# Improving Diabetes Self-Management and Health Among the Native Hawaiian Population in Hawaii C. Nishita and T. Tom

Center on Disability Studies, University of Hawaii at Manoa

## Background

Live Healthy...Work Well (LHWW) is a competitive cooperative agreement administered by the US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

A goal of LHWW is to examine how access to life coaching, pharmacist counseling, and other services impacts the self-efficacy and health of persons with diabetes.

The project, conducted on Oahu, Hawaii, includes many Native Hawaiians. Historically, health interventions with this population have been unsuccessful because of cultural incompatibility.<sup>\*</sup> The purpose of this poster is to determine if the LHWW was culturally compatible for Native Hawaiians by examining treatment effects by race.

#### **Research Questions**

- 1. How does the diabetes health of working Native Hawaiian adults compare to other participants in the sample?
- 2. Does the impact of the treatment over six months vary between Native Hawaiians and other treatment participants?

CUL

• So

۰Ex

۰Pr

ACC

• Lif • Me

(Pha • Dia

• Nt

• 3 1

REF

• Dia

• Pe

• En

REI

reatment Components	Baseline Eligibility Criteria			
TURAL CHARACTERISTICS: cial Support periential pcess Oriented	•Diagnosed with Diabetes OR Hemoglobin A1c Level of 6.5 or Higher			
ESS to:	• 18-62 Years of Age			
e Coaching dication Therapy Management armacist Counseling)	Employed At Least 10 hrs/wk For 4 Consecutive Weeks			
betes Education trition Counseling Io. Fitness Membership	Receiving Federal Minimum Wage     or Higher			
ERRALS to: abetes Classes er Support Groups	Not Receiving Supplemental Security Income or Social Security Disability Insurance			
ployment Supports	•Living on Oahu			
MBURSEMENTS for: abetes-Related Medical Costs				
Randomization Process				
Stratified Permutated Block (k = 9) Based on Diabetes Type				



Marin G., Burhansstipanov, L., Connell, C.M., et al. (1	(95). A research agenda for	health education among underserved	populations. Health Education	Quarterly 22, 346-63

Research Methods		
Design	Longitudinal Randomized Controlled Trial Data Collected At Baseline and 6 Months	
Key Variables	Diabetes Self- Efficacy (DES), Hemoglobin A1c, Body Mass Index (BMI)	
Measures	•Surveys Completed by Participants •Biometrics Completed by Physician	
Analysis	T-tests and Chi-Square to Compare Native Hawaiians to Other Participants in the Sample	

Demographic Profile of Participants		
Mean Age	42.5 (Range 20 to 62)	
% Female	62.6	
% College Graduate	50.6	
% Uninsured	2.6	
% Type 2 Diabetes	85.8	

# Race /Ethnicity of Participants



#### Native Hawaiians in Poorer Health At Baseline

•Native Hawaiians had a higher hemoglobin A1c level than other participants (t = -2.78, p = .006; normal level <7%)

• Native Hawaiians had a higher BMI than other participants (t = -2.18, p = .03)

• Levels of diabetes self-efficacy did not differ between Native Hawaiian and other participants (t = .80, p = .42)



## Health Changes from Baseline to Six Months

• Health differences in A1c (t = -1.52, p = .13) and BMI (t = -2.95, p = .004) between Native Hawaiians and other participants disappeared at six months.

•Over six months, overweight and obese treatment participants lowered their BMI (t = -2.17, p = .03) and treatment participants improved their diabetes self-efficacy ( $X^2$  = 4.58, p = .03). There were no changes in A1c (t = -.99, p = .32).

•The improvement in DES ( $X^2 = 1.32$ , p = .25) and BMI (t = .18, p = .86) over six months did not differ between Native Hawaiian treatment participants and other treatment participants.



## Summary and Next Steps:

•Native Hawaiians had a higher hemoglobin A1c and BMI than other populations in the study.

•Over six months, treatment members lowered their BMI and raised their diabetes self-efficacy over six months.

•Findings suggest the cultural compatibility of life coaching and diabetes support services for Native Hawaiian treatment participants who benefited to the same degree as other treatment participants.

•Further examination of longitudinal outcomes (at 12, 18 months) using statistical models (latent growth curve, mixed models) is needed.