Can Quality Improvement Activities Encourage Physicians to Adopt Best Practices in the Delivery of Care? Evidence from a Quality Improvement Project in Washington State

> Thomas Wickizer, PhD Gary Franklin, MD Deborah Fulton-Kehoe, PhD Terri Smith-Weller, RN Robert Mootz, DC

American Public Health Association Annual Meeting Washington, DC, November 2007

Key Problems in Workers' Compensation Health Care

High costs
Poor quality
High dissatisfaction
patients
employers
providers

Disability Prevention: Bad News--Good News

Bad News

Workers who remain on disability for longer than 2-3 months have greatly reduced chance of returning to work

Good News

 Effective occupational health care can reduce the likelihood of long-term disability

Changes in Disability Status among Injured Workers in WA State



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

Occupational Health Services (OHS) Project

- WA State OHS Project initiated in 1998 by Dep't of Labor & Industries (DLI):
 - To improve quality and outcomes in workers' compensation system
- OHS is not "managed care"
- No restrictions placed on provider choice
- Injured workers have first-dollar coverage for occupational injuries/illnesses and choice of any provider

System Redesign through OHS

- Develop quality indicators
- Develop financial incentives (P4P)
- Establish <u>community-based</u> pilot centers of occupational health and education (COHEs):
 - Support and direct quality improvement activities
 - mentoring and CME for community MDs
 - disseminate treatment guidelines and best practice information
 - Identify and provide care for high-risk cases

P4P and Occupational Health Best Practices

- 4 quality indicators, representing best practices, were developed by panels of clinician experts in 1999
 - Submission of report of accident in 2 days
 - Provider-employer phone communication
 - Use of special activity prescription form to formalize treatment and rehab plan and work
 - Assessment to identify impediments to return to work
- New fees were established for the above 4 services



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

OHS Pilot Sites

Renton, Washington Valley General Hospital Pilot implementation started July 2002 \blacksquare > 130 MDs recruited for pilot in target area Spokane, Washington St. Luke's Rehabilitation Institute Pilot implementation started July 2003 $\blacksquare > 200$ MDs recruited for pilot in target area



Comparison-group: all cases treated by MDs in COHE target area not participating in pilot

Data & Measures

Administrative claims data provided by DLI supplemented by patient and provider suveys
Process & outcome measures:

Adoption of occupational health best practices (process)
Incidence of (time loss) disability (> 3 days lost work time)
On time loss at 365 days post claim receipt
Disability costs, medical costs & total costs

Statistical Techniques

Evaluation tested series of regression models Logistic regression models Multiple linear regression models Linear probability models Covariates included: ■ Age and sex ■ Type of injury Type of provider Baseline provider costs (disability and medical) Industry ■ Firm size (FTE workers)

% Time ROA Submitted within 2 Business Days during Evaluation Year



Hosp ER High Vol Low Vol Chiro Occ Med Prim Care

Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

% Time Provider Billed for Activity Prescription Form during Evaluation Year



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

% Time Provider Billed for Phone Call during Evaluation Year



Hosp ER High Vol Low Vol Chiro Occ Med Prim Care

Effect of Adopting Occupational Health Best Practices on Disability

- COHE promoted 3 occ health best practices Sending ROA within 2 business days Completing activity prescription form Contacting employer through phone communication An index for these 3 best practices was created to identify "high adopters" and "low adopters": ■ High adopters were at or above 50th percentile of use for 2 out of 3 best practices
 - Low adopters were below 50th percentile of use for all 3 best practices

Time Loss Days for Providers Using Occupational Health Best Practices, Back Sprain Claims, Renton



Differences are statistically significant (p < .05).

Time Loss Days for Providers Using Occupational Health Best Practices, Back Sprain Claims, Spokane



Copyright 2007, Thomas M. Wickizer, tomwick@u.washington.edu

Selected Findings

Pilot disability effects: ■ Time loss incidence: **ORs** ≈ .75 - .80; p < .01 Reduced disability days \blacksquare All cases: 4.8 days to 6.0 days, p < .01Time loss cases only: 15.9 days to 18.0 days, p < .01Strongest effects: Back sprains, other sprains, CTS Pilot Cost savings: ■ Renton: \$381 per claim, p < .01 ■ Spokane: **\$518 per claim**, **p** < .01 ■ 60% - 70% of cost savings from reduced disability costs

Summary Points

- Improving processes of care by promoting occupational health best practices may improve outcomes, reduce disability for injured workers, and save costs
 - Modest financial incentives
 - Organizational support
 - Training
- Key is providing organizational support on a communitywide basis

P4P alone may not lead to meaningful quality improvement