Disparities in Alcohol Environments and Adolescent Drinking across Sociodemographic Groups in California

> Khoa Truong, PhD Roland Sturm, PhD

American Public Health Association Annual Meeting November 7, 2007



Copyright 2007, Khoa Truong, truong@rand.org

Background

- 73% students consumed alcohol by high school graduation. 10.8 million aged 12 to 20 (28.3% of this age group) drinking alcohol past month. 7.2 million (19.0%) were binge drinkers, and 2.4 million (6.2%) were heavy drinkers (SAMHSA, 2007).
- Youth alcohol use associated w/ motor vehicle crashes, suicide, homicide, risky sexual behaviors, potential brain impairment...

e rand graduate school

Background

- Underage drinkers obtain alcoholic beverages from various sources including commercial outlets (Wagenaar et al., 1993).
- High success rates for youth to get alcohol from commercial sources (Forster et al., 1994, 1995; Freisthler et al., 2003).
 - Alcohol sales can play important role in underage drinking.
- Higher concentrations of alcohol outlets in minority neighborhoods (LaVeist & Wallace, 2000; Romley et al., 2007) but studies use aggregate administrative data (like cities or zip codes)

PARDEE RAND GRADUATE SCHOOL

Research Objectives

 Study locational patterns of alcohol outlets around residential homes across sociodemographic groups in California
Investigate a plausible relationship between various types of alcohol retailers and adolescent drinking

Methodologies Alcohol Data

- Data from California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
 - License data, classified by types (type 21: off-sale general; type 40: on-sale beer...)
 - Exact location of businesses available
- 52,000 active retail licenses in 2003
- Group licenses by on-sales, off-sales...

California Health Interview Survey (CHIS)

- Conducted by UCLA Center for Health Policy Research
- Two-stage, geographically stratified randomdigit-dial sample design
- Representative of CA's non-institutionalized population living in households
- CHIS 2003: 42,000 households, 4,000 adolescents
- We have access to restricted files with exact location of respondents



Definition of Alcohol Environments

We emphasize on

- Spatial accessibility (vs. conventional boundaries like census tracts)
- Matching data resolution to "process scale"
- Create multi-resolution data
- Homogeneity of neighborhood boundaries



Alcohol Environments Definition of Geographical Units



- 0.3 mile band 0.5 mile band 1.0 mile band
- 1.5 mile band
- Census Tracts
- Off-sale Beer & Wine Stores

- Create radii of 0.1 mi, 0.3 mi – 2.0 miles centered at each 15,000 residential homes w/ children < 18 years (sensitivity analysis: all 40,000 hshds in urban)
- Measure alcohol outlets located within each defined area
- Separate counts for onsales, off-sales, restaurants, bars, minor-restricted establishments...

RAND GRADUATE SCHOOL

Statistical Methods Alcohol environments

- Compare mean and median number of alcohol outlets located within each defined area across income and race groups
- Poisson regression with income and race as predictors of alcohol outlets

Alcohol Environments

Disparities in Exposure by Income & Race







RAND

Alcohol outlets within 0.5 mile from residences



PARDEE RAND GRADUATE SCHOOL

Alcohol Environments

Disparities in Exposure Stratified by Income/Race



Alcohol Outlets within 0.1 mi of Households in





PARDEE RAND GRADUATE SCHOOL

RAND

Alcohol Outlets within 0.5 mi of Households in Lowest Income Quartile







Copyright 2007, Khoa Truong, truong@rand.org

Adolescent Drinking

Adolescents ages 12-17

- At least 1 drink past 30 days
- At least one heavy drinking episode ((≥ 5 drinks) past 30 days
- Ever driving after drinking

All measures are dichotomous.



Statistical Methods

Alcohol Environments and Adolescent Drinking

Logit
$$[Y_{i,n,a}] = \beta_0 + X_{i,n,a} + N_n + N_n + \beta_2 + A_a + \beta_3$$

- Logit $[Y_{i,n,a}] = Log [Y_{i,n,a} / (1 Y_{i,n,a})]$
- Y_{i,n,a}: Drinking indicator of adolescent i living in neighborhood n, surrounded by alcohol environment a
- X_{i,n,a}: Adolescent i's individual and family characteristics including parent's drinking behaviors
- N_n: Sociodemographics of neighborhood n
- A_a: Alcohol retailers in defined area a

Alcohol Environments & Adolescent Drinking

| Effects of Alcohol Outlets on Adolescent Drinking | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|------------|--|
| | | | Ever Driving after | | |
| Alcohol Retailers | 5 drinks past 30 days | | Driking | | |
| | OR S | td. Err. | OR S | td. Err. | |
| Off-sales 0.5 mile radius | 1.026 | (0.01) * | 1.059 | (0.11) | |
| Off-sales 0.5-1.0 mile band | 0.987 | (0.01) | 0.897 | (0.08) | |
| Off-sales 1.0-2.0 mile band | 1.000 | (0.00) | 1.052 | (0.03) | |
| On-sales 0.5 mile radius | 1.025 | (0.01) * | 1.140 | (0.05) *** | |
| On-sales 0.5-1.0 mile band | 0.991 | (0.01) | 0.989 | (0.06) | |
| On-sales 1.0-2.0 mile band | 1.000 | (0.00) | 0.968 | (0.02) | |
| * significance at 5% level; ** at the 1% level; *** at 0.1% level | | | | | |



Disparities in Exposure & Adolescent Drinking

| Simulated Prevalence of Adolescent Drinking by Alcohol Availability | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Mean Number of Alcohol Outlets within 0.5 | Heavy Drinking | Ever Driving After | | |
| mile from Residences | Episode | Drinking | | |
| Δ sian I evel (IIa – 951) | 0.064 | 0.079 | | |
| T Shall Level (Oa = 7.51) | (0.060, 0.068) | (0.068, 0.090) | | |
| White Level ($IIw - 5.48$) | 0.056 | 0.060 | | |
| $\frac{1}{100} = 0.40$ | (0.052, 0.060) | (0.050, 0.069) | | |
| Lowest Income Level $(III - 9.53)$ | 0.064 | 0.079 | | |
| Lowest medine Level $(01 - 7.55)$ | (0.060, 0.068) | (0.068, 0.090) | | |
| Highest Income Level (11h – 5 37) | 0.056 | 0.059 | | |
| The first find the Lever (011 - 5.57) | (0.052, 0.060) | (0.049, 0.068) | | |
| Asian I owest Income I evel (IJal – 1255) | 0.067 | 0.098 | | |
| Asian Lowest meonic Lever (Gai = 12.55) | (0.063, 0.070) | (0.085, 0.109) | | |
| White Highest Income Level (11wh – 5 37) | 0.056 | 0.059 | | |
| (0 wir = 3.57) | (0.052, 0.060) | (0.049, 0.069) | | |



Limitations



egend 0.3 mile band 0.5 mile band 1.0 mile band

RAND

- 1.5 mile band Census Tracts
- Off-sale Beer & Wine Stores

- Buffer approach does not account for street network, roadway barriers...
- OLS independence assumption violated due to overlapping buffers
 - Spatial autocorrelation model improves model fitness
- License data does not tell business setting (liquor store vs. gas station...)
- Self-selection bias

Alcohol Environments

Policy Implications

Current moratorium on new licenses

- Densely populated counties <u>not</u> on moratorium list
- Grounds for protest or denial: too close to residences, playground... but mere proximity NOT sufficient
- Implications from analyses
 - Moratorium at county level not effective
 - Stricter regulation on minimum distance
 - Stronger enforcement of laws related to sales to underage



Acknowledgments

This research is funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Substance Abuse Policy Research Program. Additional funding for data management was provided by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.