



Improving Quality Assurance Capacity at Health Centers in Ghana

Kerry Bruce MPH MA (Quality Health Partners), Richard E. Killian MCHA (Quality Health Partners), Cynthia Bannerman MBChB, MPH (Ghana Health Service)

Presented at the APHA Meeting 6 November 2007



Overview

- About the Quality Health Partners (QHP) Project
- History of Quality Assurance in Ghana
- QHP's Interventions
- Results
- Conclusions
- Way Forward





Quality Health Partners



- Five year, USAID bilateral project in Ghana
- Managed by EngenderHealth; JHPIEGO and Abt Associates as partners





- Improving reproductive and child health in 30 target districts in 7 southern regions
- Work on Focus ANC, Safe Delivery, IMCI, Family Planning, Clinical care of HIV and AIDS, Malaria and Disease Surveillance





Quality Assurance in Ghana



- 2002 first Quality Assurance Manual for Ghana printed – (revised in 2005)
 - Aimed at hospital level care
- 2005 first "sub-district" QA Manual printed
 - Aimed at the health center level and below.
- These Ghana Health Service (GHS) manuals define quality, quality assurance, review its principles, standards in health care and how to monitor and implement QA programs





QHP's Interventions related to QA

- Since 2005 QHP supported the development and rollout of both the hospital and health centre level QA manuals at the District level.
- 337 providers and managers were trained by QHP / GHS since 2005.

**In Volta Region and Greater Accra Regional and District Management teams and providers from key hospitals were trained. The whole district approach was not used.







QHP's Interventions related to QA

- Supported application of COPE© for reproductive health and ART services.
- Trained 402 providers and supervisors in facilitative supervision.
- Now working with GHS to develop an in-depth supervision and on-thejob training tool to improve quality of clinical supervision.







Measuring Quality - Methodology



- In December 2004 Baseline Assessment census of facilities in the 30 targeted districts (n=157).
- Midterm Assessment
 September 2007 (n=193).
 Census of same facilities
 (plus additional facilities in the target area).
- Compare mid-term with baseline to identify and understand changes.





Number of facilities surveyed by type and region

	Baseline Dec 04	Midterm Sep 07								
Type of Facility										
Regional Hospitals	7	7								
District/Mission/Hospitals	28	30								
Health Centres/Other	122	156								
Region										
Ashanti	19	23								
Brong Ahafo	8	12								
Central	60	72								
Eastern	11	10								
Greater Accra	5	6								
Volta	32	41								
Western	22	29								
	157	193								





Quality Assurance Attributes at Baseline and Midterm

·		• • • •		114	0/ 66 1	• • • •	0/ C
	% of facilities			% of Quality		ities that	% of
	with a QA Team		Assurance		have regular mgt		facilities ever
			Teams that have		meetings at least		done COPE
			an Action Plan		once per month		exercise
Type of Facility							
	BL	MT	BL	MT	BL	MT	МТ
Regional	71.4	100.0	60.0	100.0	100.0	85.7	100.0
Hospitals							
•							
District/	85.7	89.7	79.2	83.3	78.6	63.3	83.3
Mission/							
Hospitals							
•							
Health	21.0	42.9	56.0	54.5	34.4	52.6	24.7
Centres/							
Other							
Totals	35.1	52.1	66.7	64.9	45.2	55.4	36.6
	n=154	n=190	n=54	n=97	n=157	n=193	n=192
				.8601			11-102
p values	.0016		.0001		.0676		



% of Facilities with a QA Team by Region







Methods of Quality Assurance used-Overall (unprompted)







Activities done by Supervisors during Supervision (reported by facilities)







Types of Supervision Activities Reported by RHMTs and DHMTs (Midterm)







Supportive Management

- 90% of RHMT/DHMTs had staff who have been trained in facilitative supervision
- 77% of RHMT/DHMTs reported they have written plans for supervisory visits
- 94% of RHMT/DHMTs have supervisory checklists









Conclusions

- Can increase % of facilities with QA team when
 - Provide QA training for a broad population of providers / managers at the District Level
- Follow up and support for new QA teams is essential to their continuing activity.





Way Forward

- Continue QA training in Districts that have not yet benefitted.
- Follow up with facilities on the status of their Action Plans until updating and working with them is routine.
- Improve quality of In-depth-Supervision/On the Job Training









