Trends in the Socioeconomic Disparities of Smoke-Free Families in Taiwan

American Public Health Association 135th Annual Meeting & Exposition, Nov. 3-7, 2007, Washington, DC

<u>Hsin-chieh Chang, MSc</u>. Tung-liang Chiang, ScD College of Public Health National Taiwan University <u>r92845108@ntu.edu.tw</u>

Copyright 2007, Hsin-chieh Chang, r92845108@ntu.edu.tw

Outline

- About Taiwan
- Background
- Methods
- Results
- Summary



TAIWAN - 2005

Socioeconomic background

- -population
- -density
- -GNP
- -education
- -aging

23 million
630 persons per square km
US\$15,676 per capita
32% received higher education
9.7% population

Population health

 life expectancy
 major killers

M/F: 74/80 yrs cancer, stroke, accident heart disease, diabetes



Gross Domestic Product per capita in US dollar purshasing power parity (log scale) = Money

Why Smoke-Free Families?

- Increasing concerns on the health damage of passive smoking
- Trends in the global movement toward a smoke-free environment for all under FCTC Article 8
- Family as a research unit and campaign target
 - > the most important setting to protect people of all ages from passive smoking
 - Few researches have targeted at familial level

Tobacco Control in Taiwan

- 1984 1st tobacco control NGO was founded
- 1987 Cigarette market was forced open
- 1989 1st anti-smoking initiative of the government
- 1997 Enactment of Tobacco Hazard Control Act
- 2002 Implementation of Health and Welfare Tax
- 2005 Ratification of FCTC by the President
- 2007 Amendment of THCA on smoke-free public indoor place (to be enforced by Jan, 1st .2009)

Social Disparities in Smoking

- Smoking patterns are contextualized by socio-economical and cultural environments
- Well-known evidence of reversed gradient relationship between smoking and social economic status in high-income countries
- Groups with higher social status or in better economic conditions are early adopters in quitting and non-smoking preference

Objectives

Describe the changing social patterns of smoke-free families (SFF) in Taiwan over the past 30 years.
Identify the different effects of income and education on smoke-free families.

Method and Measurement

Copyright 2007, Hsin-chieh Chang, r92845108@ntu.edu.tw

Data source

 Secondary data came from the Family Income and Expenditure Survey (FIES) conducted annually by the Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistics (DGBAS) in Taiwan.

Data collected in the survey

 family composition and basic information of household members

-age, gender, education, occupation, sector of employment....

household facilities and conditions

individual income and family expenditure

Study subject

study years: 1976, 1990, and 2003
nationally representative samples
>9,461, 16,434, 13,681 households for index year 1976, 1990 and 2003, respectively.

SES indicators

Household Income
household disposable income quintile
Education of the household head
primary (0-6 years), junior (7~9), senior (10~12), college (13+)

Smoke-free family measures

 An item in the FIES questionnaire regarding the annual tobacco consumption of all family members was chosen

 When the item is reported as "0", then we define the family as a smokefree family

Statistics analysis

 Trends in the prevalence of smokefree families over the 30 years Logistic regression of each study year -To compare the partial effect of income and education on SFF across different study years after adjustment for family composition

Results

Copyright 2007, Hsin-chieh Chang, r92845108@ntu.edu.tw

Fig1. SFF in 1976, 1990, 2003



Text Description for Fig.1

 The prevalence of smoke-free family in Taiwan has increased from 19.9% in 1976, 32.8% in 1990, to 55.0% in 2003.

Table 1. partial effect of SES on SFF in 1976 (n=9461)

 Income > poorest > near poor > middle 	OR 1 0.72 0.67	95% 0.61 0.56	C.I. 0.86 0.80	P value <0.001 <0.001
 > near rich > Richest • Education 	0.57 0.51	0.47 0.41	0.69 0.63	<0.001 <0.001
 Primary Junior Senior College 	1 1.47 1.99 2.81	1.24 1.70 2.32	1.24 1.70 2.32	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001

Table 2. partial effect of SES on SFF in 1990 (n=16,434)

● Income > poorest	OR 1	95%		P value
≻ near poor	0.86 🔶	0.78	0.99	0.03
> middle	0.83	0.73	0.94	0.003
≻ near rich	0.75	0.66	0.86	<0.001
Richest	0.80	0.69	0.93	0.002
• Education				
> primary	1	1	1	
> junior	1.12	1.00	1.26	<0.001
> senior	1.54	1.39	1.72	<0.001
≻ college	2.38 🗸	2.10	2.70	<0.001

Table 3. partial effect of SES on SFF in 2003 (n=13,681)

Income	OR	95%	C.I.	P value
 poorest near poor middle near rich Richest 	1 0.95 0.99 0.95 1.08	0.83 0.87 0.82 0.92	1.07 1.15 1.10 1.27	0.40 0.98 0.46 0.35
 Education primary junior senior college 	1 1.21 1.71 3.44	1 1.07 1.52 2.98	1 1.38 1.93 3.97	0.003 <0.001 <0.001

Table 4. partial effect of SES on SFF- 1976, 1990, 2003

• Income	1976	1990	2003
> poorest	1	1	1
> near poor	0.72***	0.86*	0.95
> middle	0.67***	0.83**	0.99
> near rich	0.57***	0.75***	0.95
> Richest	0.51***	0.80**	1.08
• Education			
> primary	1	1	1
> junior	1.47***	1.12***	1.21**
> senior	1.99***	1.54***	1.71***
≻ college	2.81***	2.38***	3.44***

Summary¹

 The SFF prevalence in Taiwan has been increasing from 20% in 1976 to 55% in 2003.

 Families of higher SES were early adopters to become smoke-free.

Summary²

- Education of the household head has become more important in determining the smoke-free status, compared to the diminishing effect of family income.
- Educational disparities of SFF have become worse, along with the overall improvement of SFF in Taiwan.

Thanks for your kind attention!



Copyright 2007, Hsin-chieh Chang, r92845108@ntu.edu.tw