Understanding negotiation and use of female-controlled barrier methods

American Public Health Association 135th Annual Meeting and Expo November 3-7 2007 Washington DC

Marieke van Dijk, MD, Regional Associate



Co-investigators:
Diana Lara
Sandra García
Daniel Grossman
Jhoanne Muñoz

Overview

- Background
- Methodology
- Results
 - Female condom
- Diaphragm
- •Use
- Negotiation
- Acceptability
- Conclusions

Female-controlled barrier methods

- Women suffer from serious consequences of STI's (PID, ectopic pregnancy, infertility, cervical cancer)
- Vulnerable populations (e.g. sex workers)
 more affected and often unable to negotiate
 male condom use
- Renewed interest in diaphragm and womenfriendly microbicides

Methodology

- Two study sites in Dominican Republic (Puerto Plata and Santiago)
- In-depth interviews with
 - 18 female sex workers
 - 22 male sexual partners (7 regular partners, 15 new clients)
- Analysis of transcriptions by two researchers



The female condom (FC)



FC: Use

12 women were frequent users (good experiences)

2 had difficulties inserting it

 All 22 men had at least one experience with the female condom

FC: Negotiation

- Three strategies:
 - 1. Give both options (male and female condom)
 - 2. Negotiate the female condom when men refuse male condom
 - 3. Use the female condom secretly

FC: Acceptability – men's attitudes

- According to women:
 - Reluctance, but they accept after explanation
 - They like it, as they don't need to use a male condom
 - They get angry and mistreat the women

- According to men:
 - High satisfaction with female condom!

FC: Advantages

- According to women:
 - Women feel protected (18)
 - Beneficial for their business (6)
 - They have 'the control' (5)
 - Protection against pregnancy(4)
 - Comfortable (3)
 - No rests of lubrication gel inside of vagina (1)

- According to men:
 - Protection against all diseases, including HIV (12)
 - Comfortable (male condom is tight)
 - Feel more 'free', more natural

FC: Disadvantages

- According to women:
 - Looks ugly (5)
 - Strange noise while having intercourse (2)
 - Excess of lubricant gel (2)
 - 'Penile misrouting' (2)
 - Irritation of the skin (1)

- According to men:
 - Unfamiliar method, more confidence in male condom(3)

The diaphragm



Diaphragm: Use

- 10 women reported frequent use
- Often tried out with regular partner first (5)
- 3 women had problems inserting it 1st time
- 3 women non-compliance with 6 hrs interval after intercourse
- 2 women accepted sex without male condom
- 8 men had experience with the diaphragm (1 client, 7 regular partners)

Diaphragm: Negotiation

- Regular partners
 - Were told about use diaphragm (before or after intercourse)
 - Secret use
- Clients
 - Secret use

Diaphragm: Acceptability - men's attitudes

- According to women:
 - They accept after explanation of method
 - They like it, as they don't need to use a male condom
 - They get angry and mistreat the women

- According to men:
 - OK, but not very safe

Diaphragm: Advantages

- According to women:
 - Back-up method against diseases (10)
 - Can be used without knowledge men (10)
 - Can be used during menstruation (6)
 - Comfortable (5)
 - Protects against pregnancy (2)

- According to men:
 - Protection against pregnancy (5)
 - Protection against diseases, including HIV (3)
 - No need to use male condom (2)

Diaphragm: Disadvantages

- According to women:
 - Pain, irritation, discomfort(6)
 - Lack of confidence in protection against diseases; does not protect against HIV (4)
 - Has to be left in vagina for six hours (3)
 - Some men can feel it (3)

- Problems according to men:
 - Lack of protection

Conclusions

- Introduction female-controlled barrier methods improved women's options to have protected sex
- Acceptability of female condoms is high for women and men
- Diaphragm use seems higher with regular partners than with clients

Conclusions (cont.)

 More data are needed on condom migration after introducing female-controlled barrier methods

 Strategies are needed to disseminate information on female-controlled barrier methods among clients and regular partners

THANK YOU!

mvandijk@popcouncil.org.mx

Acknowledgements:

- Ibis Reproductive Health
- PSI
- USAID DR
- Leticia Fernandez
- Hector Jerez
- Francisco Paz

Local partners:

- CEPROSH
- COIN
- MODEMU
- Profamilia