Improving the Quality of Care in Workers' Compensation through a Communitywide Intervention: Did the IOM Get It Right?

Thomas Wickizer, PhD Gary Franklin, MD Deborah Fulton-Kehoe, PhD Robert Mootz, DC Terri Smith-Weller, RN

American Public Health Association Annual Meeting Washington, DC, November 2007

Institute of Medicine (IOM) Report on Quality

Quality problems are everywhere, affecting many patients. Between the health care we have and the care we could have lies not just a gap, but a chasm....What is perhaps most disturbing is the absence of real progress toward <u>restructuring health care systems</u> to address both quality and cost concerns.....If we want safer, higher-quality care, we will need to have <u>redesigned systems of care</u>.

(Crossing the Quality Chasm, IOM, 2001)

IOM Recommendations

- Design of more effective organizational support for care processes
- Create an infrastructure to support evidencebased practice
- Use information technology more effectively
- Align payment incentives to support quality
- Improve workforce training

Key Problems in Workers' Compensation Health Care

High costs
Poor quality
High dissatisfaction
patients
employers
providers

Disability Prevention: Bad News--Good News

Bad News

 Workers who remain on disability for longer than 2-3 months have greatly reduced chance of returning to work

Good News

 Effective occupational health care can reduce the likelihood of long-term disability

Changes in Disability Status among Injured Workers in WA State



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

Occupational Health Services (OHS) Project

- WA State OHS Project initiated in 1998 by Dep't of Labor & Industries (DLI):
 - To improve quality and outcomes in workers' compensation system
- OHS is not managed care; no restrictions on provider choice
- Injured workers have first-dollar coverage for occupational injuries/illnesses and choice of any provider
- Centers of Occupational Health Education (COHE) established to provide organizational support for quality improvement (QI)

System Redesign through OHS

- Develop quality indicators
- Develop financial incentives (P4P)
- Establish <u>community-based</u> pilot centers of occupational health and education (COHEs):
 - Support and direct quality improvement activities
 - mentoring and CME for community MDs
 - disseminate treatment guidelines and best practice information
 - Identify and provide care for high-risk cases



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

OHS Pilot Sites

Renton, Washington Valley General Hospital Pilot implementation started July 2002 \blacksquare > 130 MDs recruited for pilot in target area Spokane, Washington St. Luke's Rehabilitation Institute Pilot implementation started July 2003 $\blacksquare > 200$ MDs recruited for pilot in target area

Data & Measures

Administrative claims data provided by DLI supplemented by patient and provider surveys
Process & outcome measures:

Adoption of occupational health best practices (process)
Incidence of (time loss) disability (> 3 days lost work time)
On time loss at 365 days post claim receipt
Disability costs, medical costs & total costs



P4P and Occupational Health Best Practices

- 4 quality indicators, representing best practices, were developed by panels of clinician experts in 1999
 - Submission of report of accident in 2 days
 - Provider-employer phone communication
 - Use of special activity prescription form to formalize treatment and rehab plan and work
 - Assessment to identify impediments to return to work
- New fees were established for the above 4 services

Submission of ROA within 2 Days



Pre-OHS baseline values: ER MDs 2%; other providers 8%

Use of Activity Prescription Forms



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

Employer Phone Calls



Effect of Adopting Occupational Health Best Practices on Disability

- COHE promoted 3 occ health best practices Sending ROA within 2 business days Completing activity prescription form Contacting employer through phone communication An index for these 3 best practices was created to identify "high adopters" and "low adopters": ■ High adopters were at or above 50th percentile of use for 2 out of 3 best practices
 - Low adopters were below 50th percentile of use for all 3 best practices

Time Loss Days for Providers Using Occupational Health Best Practices, Back Sprain Claims, Renton



Differences are statistically significant (p < .05).

Health Services Coordination Activity, Renton



 During evaluation year, 2,027 recorded contacts made by HSCs
 Number of contacts per claim ranged from 1 to 34; median = 5 contacts

Contact Type

HSC Staffing APP
HSC Call APP
HSC Call Adm Mgt
HSC call Emp/Union
HSC Call Patient

Statistical Techniques

Evaluation tested series of regression models Logistic regression models Multiple linear regression models Linear probability models Covariates included: ■ Age and sex ■ Type of injury Type of provider Baseline provider costs (disability and medical) Industry ■ Firm size (FTE workers)



Comparison-group: all cases treated by MDs in COHE target area not participating in pilot

Selected Findings

Pilot disability effects: ■ Time loss incidence: **ORs** ≈ .75 - .80; p < .01 Reduced disability days \blacksquare All cases: 4.8 days to 6.0 days, p < .01Time loss cases only: 15.9 days to 18.0 days, p < .01Strongest effects: Back sprains, other sprains, CTS Pilot Cost savings: ■ Renton: **\$401 per claim, p < .01** ■ Spokane: **\$487 per claim, p < .01** \blacksquare 60% - 70% of cost savings from reduced disability costs

COHE and Retro Adjusted Cost Savings Effects



Survey Outcomes by Length of Time Loss, Renton (all respondents, n = 839)



Number of cases are: < 30 days (590), 30 - 90 days (88), 91 - 180 days (68), over 180 days (93). Differences in survey outcomes are statistically significant, p < .05.

Survey Outcomes by Length of Time Loss, Spokane (all respondents, n = 825)



Number of cases are: < 30 days (555), 30 - 90 days (99), 91 - 180 days (60), over 180 days (110). Differences in survey outcomes are statistically significant, p < .05.

Summary Points

- Improving processes of care and promoting occupational health best practices may improve outcomes and reduce disability for injured workers
- Key is providing organizational support on a communitywide basis
- OHS project provides a "test" of IOM qualityimprovement model—the IOM did get it right!
- Administrative interventions, or P4P, alone are not likely to engender meaningful improvements in the quality of health care