Illnesses (CMS 95-C-90467/2-01)

Objectives

- Reduce rate of functional decline;
- Improve health status and quality of life;
- Minimize inpatient hospital, Medicare, and total health care utilization and expenditures

Study Inclusion Criteria N=1605

- Community residing (NY, WV, OH); and
- Medicare Parts A and B eligible; and
- Moderately or severely impaired (2+ ADL deficits or 3+ IADL deficits); and
- Prior service use
 - Hospital or nursing home use in the past year
 - Medicare home health use in past year
 - -2 or more ED visits in past 6 months

Research Design – RCT (24-Month Intervention)

- Treatment Group A
 - Primary Care Health Promotion Nurse
- Treatment Group B
 - Consumer Directed Voucher for home care (\$250/month)
- Treatment Group C
 - Nurse + Voucher
- Control Group
 - Usual community care

Subject Recruitment Data Collection

- Recruited through primary care practices
- Data collected by trained interviewers at baseline, 12 months, 22 months
- Block random assignment
- Main Instruments
 - Assessment form (function, health, cognition, demographics, service use, caregiver data)
 - SF-36
 - Health Care Journal

Primary Care Health Promotion Nurse Intervention

- Special conference visits with primary care providers (PCPs)
- Health behavior change (coaching)
- Disease self-management
 - Knowledge
 - Skills
- Nurse home visits

Rural Sample

• Definition:

 Residing outside a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)

• Sample: 451 (out of 1605 total sample)

Baseline Characteristics (*P<.01; **P<.05)

- Rural (n=451)
 - Mean age = 77.7 (10.9)
 - 64.3% female*
 - 1.1% minority*
 - 76.1% HS or less*
 - 34.3% income less than \$10,000
 - 62.3% home ownership*
 - 33.3% lives alone**
 - 77.6% informal caregiver*

- Urban (n=1,154)
 - Mean age = 77.2 (11.5)
 - 70.9% female
 - 4.9% minority
 - 68.7% HS or less
 - 32.7% income less than \$10,000
 - 55.9% home ownership
 - 39.2% lives alone
 - 71.6% informal caregiver

Health and Functional Status

(**P*<.01)

- Rural (n=451)
 - ADL Score $(0-12) = 5.3^*$
 - 34.8% Fair/poor life sat.*
 - 32.4% Congestive heart failure*

- Urban (n=1,151)
 - ADL Score (0-12) = 5.8
 - 45.7 Fair/poor life sat.
 - 25.8% Congestive heart failure

Earlier Findings

- Rural participants in Nurse Group reported less decline in ADLs compared to controls (p=0.02). This finding held with rural (but not urban) sub-groups:
 - Heart conditions (p=0.04)
 - Hypertension (p=0.07)
- Cost neutrality (both urban and rural)

Conclusions

- The Primary Care Health Promotion Nurse intervention significantly lessened functional decline over a 22-month period;
- The positive effect of the intervention was stronger for rural than for urban participants;
- The intervention holds promise for high-risk disease groups, such as heart conditions and hypertension; and
- The intervention can be delivered without significantly increasing healthcare costs, and has the potential to actually lower total expenditures.