

Sarah H Ailey PhD RNC Rush University College of Nursing

College of Nursing Resource Review Fund #31130





Depression

- Leading cause of disability
- Often not detected and treated
- USPSTF recommends
 - Screening in primary care setting
 - Combined with treatment



Previous research: Depression in I/DD

- At least as common as general population
- Diagnosed with standard diagnostic criteria (mild or moderate)



Previous research

 Point prevalence 2-6% with clinical interviews (in population studies)*

*Deb,Thomas & Bright (2001)

Previous research

- 32% (N=100 Down syndrome) health promotion program; Children's Depression Inventory Short form (CDI-S)*
- 28.4% (N=120) community based services
 Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)**
- 39.1%, (N=151) vocational services using Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI II)***

```
*Ailey et al., 2006

** Powell, 2003

***McGillivray & McCabe, 2007
```



Gaps in research I/DD

Sensitivity & specificity not addressed

Making it:

- Difficult to carry out recommendations of USPSTF
- Difficult to recruit subjects for research



Purpose

- Address sensitivity & specificity
 - Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI II)
 - Glasgow Depression Scale for People with a Learning Disability (GDS-LD)
 - Compare to results clinical interview Psychiatric Assessment Schedule-Adults with Developmental Disabilities (PAS-ADD 10)
- Evaluate psychometric properties



Design

- Methodological study
 - Descriptive & psychometric data
 - BDI II
 - GDS-LD
 - Sensitivity & specificity
 - BDI-II
 - GDS-LD

BDI II*

- Widely used
- Reflects DSM IV TR criteria
- 21 items 4 point Likert scale
- Higher scores greater depression
- Cronbach alpha 0.91
- Score of 18
 - sensitivity 0.94
 - specificity 0.92 (in primary care sample)**

*Beck, et al., 1996

** Arnau et al., 2001



GDS-LD*

- Designed for individuals with I/DD
- Learning Disability includes I/DD in United Kingdom
- 20 items 3 point Likert scale
- Higher scores greater depression
- Development:
 - Review of common depression tools
 - Diagnostic criteria
 - Focus groups (mild and moderate I/DD)

*Cuthill et al., 2003

Setting cut points

- Tested 38 adults 19 with & 19 without depression
- Cronbach alpha 0.90, test/retest 0.97
- Score 13
 - sensitivity 96%
 - specificity 90%
- Recommended larger studies:
 - sensitivity and specificity
 - ability to detect change in depression*



PAS-ADD 10*

- Semi structured clinical interview
- Derived from SCAN
- Based on ICD 10
- Standardized prompts
- Language & rating levels simplified
- Has client and informant interview

*Moss et al., 1996

Data collection

- Consent obtained
- Social workers & nurses
 - Read BDI-II and GDS-LD
 - Obtained verbal responses
- APN Nurse trained in PAS-ADD10
 - Interview participant & informants
- Nurse blinded to results of BDI II & GDS-LD until after data collection

Data analysis

- Descriptive statistics
- Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (ROC)
- Rasch psychometric analysis



Demographics

| Participant with I/DD | Male | Female | Total |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Caucasian | 22 | 25 | 47 |
| African-American | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| Hispanic | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| Asian | | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 37 | 38 | 75 |
| Mild (I/DD) | 31 | 34 | 65 |
| Moderate (I/DD) | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Depression (PAS-ADD10) | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| Age | 41.4 (SD 13.46) | 44.5 (SD 14.74) | |



Descriptive statistics Mean scores

| | BDI II | GDS-LD |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Male | 9.74 (SD 9.93) | 9.80 (SD 6.93) |
| Female | 9.51 (SD 11.19) | 10.45 (SD 8.46) |

Cronbach alpha

- -BDI II 0.91
- -GDS-LD 0.90

ROC Curve

- Plot of sensitivity against falsepositive error (1-specificity)
- Accuracy measured area under curve:
 - 1 = perfect test
 - 0.5 = worthless test

ROC Curve

- Area under the ROC curve
 - -BDI II 0.90
 - -GDS-LD 0.90
- BD II score 11
 - sensitivity 89%
 - specificity 82.1%
- GDS-LD score 14
 - sensitivity 89%
 - specificity of 83.9%



Responses to BDI II items

| BDI-II items | Score ≥11 | Score <11 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Loss of energy | 80.8% | 16.7% |
| Guilty feelings | 80.8% | 16.7% |
| Agitation | 76.9% | 22.9% |
| Sadness | 74.1% | 25% |
| Tiredness or fatigue | 73.1% | 37.5% |
| Pessimism | 69.2% | 8.3% |
| Indecisiveness | 69.2% | 12.5% |
| Suicidal ideation | 42.3% | 0% |



Responses to GDS-LD items

| GDS-LD items | Score ≥14 | Score <14 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Becomes upset | 96% | 47.1% |
| Tiredness | 92% | 61.2% |
| Difficulty making decisions | 92% | 26.5% |
| Feeling worried | 92% | 38.8% |
| Bad mood | 88% | 44.9% |
| Think bad things will happen | 84% | 14.3% |
| Hard to sit still | 76% | 24.5% |
| Difficulty sleeping | 76% | 40.6% |
| Feel other people looking at you | 76% | 26.5% |



Clinical interview agreement: Participant & informant

- 68.9% overall agreement depressed/not depressed
- 64.3% agreement depressive episode
- 83.9% agreement no depressive episode



Rasch psychometric analysis

BDI

- Person reliability 0.78
- Person separation 1.86*
- Item reliability 0.67
- Item separation 1.43*

GDS-LS

- Person reliability 0.85
- Person separation 2.34*
- Item reliability 0.94
- Item separation 3.90*

Separation should be ≥2

Rasch psychometric analysis

- BDI II items representing highest levels of depression
 - Suicidal ideation (9)
 - Loss of interest (12)
 - Worthlessness (14)
 - Loss of pleasure & crying (4,10)

Rasch psychometric analysis

- GDS-LD items representing highest levels of depression
 - Not maintaining hygiene (5)
 - Suicidal ideation (14)
 - Social withdrawal, feelings of worthlessness, and loss of pleasure (4,9,20)

Conclusions

- Sensitivity & specificity good
 - BDI II
 - GDS-LD
- GDS-LD comparable to BDI II



Conclusions

- Rasch analysis reveals
 - GDS-LD has greater person & item reliability than BDI-II
 - GDS-LD more clearly separates
 - Participants in levels of depression
 - Items as reflecting differing levels of depression



Implications: Practice & research

- Participant & informant interviews important
- Not rely on informant interview alone
- 35.7% participants with depressive episode (clinical interview) not diagnosed clinical interview of informant



Implications: Practice & research

- Individuals mild or moderate I/DDevaluate similar to the general population
- Research needed:
 - BDI II & GDS-LDS useful in assessing effects of depression treatment
 - Comparison of tools in assessing effects of treatment