



#### Latent Transition Analysis for Modeling Change Over Time: A Demonstration of SAS PROC LTA

#### Stephanie T. Lanza

American Public Health Association Washington, D.C. November 5, 2007

Copyright 2007, Stephanie T. Lanza, sxl194@psu.edu

- Goal: Model dating and sexual risk behavior over time
  - Research questions and approach
  - SAS PROC LTA
  - Basic model
  - Multiple groups LTA
  - LTA with covariate
- How to download software

- Goal: Model dating and sexual risk
   behavior over time
  - Research questions and approach
  - SAS PROC LTA
  - Basic model
  - Multiple groups LTA
  - LTA with covariate
- How to download software

#### **Research Questions**

- Describe change over time in dating and sexual risk behavior among adolescents and young adults
- 2. Are there gender differences in behavior?
- 3. Does drunkenness predict initial behavior and transitions over time?

Developmental model of dating and sexual risk behavior

- Behavior is multidimensional
- Underlying groups of individuals based on multiple aspects of behavior
- Development, or change over time, is stage-sequential

## Approach

- Latent class analysis
  - Mutually exclusive and exhaustive <u>unobserved</u> subgroups
  - Categorical latent variable
  - True class membership unknown, inferred from multiple indicators
- Latent transition analysis (LTA)
  - Individuals can change latent class membership over time

#### Parameter sets in LTA

- Item-response probabilities
  - Latent structure of dating and sexual risk behavior
- Class membership probabilities
   Prevalence of behavior at each time
- Transition probabilities
  - Time 1 to Time 2
  - Time 2 to Time 3…

#### Study participants

• Data: NLSY97

– 2937 students age 17 or 18 at Time 1

- Assessed in 1998, 1999, 2000

#### Indicators

**DATING PARTNERS** Number of dating partners in the past year

- 0 / 1 / 2 or more

PAST-YEAR SEX

– No / Yes

SEXUAL PARTNERS Number of sexual partners in the past year

- 0 / 1 / 2 or more

**EXPOSED** At least one instance of intercourse without use of a condom (i.e., exposed to STDs) in the past year

- No / Yes

- Goal: Model dating and sexual risk
   behavior over time
  - Research questions and approach

#### – SAS PROC LTA

- Basic model
- Multiple groups LTA
- LTA with covariate
- How to download software

## SAS PROC LTA

- Add-on SAS procedures

   PROC LCA (latent class analysis)
   PROC LTA (latent transition analysis)
- Downloadable
- Developed for SAS version 9 for Windows

- Goal: Model dating and sexual risk
   behavior over time
  - Research questions and approach
  - SAS PROC LTA
  - Basic model
  - Multiple groups LTA
  - LTA with covariate
- How to download software

#### Five-class model, three times

```
proc lta data=pgm.sex;
  title1 'Dating and Sexual Behavior';
  nstatus 5;
  ntimes 3;
  items datepar_98 sex_yr_98 sexpar_98 expos_98
      datepar_99 sex_yr_99 sexpar_99 expos_99
      datepar_00 sex_yr_00 sexpar_00 expos_00;
  categories 3 2 3 2;
  measurement times;
  seed 592667;
run;
```

#### Item-response probabilities

_	Non-		Monog-	Multi-part	Multi-part
Item	daters	Daters	amous	Safe	Exposed
# Dating	76%	79%	66%	93%	93%
Partners	0	2+	1	2+	2+
Past-year	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Sex	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
# Sex	100%	100%	97%	64%	91%
Partners	0	0	1	2+	2+
Exposed	100%	100%	60%	82%	81%
to STD	No	No	Yes	No	Yes

#### **Prevalence of behavior classes**

	Non- Daters	Daters	Monog- amous	Multi-part Safe	Multi-part Exposed
Time 1	.19	.29	.12	.23	.18
Time 2	.13	.23	.22	.21	.21
Time 3	.11	.18	.29	.17	.25

## Transitions in behavior (Time 1 to 2)

	Non- Daters	Daters	Monog- amous	Multi-part Safe	Multi-part Exposed
Non- Daters	.61	.18	.08	.09	.03
Daters	.01	.57	.16	.21	.05
Monog- amous	.05	.04	.68	.09	.14
Multi-part Safe	.04	.11	.21	.54	.11
Multi-part Exposed	.01	.03	.15	.00	.81

- Goal: Model dating and sexual risk
   behavior over time
  - Research questions and approach
  - SAS PROC LTA
  - Basic model
  - Multiple groups LTA
  - LTA with covariate
- How to download software

## Multiple-groups LTA

- Item-response probabilities may vary across groups
  - Measurement invariance
- Class membership probabilities may vary across groups
  - Prevalence differences
- Transition probabilities may vary across groups
  - Differences in rate of change

## Time 1 gender differences in behavior

```
proc lta data=pqm.sex;
  title1 'Dating and Sexual Behavior, by Gender';
  nstatus 5;
  ntimes 3;
  items datepar 98 sex yr 98 sexpar 98 expos 98
        datepar 99 sex yr 99 sexpar 99 expos 99
        datepar 00 sex yr 00 sexpar 00 expos 00;
  categories 3 2 3 2;
  groups gender;
  groupnames male female;
 measurement times groups;
  seed 592667;
run;
```

## Time 1 gender differences in behavior

<b>Behavior Class</b>	Males	Females
Non-daters	.17	.20
Daters	.28	.30
Monogamous	.08	.18
Multi-partner safe	.30	.16
Multi-partner exposed	.18	.17

## Time 1 gender differences in behavior

Behavior Class	Males	Females
Non-daters	.17	.20
Daters	.28	.30
Monogamous	.08	.18
Multi-partner safe	.30	.16
Multi-partner exposed	.18	.17

- Goal: Model dating and sexual risk
   behavior over time
  - Research questions and approach
  - SAS PROC LTA
  - Basic model
  - Multiple groups LTA
  - LTA with covariate
- How to download software

#### LTA with covariates

- Predict Time 1 latent class membership
- Predict transition probabilities
- Baseline-category logit model
  - Specify reference group
  - If 5 classes, 4 odds ratios

#### Logistic regression

• Non-daters specified as reference group

Non- Daters	Daters	Monog- amous	Multi-part Safe	Multi-part Exposed
.19	.29	.12	.23	.18

# Effect of drunkenness on initial behavior and transitions

```
proc lta data=pgm.sex start=sex start;
  title1 'Drunkenness Predicting Time 1 Class and
          Transitions';
  nstatus 5;
  ntimes 3;
  items datepar 98 sex yr 98 sexpar_98 expos_98
        datepar 99 sex yr 99 sexpar 99 expos 99
        datepar 00 sex yr 00 sexpar_00 expos_00;
  categories 3 2 3 2;
  covariates1 drunk 98;
  covariates2 drunk 98 drunk 98;
  reference1 1;
  reference2 1 2 3 4 5;
 measurement times;
run;
```

# Drunkenness predicting initial behavior

Latent Class	Odds Ratio	
Non-daters		
Daters	3.4	
Monogamous	3.7	
Multi-partner safe	3.5	
Multi-partner exposed	8.4	

Those reporting past-year drunkenness are 8.4 times more likely than non-drinkers to be in the Multi-partner exposed latent class at Time 1 relative to the Non-daters.

(Risk of membership in High-risk class relative to Non-daters class is 8.4 times greater for those who report drunkenness)

## Drunkenness predicting transitions

• Among Non-daters:

4 x more likely to transition to Multi-partner exposed class relative to remaining in Nondaters

• Among Daters:

3 x more likely to transition to Multi-partner exposed class relative to remaining in Daters

• Among Multi-partner exposed:

Less likely to transition to Monogamous class relative to remaining in exposed class





#### Stephanie T. Lanza SLanza@psu.edu

#### SAS PROC LTA and User's Guide available at: http://methodology.psu.edu

#### Results are from...

Lanza, S. T., & Collins, L. M. (in press). A new SAS procedure for latent transition analysis: Transitions in dating and sexual risk behavior. *Developmental Psychology*.

#### **PROC LTA: Software Features**

- Multiple-groups LTA
- LTA with covariates
- Posterior probabilities saved to SAS data file
- Parameter estimates saved to SAS data file
- Optional Bayesian stabilizing prior

