# Evaluation of *Community Voices Miami* CHW program:

an initiative to empower CHWs

#### Maria Elena Villar, MPH

University of Miami / Florida International University

&

Maritza Concha, MA

Covian Consulting, Inc

# **Objectives**

- 1. Present evaluation strategies used with the CHW's program
- 2. Explain how results were used to strengthen the collaborative.

# Phase I: CHW Training Pilot

<u>Purpose of evaluation</u>: Assess program's effectiveness and immediate impact.

- Evaluation data consisted of:
  - Participant demographics
  - Attendance and participation records
  - Pre and post tests
  - □ Field experience assessments
  - Client logs
  - Participant's focus groups
  - Interviews with supervisors

# RESULTS: CHW Pilot Program

### Satisfaction surveys

- □ 97% were satisfied with the course content.
- □ 91% would recommend this program
- □ 75% reported increased knowledge about community outreach
- Instructors were favorably evaluated by students.

# Qualitative Analysis (supervisor & participant interviews; open-ended responses on surveys)

- □ Usefulness of training vs. usefulness of certificate
- Need for supervisor involvement
- □ Importance of cultural competence in CHW work
- Educational plans of participants
- □ Barriers perceived by CHWs in the field:
  - Undervaluing of their contribution
  - Inconsistency in job descriptions and required skills;
  - Lack of specialized training and opportunities for skill development;
  - High turnover rates due to low compensation and job dissatisfaction

# RESULTS: CHW Pilot Program

#### Immediate Impact

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

- Between the pre and post surveys, at least 2 participants obtained a salary increase while others had been promoted to another position.
- The program renewed participants' interest in pursuing educational goals and considered the program a step towards career advancement.

#### **PROGRAM**

- Supervisor orientation
  - course content and expectations
  - □ Help evaluating skills
- Different level courses
  - Courses eligible for college credit to accommodate those CHWs pursuing college degrees.
  - Specialization courses to meet diverse roles filled by CHWs.

# Phase II: Curriculum Development

Purpose of evaluation: ensure a pluralistic participatory process that included input from all stakeholders, particularly CHWs.

- Evaluation data consisted of:
  - Attendance logs
    - document membership and participation
  - Communication logs
    - channels of communication
  - Participant survey
    - practices in leadership and communication
    - document member roles
    - perceived effectiveness of curriculum development process and products

### RESULTS

# Attendance & Communication Logs

- Attendance records demonstrated a broad representation in the selection of curriculum core competencies; and module development.
- ❖ Communication logs were administered at the end of each curriculum workgroup meeting (N=52).
  - Over 90% of participants had been in contact with other curriculum workgroup members about the project
  - 81% reported talking to external agents about this program
  - Reasons why participants not able to complete assignments
    - Needed more time
    - Needed more details and information
    - Had challenges researching assigned topics.

### RESULTS

# Participant Online Survey

- Online survey was launched in March 2007
  - □ Link sent to 70 members of curriculum workgroup or the stakeholders group
  - □ 21/70 surveys were returned; response rate 30%, acceptable for online and e-mail surveys (Sheenan, 2001; Hamilton, 2003)
- Respondents reported motivation for participating:
  - experiencing how a plan became a reality
  - contributing to the development of something new in Miami Dade
  - gathering research on roles of CHW from different perspectives
  - networking

### Overall Project Impact

- Developed and implemented 3-level college-based CHW training program
- Created horizontal participatory mechanisms in the development of the College Certificate and Associate Degree curricula and implementation strategies
- Empowered CHWs at the policy making level
  - □ Emphasize that all participants have influence in decision making
  - Create feedback mechanisms
- Made this partnership an example of best practice
  - ☐ Pluralistic participatory organizational communication (WCCD, 2006)

## Conclusion

Evaluation can be means to empower community health workers and support the work of the collaborative.

- Sharing knowledge
- Creating feedback mechanisms
- Facilitating communication & participation
- Informing policy decisions