Partner violence among young adults in the Philippines: The role of intergenerational transmission and gender

Jessica A. Fehringer Michelle J. Hindin Department of Population, Family and Reproductive Health Johns Hopkins School of Public Health

Copyright 2007, Jessica A. Fehringer, jfehring@jhsph.edu

Background: Partner violence

- Between 10% and 69% of women worldwide report being physically assaulted by an intimate male partner.
- Linked with adverse health outcomes in women (e.g. - HIV and other STDs, unintended pregnancy, depression).
- Common amongst youth, with suggestion of gender differences (higher female perpetration).

Background (cont.)

- Little known about female perpetration/male victimization.
- A recurrent theme of literature is intergenerational transmission of violence, but:
 - Little known about gender differences, and
 - Studies limited by cross-sectional data, particularly in developing country settings.

Study Objectives

To determine:

- The prevalence of and gender differences in partner violence perpetration versus receipt;
- Whether exposure to inter-parental violence during childhood predicts current partner violence, and;
- Whether the potential relationship between inter-parental violence exposure and current partner violence varies based on gender.

Setting: Cebu, Philippines

- The data for this project come from the Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey (CLHNS).
- Cebu is the second largest metropolitan area (following Manila).
- Estimates of partner violence against women in the Philippines range from 11% to 26%.
- Recent research suggests that levels of any violence (male or female perpetrated) in a partnership may be much higher at 42%.



The CLHNS

- A sample of women who were followed beginning in 1983, based on a random sample of 33 communities (barangays) in Metro Cebu.
- A baseline and 12 follow-up surveys were conducted in 1983-84 on all women beginning when they were 6-7 months pregnant.
- Follow-up surveys (1991, 1994, 1999, 2002, and 2005) on the woman and her child.



Study sample and analysis

- Data from the 1994, 2002 and 2005 CLHNS surveys.
- Final sample: 472 married or cohabitating young adults (age 20-22).
- Multinomial logistic regression used for multivariate analysis.



Covariates

- Model adjusted for:
 - Individual characteristics
 - Alcohol use
 - Gender
 - Last school grade completed
 - Church attendance
 - Household decision-making
 - Maternal & household characteristics
 - Mother's age
 - Mother's Last school grade completed
 - Mother's church attendance
 - Household purchase of alcohol at age 11
 - Household socioeconomic status at age 11
 - Urban residence at age 11
 - Parental household decision-making at age 11

Recall of inter-parental violence

- "Do you remember if either of your parents/caretakers ever hit, slapped, kicked, or used other means like pushing or shoving to try to hurt the other physically when you were growing up?"
 - "Who hurt the other physically?"

Percentage of respondents reporting witnessing inter-parental violence during childhood



Copyright 2007, Jessica A. Fehringer, jfehring@jhsph.edu

Percentage of respondents reporting witnessing inter-parental violence during childhood



Copyright 2007, Jessica A. Fehringer, jfehring@jhsph.edu

Experience of current partner violence

- Based on the Conflict Tactics Scale (CTS), the types of violent actions included the following actions towards someone else:
 - Threw something;
 - Pushed, grabbed, or shoved,
 - Hit (not with anything);
 - Hit with something hard, and;
 - Harmed enough to need medical attention.

Experience of current partner violence (cont.)

- Both perpetration and victimization as well as frequency asked for each type of violence.
- We focus on three dichotomous measures perpetration, victimization, and reciprocal violence.
- We focus on violence between intimate partners in the past year.

Percentage of respondents reporting current violence in partnerships



Copyright 2007, Jessica A. Fehringer, jfehring@jhsph.edu

Adjusted Relative Risk Ratios of partner violence, by witnessing inter-parental violence and type of current violence



Model adjusted for maternal, individual and household during childhood characteristics noted earlier. All standard errors and p-values are corrected for clustering at the barangay level.

Other key results

- Only gender significantly predicts violence perpetration, with males having a lower risk.
- Greater parental joint decision-making was associated with a lower risk of violence victimization.
- Greater joint household decision-making and being male was significantly associated with less reciprocal violence.

Gender interactions

- Compared to males, female respondents were less likely to perpetrate with greater household income during childhood and older mothers.
- Males had a lower risk of reciprocal violence if their mothers attended church more often and higher risk if their household purchased any alcohol when they were age 11, as compared to females.

Limitations

- Under-reporting of violence is common in research.
- The finding of high female violence perpetration rates could be due to reporting differences by gender.
- Early partnership sample may be different from those who enter partnerships later.
- Did not have data on child abuse.
- Did not look at community level violence.

Implications

- Early partnerships are an ideal point at which to break family cycles of violence – focus programs and policies here.
- Need to broaden the conceptualization of intimate partner violence with recognition that intergenerational violence transmission knows no gender boundaries.
- High perpetration levels in females need for further exploration, as most research on male perpetration and correlates.