Protecting Occupational Health in Trade Treaties -- NAFTA's Failure and Future Needs

Garrett D. Brown, MPH, CIH APHA - November 2007

Key points

- Context of hazards and treaties
- Bad experiences to date: NAFTA
- What's needed in treaties to protect workers' health and safety
- What we can do

- 51 of 100 largest economies in the world are transnational corporations -- not countries
- 500 largest transnational corporations:
 - 70% world trade
 - 1/3 manufacturing exports
 - 3/4 trade in commodities
 - -4/5 technical and management services

- Profound shift in manufacturing:
 - from: "well-regulated," high wage, often unionized plants in developed world
 - to: very low wage, basically unregulated, non-union plants in the developing world
- All these plants are competing with one another for maximum competitive advantage in production costs

- Long, long production chains:
 - International brands
 - Contractors
 - Sub-contractors
 - Brokers and agents
 - Industrial homework in workers' houses

- Examples:
 - Nike: 700+ factories, 50+ countries,
 600,000 factory workers -- not one of them working for Nike
 - Wal-Mart: 20,000 factories in China alone, millions of workers -- none of whom work for Wal-Mart

- Vulnerable workforces:
 - 225 million children (ages 5-14) at work
 - 310 million immigrant/migrant workers (including 190 million migrants in China)
 - 67 million in "Export Processing Zones"
 (EPZs) with few or no legal rights
 - Millions working as temporary, "contingent," or "perma-temp" workers in both developing and developed countries

- Growing inequality and deep poverty:
 - -40% of world (~3 billion people) live on less than \$2/day
 - 980 million people live on less than \$1/day
 - Top 1% has more wealth than bottom57% of world's population

- Number of "least developed" countries (per capita income < \$75/month) doubled from 25 to 49 countries from 1979 to 2000
- 80 countries have seen a per capita decline in income over the 1992-2002 period

- Ferocious competition between corporations roaming the world in search of the lowest possible production costs and the most accommodating governments
- Huge labor pool of people so desperate for work that they are unable to refuse any work -- no matter how dangerous or unhealthy

- Transnational corporations have political power along with economic size:
 - Wal-Mart's 2004 global sales (\$285 billion) is more than the combined budgets of India and Russia together
 - Top 6 TNCs have greater gross revenues than combined budgets of 64 countries with 58% of the world's population

- Corporate political power:
 - Control or dominate political parties
 - Control or dominate national, regional and local governments
 - Dominate the regulators
 - Prevent new laws from being enacted
 - Obstruct implementation and regulation
 - Demand subsidies, tax breaks, exemptions

Bad Experiences to Date

- One key way to exercise this power: international trade treaties
- Bilateral: US-Canada
- Regional: NAFTA, CAFTA, FTAA
- Global: WTO
- Real goal is not trade but setting the "rules of the game" in favor of the corporations

- No improvements in regulatory enforcement -- policies, procedures or benchmarks -- by Mexican government workplace safety and health agencies
- No correction of any specifically identified hazards in Mexican workplaces

- No reinstatement of any worker fired for organizing to improve workplace safety
- Abandonment of the NAALC process in the Auto Trim/Custom Trim case to form the alternative Tri-National Working Group
- Continuation of secrecy, lack of participation and lack of accountability in the Tri-National Working Group

- (1) Weaknesses of NAALC procedures
 - Lack of transparency
 - Lack of worker and public participation
 - Lack of accountability
 - Cumbersome and lengthy procedures

- (2) Failure to recognize Mexico's larger economic and political context
 - Economic disincentives for enforcement
 - Absolute lack of political will
 - Lack of human, financial and technical resources

- 1994-2007: 36 complaints filed
- 25+ accepted and investigated by NAOs
- 12 "resolved" by Ministerial Consultations, none have gone beyond this step
- 9 complaints filed with OHS focus
- 2 key cases: Han Young in Tijuana and Auto Trim/Custom Trim in Matamoros and Valle Hermoso

• All complaints have ended with reports, seminars, conferences, websites and "outreach" sessions which fail to address, let alone remedy, the underlying causes of employer non-compliance, government non-enforcement and adverse health effects to ill and injured workers.

- NAALC results contrast sharply with NAFTA's "Chapter 11" protections of corporation's "lost profits" due to regulatory enforcement
- 42 corporate lawsuits filed against NAFTA governments, including Metalclad Corp.'s successful \$16.7 million suit against Mexico

- CAFTA treaty actually worse:
 - Fewer protected labor principles than NAFTA
 - Scope limited to existing laws -- but few laws exist
 - No complaint mechanism for workers or NGOs
 - NAFTA's "Chapter 11" provisions included, allowing corporations to sue governments (Harken Oil's threatened suit v. Costa Rica)

- Recognition of crippling effect of foreign debt and payments on OHS enforcement
- Adoption as OHS measures:
 - Outright debt forgiveness or major restructuring
 - Immediate moratorium on debt payments
 - Provision of adequate financial resources, technology transfer and technical assistance for genuine regulatory enforcement

- Step-by-step "upward harmonization" of the regulatory floor in the global economy:
 - ILO conventions and recommendations
 - Consensus standards
 - Industry "best practices"

- Accountability of TNCs in the global economy:
 - ILO "Declaration of Principles Concerning Multinational Enterprise and Social Policy"
 - OECD "Declaration and Decisions on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises

- Effective, timely complaint mechanism that is transparent and participatory
- Enforceable sanction with meaningful penalties for both governments and employers (small, large and multinational) who permit or operate unsafe workplaces

• Open negotiation process involving civil society as well as governments, and one that is mutually agreeable to developed and developing countries that results in stepwise and feasible timetables of upward harmonization of laws and "compliance assistance" for effective enforcement

What We Can Do

- Educate yourself and others on the impact of trade agreements -- join the APHA Forum on Trade & Health!
- Organize with APHA and others to demand public health principles and input in negotiating treaties
- Organize to promote agreements that genuinely protect and enhance worker safety and health in the global economy

Contact Information

Garrett Brown, Coordinator
Maquiladora Health & Safety Support Network
P.O. Box 124
Berkeley, CA 94701-0124
gdbrown@igc.org
www.igc.org/mhssn