

Burton Silverstein, PhD
HCR Manor Care
Patricia A. Findley, DrPH, MSW
Rutgers University
Rita K. Bode, PhD
Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago



Decreasing Hospital LOS and Increasing Shift of Rehabilitation to SNFs

- 16% reduction in LOS from 1983 to 2002
- 46% of 1999 Medicare beneficiaries discharged to SNF, compared to 37.4% in 1986
- Rising hospital and Medicare costs has expanded SNF mission from a nursing home to a rehabilitation center
- Scope of SNF treatment objectives has expanded beyond slowing decline and preventing harm—to encompass improved functional independence and return to lifestyle

Tools Available to Help Consumers Make Informed Post-acute SNF Decisions

- Web-site posted Quality Indicators (QIs) and Quality Measures (QMs)
- Survey results
- Tours
- Informal inquiries and recommendations



Quality Indicators (QIs) and Quality Measures (QMs)

- QIs were introduced in the late 1990s to:
 - ☐ Assist nursing home administrators and surveyors identify and address threats to quality of care
- Nursing Home Quality Initiative in November 2002 introduced the QMs to:
 - □ Help consumers differentiate among nursing homes and, ostensibly, make better, more informed placement decisions
- Three quality measures were designated specifically for short stay, post acute patients:
 - □ Delirium, pain, pressure ulcers



The QIs and QMs attempt to address consumers', legislators', and regulators' concerns about nursing home quality deficits:

- (1) Omissions, errors, or deficits in providing safe residential environments and necessary nursing/medical care;
- (2) Resident functional decline; and
- (3) Prevalence and incidence of various health or medical problems.



Research Objective

■ To examine the usefulness of the Nursing Home Indicators (Quality Indicators and the Quality Measures) for differentiating between providers from a rehabilitation outcomes perspective.



Methods

- Retrospective design
- 211 Skilled nursing facilities across the US
 - □ 7,837 SNF patients were included in the study
 - 67% female
 - Average age was 76.6 years old
 - □ The 1,203 patients who were emergently transferred to a hospital were excluded from the study



Measures

- Quality Indicator (QI) and Quality Measure (QM) reports (referred to as Nursing Home Indicators, or NHIs)
 - ☐ All measures with the exception of "residents who lose too much weight"
- Functional independence outcome was assessed with the FIM motor scale
 - □ Residual change scores were used to measure admission to discharge progress



Analyses

- Pearson correlations to examine the relation between the NHIs and rehabilitation outcomes, and among the rehabilitation outcomes
- 3 rehabilitation outcomes were used
 - ☐ FIM motor gain
 - □ Community discharge
 - ☐ Prepared to manage care at home



Results

- No significant correlations were found between the Quality Measures and the rehabilitation outcomes
- Residualized FIM motor gain score did not correlate with any Quality Indicator or Quality Measure
- Community discharge correlated positively with QI22 (prevalence of physical restraints) (r = .251, P<.000), but negatively with QI2 (prevalence of falls) (r = -.165, P<.017)



Results

- Prepared to manage care at home correlated negatively with incidence of decline in range of motion (QI18) (r = -181, P < .009)
- FIM motor score correlated with both community discharge (r = 27, P<.000) and prepared to manage care at home (r = 215, P<.002)



Conclusions and Policy Implications

- Hospital discharge planners should advise consumers that their choice of a post-hospital, SNF-based rehabilitation facility is a critically important decision
- Consumers should approach this decision as if they were choosing a rehabilitation center, not a nursing home
- They must identify qualified, experienced, and successful rehabilitation teams with the expertise and resources to maximize patients' recovery toward highest practicable level
- The Nursing Home Quality Indicators and Quality Measures are not useful for this purpose



Funding Should Support SNF-based Collection and Use of Rehabilitation Outcome Measures

- Drive internal quality improvement
- Give consumers and referrers evidence of programmatic success and commitment to rehabilitation excellence.
- Enable outcomes and cost-effectiveness analyses across levels of care.