ariations of Risk and Resilience for Mental Illness among Iexican American Parents

Rajni Leela Nair Rebecca M. B. White Mark W. Roosa Delia Saenz George Knight Katharine H. Zeiders Ginger Lockhart Burrell Nancy A. Gonzales Prevention Research Center, Arizona State University

The Family Project/Proyecto la Familia

The Family Project gratefully acknowledges the families for their participation in the oroject. Work on this project was supported, in part, by NIMH grants R01-MH68920 and by the Cowden Fellowship Program of the School of Social and Family Dynamics at Arizona State University.



Background

roblem

- Children of parents with mental disorders are at increased risk for developing mental illness (Cummings & Davies, 1994; Cummings, Davies, & Campbell, 2000; Downey & Coyne, 1990; Murray et al., 1996; Weissman et al, 1997)
- It is important to identify risk factors for mental illness in parents because prevention/treatment of parental mental disorders may help to prevent mental illnesses in offspring

Background

opulation

- Hispanics are the largest & fastest growing ethnic minority in the U.S.
- Mexican Americans (MAs) comprise 66% of the Hispanic population

Risks

 High rates of mental disorders have been found among MA children and adults

Aims

Examine rates of clinically significant depressive symptomatology, "depression," among MA mothers and fathers

Rather than compare MA rates to other racial ethnic groups, conduct within group analyses that permit the identification of potentially unique ways that risk/protective factors may operate in this group Despite the fact tha MAs are the largest Lating subgroup, and that menta health problems are prevalen among this group, little i known about risk and protective factors fo depression within this group or among parents within thi group

Methods

Random & purposive sampling of 47 public, religious, and charter schools throughout Phoenix metro area

- In-home CAPI interviews with 750 families (73% of eligible families)
 - Participation of mother and child required
 - Participation of father optional
- Approximately 2-hour battery, available in Spanish or English
- Families paid \$45 for each participating member

Participants were students in 5th grade and their families who were selected from school roster in areas that served ethnically and linguistically diverse communities

Data & Analyses

727 mothers and 458 fathers included in the current analyses

Analyses conducted separately by nativity of respondent, and together with nativity as a moderator

CES-D was used to assess depressive symptomatology: Scores \geq 16 were coded as "cases of depression"

Logistic regression used to model the association between individual, contextual, and socioeconomic predictors and (a) mother and (b) father depression Data for this study come from the first wave o an ongoing longitudina studying investigating the role of culture and context in the lives of 750 MA families

Data & Analyses: Predictors

Individual Characteristics

- Age
- Language spoken

Contextual

- Number of parents in the household (moms only)
- Household crowding
- Experiences with discrimination

Socioeconomic

- Income
- Educational attainment

I chose individual, contextual and socioeconomic predictors that are easily assessed in community-based, public mental health settings

| | U.SBorn (n=192) | Mexico-Born ($n=535$) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 32.3% | 50.5% |
| ingle-parent household* | 40.6% | 18.1% |
| Iother interview in English* | 92.2% | 9.0% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 35.7 (6.2) | 35.9 (5.7) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.3 (1.9) | 5.5 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.3 (0.7) | 2.3 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 9.6 (5.4) | 5.7 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.5 (2.6) | 9.7 (3.7) |
| | | |

| | U.SBorn (n=192) | Mexico-Born ($n=535$) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 32.3% | 50.5% |
| ingle-parent household* | 40.6% | 18.1% |
| Iother interview in English* | 92.2% | 9.0% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 35.7 (6.2) | 35.9 (5.7) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.3 (1.9) | 5.5 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.3 (0.7) | 2.3 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 9.6 (5.4) | 5.7 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.5 (2.6) | 9.7 (3.7) |

| | U.SBorn (n=192) | Mexico-Born ($n=535$) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 32.3% | 50.5% |
| ingle-parent household* | 40.6% | 18.1% |
| Iother interview in English* | 92.2% | 9.0% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 35.7 (6.2) | 35.9 (5.7) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.3 (1.9) | 5.5 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.3 (0.7) | 2.3 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 9.6 (5.4) | 5.7 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.5 (2.6) | 9.7 (3.7) |
| | | |

| | U.SBorn (n=192) | Mexico-Born ($n=535$) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 32.3% | 50.5% |
| ingle-parent household* | 40.6% | 18.1% |
| Iother interview in English* | 92.2% | 9.0% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 35.7 (6.2) | 35.9 (5.7) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.3 (1.9) | 5.5 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.3 (0.7) | 2.3 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 9.6 (5.4) | 5.7 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.5 (2.6) | 9.7 (3.7) |
| 4 | | |

| | U.SBorn (n=192) | Mexico-Born (n=535) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 32.3% | 50.5% |
| ingle-parent household* | 40.6% | 18.1% |
| Iother interview in English* | 92.2% | 9.0% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 35.7 (6.2) | 35.9 (5.7) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.3 (1.9) | 5.5 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.3 (0.7) | 2.3 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 9.6 (5.4) | 5.7 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.5 (2.6) | 9.7 (3.7) |
| | | |

| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | _ | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: | Age | 0.96 (0.91, 1.02) | 0.98 (0.96, 1.02) | n.s. |
| English v | vs. Spanish | 0.43 (0.11, 1.67) | 1.07 (0.55, 2.06) | n.s |
| ontextual: 1- v | rs. 2-parent | 1.79 (0.87, 3.70) | 1.39 (0.85, 2.27) | n.s. |
| Household | d crowding | 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) | 1.12 (1.01, 1.23) | n.s. |
| Disc | crimination | 1.63 (1.01, 2.64) | 1.71 (1.33, 2.21) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.97 (0.90, 1.05) | 0.92 (0.86, 0.97) | n.s. |
| | Education | 0.94 (0.82, 1.09) | 0.96 (0.91, 1.01) | n.s. |
| | | $\chi^2(7)=19.55*$ | $\chi^2(7)=41.43*$ | $\chi^2(11)=76.02*$ |

| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | _ | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: | Age | 0.96 (0.91, 1.02) | 0.98 (0.96, 1.02) | n.s. |
| English vs | . Spanish | 0.43 (0.11, 1.67) | 1.07 (0.55, 2.06) | n.s |
| ontextual: 1-vs. | 2-parent | 1.79 (0.87, 3.70) | 1.39 (0.85, 2.27) | n.s. |
| Household | crowding | 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) | 1.12 (1.01, 1.23) | n.s. |
| Discri | imination | 1.63 (1.01, 2.64) | 1.71 (1.33, 2.21) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.97 (0.90, 1.05) | 0.92 (0.86, 0.97) | n.s. |
| E | Education | 0.94 (0.82, 1.09) | 0.96 (0.91, 1.01) | n.s. |
| | | $\chi^2(7)=19.55*$ | $\chi^2(7)=41.43*$ | $\chi^2(11)=76.02*$ |



| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | _ | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: | Age | 0.96 (0.91, 1.02) | 0.98 (0.96, 1.02) | n.s. |
| English v | vs. Spanish | 0.43 (0.11, 1.67) | 1.07 (0.55, 2.06) | n.s |
| ontextual: 1- v | rs. 2-parent | 1.79 (0.87, 3.70) | 1.39 (0.85, 2.27) | n.s. |
| Household | d crowding | 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) | 1.12 (1.01, 1.23) | n.s. |
| Disc | crimination | 1.63 (1.01, 2.64) | 1.71 (1.33, 2.21) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.97 (0.90, 1.05) | 0.92 (0.86, 0.97) | n.s. |
| | Education | 0.94 (0.82, 1.09) | 0.96 (0.91, 1.01) | n.s. |
| | | $\chi^2(7)=19.55*$ | $\chi^2(7)=41.43*$ | $\chi^2(11)=76.02*$ |



| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|-----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | _ | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: | Age | 0.96 (0.91, 1.02) | 0.98 (0.96, 1.02) | n.s. |
| English vs | s. Spanish | 0.43 (0.11, 1.67) | 1.07 (0.55, 2.06) | n.s |
| ontextual: 1-vs | . 2-parent | 1.79 (0.87, 3.70) | 1.39 (0.85, 2.27) | n.s. |
| Household | crowding | 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) | 1.12 (1.01, 1.23) | n.s. |
| Discr | imination | 1.63 (1.01, 2.64) | 1.71 (1.33, 2.21) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.97 (0.90, 1.05) | 0.92 (0.86, 0.97) | n.s. |
| I | Education | 0.94 (0.82, 1.09) | 0.96 (0.91, 1.01) | n.s. |
| | | $\chi^2(7)=19.55*$ | $\chi^2(7)=41.43*$ | $\chi^2(11)=76.02*$ |



| | U.SBorn (n=91) | Mexico-Born ($n=367$) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 15.4% | 28.6% |
| ather interview in English* | 95.6% | 5.2% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 37.7 (6.1) | 38.1 (6.2) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.4 (1.6) | 5.6 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.2 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 12.5 (5.4) | 6.5 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.6 (2.3) | 9.4 (4.0) |
| t < 0.001 | | |

| | U.SBorn (n=91) | Mexico-Born ($n=367$) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 15.4% | 28.6% |
| ather interview in English* | 95.6% | 5.2% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 37.7 (6.1) | 38.1 (6.2) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.4 (1.6) | 5.6 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.2 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 12.5 (5.4) | 6.5 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.6 (2.3) | 9.4 (4.0) |
| h < 0.001 | | |

| | U.SBorn (n=91) | Mexico-Born ($n=367$) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 15.4% | 28.6% |
| ather interview in English* | 95.6% | 5.2% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| ge (years) | 37.7 (6.1) | 38.1 (6.2) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.4 (1.6) | 5.6 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.2 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 12.5 (5.4) | 6.5 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.6 (2.3) | 9.4 (4.0) |
| 0. 0.04 | | |

| | U.SBorn (n=91) | Mexico-Born (n=367) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | 0⁄0 | 0⁄0 |
| epression* | 15.4% | 28.6% |
| ather interview in English* | 95.6% | 5.2% |
| | mean (SD) | mean (SD) |
| .ge (years) | 37.7 (6.1) | 38.1 (6.2) |
| lousehold crowding | 5.4 (1.6) | 5.6 (1.9) |
| xperience w/ Discrimination | 2.2 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.7) |
| ncome (in \$5,000)* | 12.5 (5.4) | 6.5 (3.5) |
| lighest level of Education* | 12.6 (2.3) | 9.4 (4.0) |
| | | |

| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: Age (y | vears) | 1.10 (0.99, 1.22) | 0.96 (0.92, 0.99) | p <.05 |
| Spanish v | vs. English | 1.61 (0.09, 27.91) | 1.08 (0.26, 4.47) | n.s. |
| o <mark>ntextual:</mark> Hhld | Crwdng | 0.64 (0.36, 1.13) | 0.87 (0.75, 0.99) | n.s. |
| Disc | rimination | 2.11 (0.83, 5.38) | 1.52 (1.07, 2.16) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.76 (0.64, 0.92) | 0.91 (0.84, 0.99) | n.s. |
| | Education | 0.83 (0.61, 1.16) | 0.90 (0.84, 0.96) | n.s. |
| est of Global N [ypothesis | ull | $\chi^2(6)=22.60*$ | $\chi^2(6)=28.73*$ | $\chi^2(13)=58.55*$ |

| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | _ | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: Age (y | years) | 1.10 (0.99, 1.22) | 0.96 (0.92, 0.99) | p <.05 |
| Spanish | vs. English | 1.61 (0.09, 27.91) | 1.08 (0.26, 4.47) | n.s. |
| ontextual: Hhld | Crwdng | 0.64 (0.36, 1.13) | 0.87 (0.75, 0.99) | n.s. |
| Disc | crimination | 2.11 (0.83, 5.38) | 1.52 (1.07, 2.16) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.76 (0.64, 0.92) | 0.91 (0.84, 0.99) | n.s. |
| | Education | 0.83 (0.61, 1.16) | 0.90 (0.84, 0.96) | n.s. |
| | | $\chi^2(6)=22.60*$ | $\chi^2(6)=28.73*$ | $\chi^2(13)=58.55*$ |

| | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: Age (years) | 1.10 (0.99, 1.22) | 0.96 (0.92, 0.99) | p <.05 |
| Spanish vs. English | 1.61 (0.09, 27.91) | 1.08 (0.26, 4.47) | n.s. |
| ontextual: Hhld Crwdng | 0.64 (0.36, 1.13) | 0.87 (0.75, 0.99) | n.s. |
| Discrimination | 2.11 (0.83, 5.38) | 1.52 (1.07, 2.16) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: Income | 0.76 (0.64, 0.92) | 0.91 (0.84, 0.99) | n.s. |
| Education | 0.83 (0.61, 1.16) | 0.90 (0.84, 0.96) | n.s. |
| | $\chi^2(6)=22.60*$ | $\chi^2(6)=28.73*$ | $\chi^2(13)=58.55*$ |

| | | U.SBorn | Mexico-Born | Full Sample |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | |
| ndividual: Age (years) | | 1.10 (0.99, 1.22) | 0.96 (0.92, 0.99) | p <.05 |
| Spanish | vs. English | 1.61 (0.09, 27.91) | 1.08 (0.26, 4.47) | n.s. |
| ontextual: Hhld | Crwdng | 0.64 (0.36, 1.13) | 0.87 (0.75, 0.99) | n.s. |
| Disc | crimination | 2.11 (0.83, 5.38) | 1.52 (1.07, 2.16) | n.s. |
| ocioeconomic: | Income | 0.76 (0.64, 0.92) | 0.91 (0.84, 0.99) | n.s. |
| | Education | 0.83 (0.61, 1.16) | 0.90 (0.84, 0.96) | n.s. |
| | | $\chi^2(6)=22.60*$ | $\chi^2(6)=28.73*$ | $\chi^2(13)=58.55*$ |

Discussion: Rates of Depression among Mothers and Fathers

Mexico-born mothers and fathers had higher rates of depression than U.S.-born mothers and fathers.

- Grant et al. (2004): U.S.-born MA have
 greater than twice the odds of having any
 mental disorder compared to Mexico born MA, controlling for
 sociodemographic differences
- Escobar et al. (2000): "Mexico-born immigrants, despite significant socioeconomic disadvantages, have better mental health profiles than do U.S.-born Mexican Americans" (p. 64)

Discussion: Risk/Protective Factors

Mothers and fathers who experience discrimination have higher odds of having depression

- Consistent with prior work
 - Bhui et al. (2005), Diaz et al. (2001), Find et al. (2000), Gee (2002), Kessler et al. (1999), Williams et al. (2003)
- Public health programs and/or policies designed to effectively combat discrimination against MA are likely to b socially responsible and successful prevention efforts

Discussion

Increases in income were associated with decreased odds of having depression for Mexico-born mothers and fathers, and for U.S.-born fathers. Education operated similarly, but only for Mexico-born fathers

- Generally, SES is considered protective for mental disorders
 - Bromberger et al. (2004); Dearing et al. (2004)
- It is likely that decreases in income and education are associated with increases in financial hardships, which in turn, increa levels of stress and depressive symptomatology

Discussion

Increases in Household crowding were a risk factor for Mexico-born mothers, but operated as a protective factor for Mexico-born fathers.

- Prior work has conceptualized household crowding as a stressor associated with increases in mental disorders (Lepore et al., 1991)
- Rubuck & Pandey (1996) found gender differences in responses to household crowding among men and women in Inc.
- More work needed to determine if ORs shown here are different for fathers and mothers