# Iron consumed through groundwater is associated with iron status of women of reproductive age in rural Bangladesh

RD Merrill<sup>1</sup>, AA Shamim<sup>2</sup>, AB Labrique<sup>1</sup>, P Christian<sup>1</sup>, KP West Jr<sup>1</sup>

1Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA <sup>2</sup>JiVitA Maternal and Child Health Research Project, Rangpur, Bangladesh

Results

## Introduction

Rates of iron deficiency (ID, plasma ferritin < 12 µg/l) and resultant anemia (IDA, ID plus low Hb) were very low among women of reproductive age (n=1198) participating in a field trial in rural Bangladesh from 2002 - 2006, both being < 7% at 1st and 3rd trimester and 3 months postpartum assessments. Intakes of iron sources (heme foods and supplements) were infrequent suggesting consumption of unconventional iron sources was enabling the maintenance of sufficient iron stores through pregnancy and lactation

In Bangladesh, groundwater, naturally rich in minerals such as arsenic and iron (1), provides more than 90% of the population with drinking and cooking water (2). Previous studies have suggested that iron in water is bioavailable and positively associated with iron status and growth (3-6). This study was conducted to investigate the association between consumption of iron through drinking groundwater and iron status of women.

### Methods

PANI* study participants were selected from	
a biochemical substudy (n=1198, 2% of all	PANI Data Collection (2008
subjects) nested within the first "JiVitA"	Rounds 1 and 2
community-based thal (n=-60,000) conducted from 2002 to 2007 in rural northwestern Bangladesh designed to assess the impact of weekly vitamin A or beta carotene supplementation on maternal and infant mortality. The PANI sampling frame (n=464, 39% of 1198) was composed of JIVIA subjects who: 1) maintained the same residence throughout participation, 2) contributed a live birth, and 3) completed	<ul> <li>24-hr rice and drinking water intake</li> <li>7-day drinking and cookin water collection and use</li> <li>7-day dietary intake</li> <li>30-day supplement use</li> <li>7-day morbidity</li> <li>Field-based drinking tubewell water analysis</li> </ul>
the full panel of $1^{\mbox{st}}$ trimester and 3 months	Round 2
post partum assessments. After assessing current eligibility (residence	<ul> <li>Iron status (Hb, ferritin, TfF and CRP)</li> </ul>
	una or /

in the study area and non-pregnancy) for

400 subjects randomly selected from the sampling frame, 307 enrolled subjects were visited in 2008 during 2 seasonal data collection rounds (1: May - July; 2: October - November) for interviews (7-day dietary and morbidity recall, water collection and use patterns, and 24-hr drinking water and rice intake) and field-based drinking tubewell water analysis. Iron status was assessed in round 2. Complete PANI data was collected from 222 (72% of the 307 enrolled) subjects.

\* Project of Arsenic N' Iron; pani means "water" in Bengali

Table 1. Iron status of PANI women (2008)								
	n	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Range	< cutoff <sup>a</sup>			
Hb (g/L)	264	117 (16)	118 (106, 129)	80, 162	145 (55)			
Ferritin (µg/L)	222	78 (49)	67 (42, 100)	13, 287	0 (0)			
TfR (mg/L)	222	2.5 (0.9)	2.3 (2.0, 2.8)	1.2, 8.0	0 (0)			
CRP (mg/L)	222	1.6 (4.2)	0.3 (0.1, 1.2)	0.1, 30.0	15 (7)			

respectively; Iron deficiency, ferritin < 12µg/L or TfR >8.5 mg/L; Infection, CRP>5.0 mg/L. <sup>b</sup> Appropriate Hb cutoffs applied for 8 (4%) participants in 1st trimester of pregnancy.

Groundwater was the main source of drinking water for 100% of participants. Iron concentration in groundwater sources was elevated (100% above the WHO defined 0.3 mg/L cutoff (7)) and variable (Table 2). Subjects reported consuming 2.5 L of water a day (excluding filtered water), on average, from the identified tubewells leading to a wide range of daily iron intake through drinking water (1.5 - 144.1 mg/day).

#### Table 2. Iron intake through drinking groundwater (n=208)

	May – July	Oct - Nov	Usual
Groundwater iron content (mg/L)	14.6 (7.0, 27.0) <sup>a</sup>	15.9 (5.7, 29.1)	16.8 (6.9, 28.0)
	0.9, 50.5	0.6, 50.5	0.8, 43.5
Water intake <sup>1</sup>	2.6 (2.0, 3.4)	2.3 (1.9, 2.8)	2.5 (2.0, 3.1)
(L/day)	0.6, 6.0	0.6, 9.0	0.8, 5.8
Daily iron intake <sup>2</sup>	39.5 (15.8, 72.1)	36.6 (13.6, 63.3)	41.4 (16.6, 67.8)
(mg/day)	1.1, 212.1	0.9, 209.0	1.5, 144.1

<sup>2</sup> Water intake (L/day) X groundwater iron concentration (mg/L) a Data presented as median (IQR), range

Maps were developed to illustrate the distribution of usual (mean of rounds 1 & 2) daily iron intake through water (mg/day) and plasma ferritin (ug/L) in round 2 (Figure 1).

References

References I Arsenic contamination of groundwater in Bangladesh. Kinniburgh, DG and Smedley, PL. Volume 1: Summary. 2001. Keyworth, British Geologic Survey, British Geologic Survey Report WC00019. Z Ravenscorth P. Overview of the Hydrogeology of Bengladesh. In: R Ratimman A, Ravenscroft P, eds. Groundwater

Resources and Development in Bangladesh-background to the arsenic crisis, agricultural potential and the environmen Dhaka: University Press Ltd 2003:43-86.

Lineas. University PT855 LID 20U34-300.
S. McKenna D, Spence D, Haggan S, McCrum E, Doman J, Lappin T. A randomized trial investigating an iron-rich natural mineral water as a prophysics against iron deficiency in pregnancy. Clin Lab Haematol 2003;25:593-103.
4. Worwood M, Evans W, Villis R, Burnett A. Iron absorption from a natural mineral water (Spatone Iron-Plus). Clin Lab Haematol 1996;18:23-7.

Halksworth G, Moseley L, Carter K, Worwood M. Iron absorption from Spatone (a natural mineral water) for prevention

of iron deficiency in programory. Clin Lab Haematol 2003;25:227-31. 6. Briend A, Hoque B, Aziz K, Iron in Lubewell water and linear growth in rural Bangladesh. Arch Dis Child 1990;65:224-5. 7. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. Geneva: WHD, 1844.



Figure 1. Distribution of iron status and iron intake through

Latitude, degrees E <sup>1</sup> Developed using minimum curvature to generate the smoothest possible surface while

attempting to honor the raw data as closely as possible Daily iron intake through water was positively associated with iron status

(R<sup>2</sup>=0.21, p<0.001, Figure 2).

Figure 2. Ferritin as a function of daily iron intake through drinking water (n=205)



Daily iron intake through drinking water (mg/day)

## Conclusions

- > Daily iron intake through drinking groundwater was positively associated with iron status of women (p=<0.001, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.21).
- > Iron status was not associated with age, parity, and dietary intake of animal food sources (heme iron).
- > Iron rich drinking water should be considered when evaluating maternal iron intake and status in populations consuming groundwater.

## Fundng Acknowledgements

Supported by the Ofc of Health, Infectious Diseases and Nutrition, USAID; Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation: USAID Mission, Dhaka, Bangladesh, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Bangladesh; the Procter and Gamble Company, Cincinnati, OH, and the Sight and Life Research Institute Baltimore MD.