#### Structural Variables Leading to Childhood Lead Poisoning, Teen Pregnancy, and Tobacco Use

- 1. Robert H. Keefe, PhD, ACSW
- 2. Sandra D. Lane, PhD, MPH
- 3. Robert A. Rubinstein, PhD, MSPH
- 4. Noah J. Webster, MA
- 5. Brooke A. Levandowski, MPH



### Purpose of the Study

To investigate the persistent relationships between childhood lead exposure and:

- Repeat teen pregnancy
- Tobacco use

### Hypotheses

- Among females, childhood lead poisoning is associated with repeat teen pregnancy.
- 2. Among females, childhood lead poisoning is associated with cigarette smoking.

In our prior research we found that teens who give birth are likely to:

Suffer from additional health problems
Have repeat pregnancies
Live in impoverished neighborhoods
Live in poorly maintained housing, increasing the risk of lead exposure
Have limited access to health care

Lane et al, 2004. Lane et al, in press Journal of Urban Health. Lane et al, in press, Health and Place.

### Lead Poisoning in Syracuse

In the second second

5 zip codes in Syracuse had

- 76% of the total number of lead poisoning cases
- -7.7% of the *entire incidence* of EBL in NY.

### **Highest Risk Exposures**

Old lead-based paint in dilapidated buildings

- Around windowsills
- In the soil around buildings
- In lead-tainted water

### **Effects of Lead Exposure**

Decreased

 intelligence levels

 Increased

 neurological impairments
 premature sexual maturation
 impulsive or aggressive behavior
 delinquency

Canfield et al, 2003a, 2003b. Espy, 2004. Needleman et al, 2002. Bellinger, 2001, 2003, 2004.

## Neurotoxicity from lead poisoning affects the ability to:

Plan
Learn from prior experience
Control impulsive behavior
Use executive functioning skills

Canfield et al, 2003a, 2003b. Espy, 2004. Needleman et al, 2002. Bellinger, 2001, 2003, 2004

Copyright 2007, Robert H. Keefe, rhkeefe@buffalo.edu

### Lead Levels and Birth rates by Race/Ethnicity in Syracuse 15-19 year olds

	Lead levels	Birth rates
	(per mcb/dl)	(per 100,000)
White	7.38	32.9
African American	11.35	91.7
Hispanic	9.83	N/A

### Syracuse Healthy Start Database

15 - 19 year olds
1998 - 2002

> 75% of all mothers < 20 years old</li>

Routine screening included:

Childhood lead levels
Tobacco use

EBL reported to woman's obstetrician

### **Dichotomous measures**

- 1. Maternal race: White, African American
- 2. Childhood BLL: <20, <a>20mcg/dl</a>
- 3. Tobacco use: none, any
- 4. Previous pregnancy: first, repeat pregnancy
- 5. Maternal age: 15-17, 18-19 years

### Data Analysis

Univariate and bivariate associations

Multivariate logistic regression
 – Controlling for maternal race and Medicaid use

### Sample: 536 pregnant teens

64.6% were African American
47.0 were between 18-19 years old
76.1% were on Medicaid
39.6% second or higher order pregnancy
37.5% were smokers

## Sample: 536 pregnant teens

Childhood blood lead levels of sample



### Sample: 536 pregnant teens

Childhood blood lead levels of sample



#### The CDC considers 10 mcg/dl dangerous!

# Baseline characteristics of pregnant teens by childhood BLL



# Baseline characteristics of pregnant teens by childhood BLL



Copyright 2007, Robert H. Keefe, rhkeefe@buffalo.edu

# Baseline characteristics of pregnant teens by childhood BLL



Copyright 2007, Robert H. Keefe, rhkeefe@buffalo.edu

Baseline characteristics of pregnant teens by childhood BLL
Repeat pregnancy was associated with elevated childhood lead and mother's age (p < .05)</li>
Tobacco use was significantly associated

with maternal race (p < .05)

### Logistic regression results

One exposure: childhood BLL
 Two outcomes:

- repeat teen pregnancy
  - Controlled for race, age and insurance type
- Tobacco use
  - Stratified by insurance type
  - Controlled for race & age

### **Outcome: repeat pregnancy**

#### **Predictor variables Childhood lead level** 1.59(20 + mcg/d1 vs. 0 -(95% CI 1.04, 2.43) 19 mcg/dl,)**Mother's Race** 1.46 (African American vs. (95% CI 1.25, 1.71) white) **Mother's Age** 1.45 (95% CI 0.95, 2.21) (18-19 vs. 15-17 years) Medicaid 1.70(Medicaid vs. Private (95%CI 1.06, 2.73) insurance)

### **Outcome: repeat pregnancy**

#### **Predictor variables**

Childhood lead level (20+ mcg/dl vs. 0-19mcg/dl,)

Mother's Race (African American vs. white) Mother's Age (18-19 vs. 15-17 years) Medicaid (Medicaid vs. Private insurance) 1.59 (95% CI 1.04, 2.43)

1.46 (95% CI 1.25, 1.71)

1.45 (95% CI 0.95, 2.21) 1.70 (95%CI 1.06, 2.73)

Predictor variables	Medicaid non-users	Medicaid users
Childhood lead level (20+ mcg/dl vs. 0- 19mcg/dl)	4.25 (95% CI 1.89, 9.57)	1.25 (95% CI 0.78,2.00)
Mother's Race (African American vs. white)	0.32 (95% CI 0.15, 0.72)	0.29 (95% CI 0.19, 0.45)
Mother's Age (18-19 vs. 15-17 years)	0.93 (95% CI 0.42, 2.05)	1.35 (95% CI 0.88, 2.05)

Predictor variables	Medicaid non-users	Medicaid users
Childhood lead level (20+ mcg/dl vs. 0- 19mcg/dl)	4.25 (95% CI 1.89, 9.57)	1.25 (95% CI 0.78,2.00)
Mother's Race (African American vs. white)	0.32 (95% CI 0.15, 0.72)	0.29 (95% CI 0.19, 0.45)
Mother's Age (18-19 vs. 15-17 years)	0.93 (95% CI 0.42, 2.05)	1.35 (95% CI 0.88, 2.05)

Predictor variables	Medicaid non-users	Medicaid users
Childhood lead level (20+ mcg/dl vs. 0- 19mcg/dl)	4.25 (95% CI 1.89, 9.57)	1.25 (95% CI 0.78,2.00)
Mother's Race (African American vs. white)	0.32 (95% CI 0.15, 0.72)	0.29 (95% CI 0.19, 0.45)
Mother's Age (18-19 vs. 15-17 years)	0.93 (95% CI 0.42, 2.05)	1.35 (95% CI 0.88, 2.05)

Predictor variables	Medicaid non-users	Medicaid users
Childhood lead level (20+ mcg/dl vs. 0- 19mcg/dl)	4.25 (95% CI 1.89, 9.57)	1.25 (95% CI 0.78,2.00)
Mother's Race (African American vs. white)	0.32 (95% CI 0.15, 0.72)	0.29 (95% CI 0.19, 0.45)
Mother's Age (18-19 vs. 15-17 years)	0.93 (95% CI 0.42, 2.05)	1.35 (95% CI 0.88, 2.05)

### **Discussion: Repeat Pregnancy**

Community factors
 Family factors
 Individual factors
 Developmental delays

All may lead to residence in older housing with peeling paint

### **Discussion:** Addiction

 Smoking and race are determinants of EBL among women of reproductive age
 Early lead exposure associated with decreased ability to delay gratification and alterations in dopamine system and other neurochemicals

### Limitations

Small sample size
 Other community factors as potential confounders

- Poverty
- Community stress
- Residence location
- Family level factors

### Further research

 Inclusion of community-level factors
 Individual vs. community level responsibility