

Measuring what navigators do for patients: Development of an instrument to assess tasks and use of social networks

Victoria A. Parker, D.B.A.
Boston University School of Public Health



Background

- ❖ Definition of navigation varies across PNRP sites
- ❖ Complicates how to interpret evidence of different outcomes across sites
- ❖ Need to characterize what navigators actually do and thus measure variation
- ❖ Determine associations between navigator activities and patient outcomes

Background, part 2

- ❖ Observational studies of health care to characterize work design
- ❖ Literature focuses on 2 aspects:
 - Task
 - Social network
- ❖ Accomplishing task in complex networks is the essence of navigation

Objectives

- ❖ Define attributes of the work of patient navigators
- ❖ Describe variation in navigation
- ❖ Develop a technique for observing navigator work that produces valid, reliable data across sites

Methods

- ❖ Develop preliminary observation guide
- ❖ Use guide for data collection in 3 sites
- ❖ Code initial data to identify key dimensions of navigator activity
- ❖ Develop conceptual model
- ❖ Develop structured observation guide

Preliminary observation guide

- ❖ Broad navigator activity categories
- ❖ Who/what of navigators' (inter-)actions
- ❖ The time it takes to do things
- ❖ Rich narrative description of the activities

3 sites collected preliminary observation data

- ❖ Boston – PNs at 6 neighborhood health centers
- ❖ Chicago – as described earlier
- ❖ Rochester – PNs at 3 hospital-based primary care settings

Development process

- ❖ Coding of initial observation notes
- ❖ Coding discussions/memos
- ❖ Meeting of 3 collaborating sites
- ❖ Development/refinement of observation tool

Overview of navigator activity categories

- ❖ Working with the patient
- ❖ Working on patient's behalf
- ❖ Working on the system
- ❖ Other

Working *with* patients

- ❖ **Telling** – when & where biopsy appointment will be, what it will be like
- ❖ **Inquiring** – what are barriers to attending appointment? what are concerns?
- ❖ **Supporting**– listening to fears about treatment
- ❖ **Coaching** – what questions need to be asked at next appointment & how to ask them

Working *on behalf of* patients

- ❖ **Finding** – locating patients and ensuring they come in for needed follow-up
- ❖ **Coordinating team communication** – ensuring that rest of care team is aware of next steps
- ❖ **Integrating information** – ensuring that different types of patient data are integrated and documented
- ❖ **Seeking collaboration** – enlisting other providers in addressing patient's fears

Working on *systems issues*

- ❖ **Finding potential patients** – reviewing lab result logs to find patients needing follow-up/navigation
- ❖ **Building networks/routines** – meeting clinicians to explain role and discuss referral criteria
- ❖ **Reviewing cases** – checking on ticklers, open issues

Working on *other* activities

- ❖ **Research-related** – consenting patients, obtaining survey data
- ❖ **Clinical back-up** – helping out with related clinical operations such as check in
- ❖ **Non-PN jobs** – unrelated job responsibilities
- ❖ **Socializing** – informal conversation with co-workers

Elements of context that may bear on how navigators work

❖ Patient population

- Diagnosis vs. treatment
- Extent of non-cancer needs

❖ Patient navigators

- Organizational location/supervision
- Site-specific training/role differentiation

❖ Level of randomization to PN

❖ PN relation to prior design of work

Emerging conceptual model

- ❖ Program context variables shape navigation activities
- ❖ Navigator activities represent 4 main categories
- ❖ Navigation activities can be:
 - Face-to-face or virtual (phone, email)
 - Real-time or asynchronous

Tool development considerations

- ❖ Importance of mode (in-person vs. phone, other)
- ❖ Relative proportions of time on different activities
- ❖ Patient type
- ❖ Retaining aspects of narrative description

Hypothesis-generating observations

- ❖ Case identification is a very time-intensive process
- ❖ Contextual factors influence navigation at each site
- ❖ PN actions can be either reactive or proactive
- ❖ Multiple challenges in establishing a PN program/process

Next steps – Year 2

- ❖ Select 2 additional NCI PNRP sites to increase diversity of contextual factors
- ❖ Observe every navigator at each of the 5 PRNP sites on multiple occasions
- ❖ Analyze variation in tasks and networks across navigators and sites
- ❖ Conduct thematic analysis of qualitative field notes

Research team

Victoria A. Parker, DBA (1)

Jack A. Clark, PhD (1)

Elizabeth Calhoun, PhD (2)

Karen Freund, MD, MPH (1)

Ronald M. Epstein, MD (2)

Jennifer K. Carroll MD MPH (3)

Tracy Battaglia MD MPH (1)

(1) Boston University Medical Center, Boston, MA; (2) University of Illinois, Chicago, IL; (3) University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, NY

Acknowledgments

- ❖ NCI PNRP supports main study
- ❖ Avon Foundation supplemental grant supports detailed observation/analysis of navigator activities