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## Background

$>$ Rising obesity and declining physical activity are of concern because of the associated health risks
$>$ Starting in middle school, the level of moderate to vigorous physical activity declines in both boys and girls
$>$ By their senior year in high school over $80 \%$ of students are employed in the work force

## Purpose

$>$ To examine the relationship between after school employment and the level of moderate to vigorous physical activity among urban high school students

## Methods

Baltimore Active Living Teen Study
> A geographically diverse sample of 350 students was selected from 2 adjacent magnet high schools in the fall of 2005

- Recruitment was done through selected classrooms and was balanced by gender, grade and geographic location of residence
> Measurements were taken during the winter and spring of 2006


## Background- Participant distribution

Student distribution in Census Track


Data source: US Census Bureau, 2000

## Methods

Information was collected using:
$>$ Accelerometers for six-day objectively measured physical activity
> Three-day recall for self-reported physical activity
$>$ On-line survey for socio-demographic and psychosocial measures
$>$ Self reported travel diary

## Methods

> Two questions were asked regarding after school employment

- Do you usually take care of younger children? How many hours per school week?
How many hours per weekend?
- Do you have a job or do any volunteer work?

How many hours per school week?
How many hours per weekend?
> A composite variable of hours worked was created by combining school week and weekend time as well as child care, work and volunteer activities.

## Analysis

## Dependent Variable

> Minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per week

## Independent Variable

> Total number of hours worked after school including; child care, job and volunteer work

## Covariates

- Gender
> Parental education level
- Method of transportation to and from school and work


## Prevalence of students who work or take care of younger children

Students who take care of younger children during the week

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 182 | 53.7 |
| Yes | 162 | 46.3 |
| Total | 350 | 100 |

Students who work or have a volunteer job during the week

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 190 | 54.3 |
| Yes | 160 | 45.7 |
| Total | 350 | 100 |

## Mean hours students either work or take care of younger children

| child care or <br> work/volunteer | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| weekday childcare | 8.31 | 10.99 | 0 | 80 |
| weekend childcare | 8.93 | 11.00 | 0 | 72 |
| weekday work/volunteer | 8.38 | 8.13 | 0 | 40 |
| weekend work/volunteer | 8.60 | 7.11 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 40 |

## Participant Demographics by Hours Worked

| $N(\%)$ | 0 hour | $0.5 \sim 10$ hours | $>10$ hours |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $102(29.1)$ | $81(23.14)$ | $167(47.7)$ |
| Sex * |  |  |  |
| Girls | $46(22.55)$ | $50(24.51)$ | $108(52.94)$ |
| Boys | $56(38.36)$ | $31(21.23)$ | $59(40.41)$ |
| Grade ** |  |  |  |
| gin $^{2}$ | $41(35.96)$ | $33(28.95)$ | $40(35.09)$ |
| $10^{\text {in }}$ | $32(39.02)$ | $23(28.05)$ | $27(32.93)$ |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ | $7(15.22)$ | $12(26.08)$ | $27(58.70)$ |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | $22(20.37)$ | $13(12.03)$ | $73(67.59)$ |

Significance was determined by Chi square test for pairs of categorical variables. *: p<. 05 **: P<. 01 NS: not significant

## Participant Demographics by Hours Worked

| Total $\mathrm{N}=350$ | 0 hour | $0.5 \sim 10$ hours | $>10$ hours |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $102(29.1)$ | $81(23.14)$ | $167(47.7)$ |
| Father's Highest <br> Education ns |  |  |  |
| High school | $33(25.19)$ | $22(16.79)$ | $76(58.02)$ |
| College | $36(31.03)$ | $28(24.13)$ | $52(44.83)$ |
| Advanced degree | $11(40.74)$ | $7(25.92)$ | $9(33.33)$ |
| Mother's Highest <br> Education ns |  |  |  |
| High school | $27(26.47)$ | $18(17.64)$ | $57(55.88)$ |
| College | $51(27.72)$ | $45(24.45)$ | $\mathbf{8 8}(47.83)$ |
| Advanced degree | $14(36.84)$ | $11(28.94)$ | $13(34.21)$ |

Significance was determined by Chi square test for pairs of categorical variables. *: p<. 05 **: P<. 01 NS: not significant

## Number of hours worked by minutes of

 moderate and vigorous physical activity| Number of hours worked per week | Mean minutes of moderate PA per week <br> (std deviation) | Mean minutes of vigorous PA per week (std deviation) | Number of students <br> N (\%) <br> (Total=328*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 47.6 (19.95) | 2.5 (4.76) | 96 (29.26) |
| 0.5~10 | 49.1 (20.96) | 2.8 (4.50) | 77 (23.47) |
| >10 | 45.6 (18.32) | 1.6 (2.50) | 155 (47.25) |

*Some answers to how many hours worked were uncodable, which resulted in missing responses.

## Hours worked by moderate physical activity

## Moderate Physical Activity

|  | Difference <br> Between Means | Simultaneous $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.5 \sim 10 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{compared}$ <br> to Oh | 1.446 | -5.558 | 8.449 |
| $0.5 \sim 10 \mathrm{~h}$ compared <br> to $>10 \mathrm{~h}$ | 3.492 | -2.890 | $\mathbf{9 . 8 7 5}$ |
| 0h - compared to <br> $>10 \mathrm{~h}$ | 2.047 | -3.899 | 7.992 |

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by ***.

## Hours worked by vigorous physical activity

| Vigorous Physical Activity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Difference <br> Between Means | Simultaneous 95\% <br> Confidence Limits |  |
| $0.5 \sim 10 h$ compared <br> to 0h | 0.3037 | -1.0585 | 1.6658 |
| $0.5 \sim 10 h$ compared <br> to $>10 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4 0}$ | 2.4869 <br> $* * *$ |
| 0h compared to <br> $>10 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 1 8}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 2 1 4 7}$ | 2.0982 |

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by ***.

## Results

> Students who spent up to 10 hours a week taking care of younger children or working engaged in more minutes of vigorous physical activity than students who worked more than 10 hours a week.

$$
(p=0.004)
$$

## Student activity level while caring for younger children

| Take care of younger children |  | Amount Active |  |  | Total N(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rare/Never N (\%) | Occasional N (\%) | Often N (\%) |  |
|  | Boys | 11 (22.0) | 15 (30.0) | 24 (48.0) | 50 (30.9) |
|  | Girls | 12 (10.7) | 42 (37.5) | 58 (51.8) | 112 (69.1) |
| Total |  | 23 (14.2) | 57 (35.2) | 82 (50.6) | 162 (100) |

## Results

> Of youth who take care of younger children, they are more likely to report that they are often active while providing child care

## Methods of transportation of High School Students

|  | To school <br> $N(\%)$ | From school <br> $N(\%)$ | To job after <br> school <br> $N(\%)$ | From job after <br> school <br> $N(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public transportation | $176(50.29)$ | $201(57.43)$ | $66(33.50)$ | $33(16.84)$ |
| Get ride with family | $103(29.43)$ | $76(21.71)$ | $37(18.78)$ | $71(36.22)$ |
| Get ride with friend | $42(12)$ | $40(11.43)$ | $13(6.60)$ | $14(7.14)$ |
| drive | $21(6)$ | $23(6.57)$ | $32(16.24)$ | $35(17.86)$ |
| Walk | $4(1.14)$ | $6(1.71)$ | $28(14.21)$ | $24(12.24)$ |
| Bicycle | $3(0.86)$ | $3(0.86)$ | $1(0.51)$ | $1(0.51)$ |
| other | $1(0.29$ | $1(0.29)$ | $20(10.15)$ | $18(9.18)$ |
| Total $\mathbf{N}$ | 350 | 350 | 197 | 196 |

## Results

$>$ Between $12 \%$ and $14 \%$ of students who worked walked to and from their jobs.
$>$ Less than $2 \%$ of students walked to and from school.
$>$ Over 95\% of students took public transportation or rode to and from school.
> Around 75\% of students took public transportation or rode to and from their jobs.

## Discussion

> Students who work between 0.5 and 10 hours a week appear to make the most effective use of their time in terms of balancing work and physical activity. This is consistent with the literature.
> Above 10 hours of work per week appears to be detrimental to engaging in vigorous physical activity
> Childcare appears to allow students some opportunity for physical activity

## Limitations

> We did not distinguish between work and volunteer work, which may be of importance. It may be that the kind of work a student chooses to do has relevance as to how else they use their time.
> Because students could enter a free response to the question of how many hours a week they worked, this made coding difficult and resulted in some uncodable responses.
> Due to time constraints we were not able to look at the association between physical activity and hours worked while adjusting for other factors that could confound this association.

## Implications

> High school students spend a significant amount of their time in taking care of younger children and/or working
> Consideration should be given when choosing a job to what the impact will be on the physical activity level of the student
> Building physical activity opportunities into the work may be possible through actively commuting to the job, as well as being active while on the job, such as while taking care of younger children

