

Cost Effectiveness of Rabies Post Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP)

**Dhankhar P, Vaidya SA,
Fishbien DB, Meltzer MI**



Model

Cost effectiveness: net cost (in dollars) per life saved

$$\$/ \text{ life saved PEP} = \frac{\$ \text{ PEP} - (\text{Probability death X } \$ \text{ value Life})}{\text{Probability death if no PEP} - 0}$$

Assume PEP, when given per recommendations, is 100% effective

Model

Three cost effectiveness ratios

➤ Average Cost Effectiveness

- average cost & median probability

➤ Least Cost Effectiveness

- maximum cost & minimum probability

➤ Most Cost Effectiveness

- minimum cost & maximum probability

Assumptions

- Clinical cases of human rabies have only one outcome (death)
- PEP for rabies, when given as per recommendations, is essentially 100% effective in preventing a clinical case of rabies

Assumptions

- Perspective: Societal
- Time Line: One year
- Discounting: No discounting
 - Exception : Value of life lost (see later)
- All costs adjusted to 2004 \$

Inputs: Cost of biologics

Parameter	Average	Min	Max
Vaccine	\$311	\$113	\$679
Number of doses	5	5	5
HRIG (6.3 ml dose)	<u>\$761</u>	<u>\$326</u>	<u>\$1,434</u>
Total \$ biologics	<u>\$2,315</u>	<u>\$889</u>	<u>\$4,831</u>

Source: Kreindel, et al Public Health Reports, 1998, 113. 247-251
Adjusted to 2004 \$



Inputs: Hospital and physician charges

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Emergency room 1st visit	\$122	\$97	\$156
Emergency room return visit	\$100	\$48	\$156
# return emergency room visits	4	4	4
Physician's charge	\$105	\$59	\$125
Physician's follow up	\$100	\$59	\$129
# physician follow up visits	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>
Total hospital costs	<u>\$1,027</u>	<u>\$584</u>	<u>\$1,423</u>

Source: Kreindel, et al Public Health Reports, 1998, 113. 247-251
Adjusted to 2004 \$



Inputs: Other Costs

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Patient Indirect Costs	\$700	\$161	\$2,161

Source: Shwiff et al: Journal of Wildlife Diseases (in press).
Adjusted to 2004 \$



Inputs: Dollar Value of life

- Average present value of expected future lifetime earnings and housekeeping services, discounted at 3% and assuming an average life span of 75 years
- Value of Human Life (in 2004 \$) = **\$1,109,920**

Source:. S.D. Grosse. Appendix I: In Haddix et al., Prevention Effectiveness. 2003.
Adjusted to 2004 \$



Inputs: Probability of Rabies Transmission

- Lack of data
 - Except when have animal tested positive
- Delphi Technique (expert panel)
 - Scenarios of possible exposure
- Result: Wide range of probabilities

Inputs: Probabilities of Rabies Transmission

<u>Type of exposure*</u>	<u>Median</u>	<u>Range</u>
Skunk bite	0.05	0.1 – 0.01
Possible Bat Bite Exposure	0.001	0.01 – .000001
Unknown Dog (bite)	0.00001	0.001 – 0.00001
Unknown Dog (lick scabbed wound)	0.000001	0.00001 – 0.000001
Unknown Cat (bite)	0.001	0.01 – 0.00001
Unknown Cat (lick scabbed wound)	0.000001	0.0001 – 0.000001
<u>Exposure to Rabid Human†</u>	<u>0.000001</u>	<u>0.00001 – 0.000001</u>

*Animals not available for testing

† No recognized bite/saliva exposure

Source: Expert panel: In Vaidya et al. Forthcoming



Model

Cost effectiveness: net cost (in dollars) per life saved

$$\$/ \text{ life saved PEP} = \frac{\$ \text{ PEP} - (\text{Probability death X } \$ \text{ value Life})}{\text{Probability death if no PEP} - 0}$$

Assume PEP, when given per recommendations, is 100% effective

RESULTS

"Costs"

<u>Rabies Transmission Scenario</u>	<u>First (Baseline) Cost Scenario</u>	
Animal tests positive	Cost Saving	
Skunk bite*	Cost Saving	
Possible Bat Bite Exposure*	\$2.9 million	(Cost Saving - \$8.4 billion)
Dog (bite)*	\$403 million	(\$524,080 - \$840 million)
Dog (lick)*	\$4 billion	(\$162 million - \$8.4 billion)
Cat (bite)*	\$2.9 million	(Cost Saving - \$840 million)
Cat (lick)*	\$4 billion	(\$15 million - \$8.4 billion)
<u>Exposure to Rabid Human †</u>	<u>\$4 billion</u>	<u>(\$162 million - \$8.4 billion)</u>

*Animals not available for testing

† No recognized bite/saliva exposure



Sensitivity Analysis

Two additional cost scenarios:

- Doubled all PEP-associated costs, and kept the value of human life at baseline
- Doubled the value of human life whilst keeping the cost of PEP unchanged

Sensitivity Analyses: Other cost scenarios

Exposure scenario	2 nd Cost Scenario	3 rd Cost Scenario
Animal tests positive	Cost Saving - \$573,080	Cost Saving
Possible Bat Bite Exposure*	\$6.9 million (Cost Saving - \$17 billion)	\$1.8 million (Cost Saving - \$8.4 billion)
Dog (bite)*	\$807 million (\$2.2 million - \$1.7 billion)	\$401 million (Cost Saving - \$839 million)
Dog (lick)*	\$8 billion (\$326 million - \$17 billion)	\$4 billion (\$161 million - \$8.4 billion)
Exposure to Rabid Human†	\$8 billion (\$326 million - \$17 billion)	\$4 billion (\$161 million - \$8.4 billion)

*Animals not available for testing

† No recognized bite/saliva exposure



Conclusions

- Cost saving if patient is bitten by a rabid animal that has tested positive for rabies
- Cost saving if it is a bite from a reservoir/vector species (e.g. skunk bite)
- On average, no cost saving for all other scenarios of rabies transmission
- Very wide range of cost effectiveness for most of the scenarios

Limitations

- Dollar Value of Human Life
- Wide Range of Probabilities
 - Most important input
- Some scenarios of rabies transmission might have been missed

