

# Linking Adult Intimate Partner Violence in California with Children's Emotional and Behavioral Functioning

Elaine Zahnd, PhD<sup>1</sup>, May Aydin, PhD<sup>2</sup>,  
David Grant, PhD<sup>2</sup>, and Sue Holtby, MPH<sup>1</sup>

APHA Annual Conference, Denver, Colorado  
November 8, 2010

<sup>1</sup>Public Health Institute, Oakland, CA,

<sup>2</sup>UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, Los Angeles, CA

# Presenter Disclosures

***Elaine Zahnd***

The following personal financial relationships with commercial interests relevant to this presentation existed during the past 12 months:

***No relationships to disclose.***

My presentation will include discussion of “off-label” use of the following:

***None.***

# Background: IPV and Adult Health

- Nearly 4 million Californians report experiencing intimate partner violence (IPV) as adults (17.2%) (CHIS 2007)
- Previous studies demonstrate an association between exposure to abuse, including witnessing IPV, and adverse *adult* physical and emotional health outcomes
  - Felitti, et al., *AJ Prev Med*, The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study, 1998, 245-258

# Background: IPV and Child Health

- Beyond the trauma facing adult victims, an added concern is the toll IPV may take upon children in the household (HH)
- Exposure to *recent* IPV may adversely impact child health, especially when witnessing violence
  - McAlister Groves B, *Children who see too much: Lessons from the child witness to violence project*, 2002, Mass: Beacon Press.
- This California-based study focuses on the impact of *adult IPV histories* on young children's emotional and behavioral difficulties

# Research Questions

- **The 3 main research questions are:**
  - 1) *What is the prevalence of IPV in households with children in California?*
  - 2) *Are children in IPV-households at greater risk of emotional/behavior difficulties compared to children in non-IPV- households?*
  - 3) *Do the patterns of child emotional/behavior difficulties vary by demographics?*

# Methods: CHIS 2007 Data

- CHIS is the largest state population-based health survey in the nation
- RDD telephone survey conducted in 5 languages
- Designed to track health status & disparities among California's diverse racial/ethnic groups
- 2007 Sample = 37,333 adults ages 18-65 asked about IPV experiences
- Sample size for this Adult-Child HH-linked study
  - 4916 adults with children ages 4-11 years

# Adult IPV Measures

- Introductory language to opt out and hot line
- Modified Conflict Tactic Scale\* (CTS) \* Copyright Murray Strauss
  - Since 18, current or past intimate partner physical or sexual violence
    - *Threats, push, kick, beat, threaten with or use gun or knife, and forced sex*
  - In the past 12 months, any physical/sexual IPV
    - *Perpetrator relationship and gender*
    - *Perpetrator drinking or using drugs during recent event*
    - *Frequency of incidents and help seeking*

# Child SDQ Emotional/Behavior Measure

- Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)\*
  - Brief behavioral screener to predict child psychiatric risk
  - **5 subscales:**
    - Emotional symptoms
    - Conduct problems
    - Hyperactivity or inattention
    - Peer relationships
    - Prosocial behavior
- Short 6-item version
  - *Obedience*
  - *Worry*
  - *Unhappy*
  - *Adult relationships*
  - *Attention span*
  - *Past 6 month symptoms*



# Child SDQ Measure

- Asked of children 4-11 years (proxy adult)
- SDQ identifies overall risk & areas of specific developmental problems\*
- If child difficulties with emotions or behavior in the past 6 mo., parent is asked:
  - Were they minor, definite or severe?
- Scales summed for overall score from 0-10
- Measures 3 levels of emotional or mental development:
  - **Normal**
  - **Borderline**
  - **Abnormal**

\* Copyright Robert Goodman

# Results: CHIS 2007 Adult IPV

- **Prevalence among all CA adults since turning 18 years**
  - Physical IPV = **17%** (*3.7 million*)
  - Sexual IPV = **5%** (*Over 1 million*)
- **Among those experiencing IPV**
  - Past 12 month physical IPV = **25%** (*~925,000*)
  - Past 12 month sexual IPV = **8%** (*~80,000*)

# Results: IPV in Households with Children

- Prevalence among CA parents since turning 18 years
  - IPV history in HH with children 4-11 yrs:
    - *23% (1.2 million)*
- Among parents experiencing IPV
  - Past 12 month IPV in HH with children 4-11 yrs:
    - *6% (~278,000)*

# Results: Child SDQ and IPV Histories

- SDQ borderline or abnormal (B/A) scores
  - Children 4-11 yrs = 11% (~125,000)
- *SDQ by IPV vs. Non-IPV histories*
  - ***Children in HH with IPV histories more likely to have B/A scores than children in HH without IPV histories***
    - 15% IPV HH vs. 8% Non-IPV HH ( $T\text{-test}=4.5; p=.000005$ )
- Sample sizes:
  - Child HH with IPV histories ( $n=1132$ )
  - Child HH without IPV histories ( $n=3784$ )

# Results: SDQ Borderline/Abnormal Scores

- **Gender:**
  - Males more likely to have B/A scores than females
    - 15% males vs. 8% females ( $T\text{-test}=3.3; p=.001$ )
- **Race:**
  - Asians less likely B/A scores than Whites
    - 5.7% Asians vs.9.8% Whites ( $T\text{-test}=2.3; p=.02$ )
  - Asians less likely B/A scores than Latinos
    - 5.7% Asians vs.10.5% Latinos ( $T\text{-test}=2.6; p=.01$ )

# Results: SDQ Borderline/Abnormal Scores

## ■ Family Type:

- Children in single parent HH more likely B/A scores than children in married HH
  - 15% vs. 8% ( $T\text{-test}=4.5; p=.00001$ )

## ■ Poverty:

- Children in lower income HH more likely B/A scores than in higher income HH
  - 16% vs. 9% (0-99% vs. 200-299% FPL) ( $T\text{-test}=3.0; p=.003$ )
  - 16% vs. 7% (0-99% vs.  $\geq 300\%$  FPL) ( $T\text{-test}=4.3; p=.00001$ )
  - 11% vs. 7% (100-199% vs.  $\geq 300\%$  FPL) ( $T\text{-test}=2.7; p=.007$ )

# Results: SDQ Logistic Regression Model

- Dependent Variable:
  - Children 4-11 yrs with abnormal or borderline SDQ scores
- 5 Independent Variables in Model:
  - Gender
  - Race/Ethnicity (Latino, White, African American, Asian, Others)
  - Federal Poverty Level (FPL) (0-99%; 100-199%; 200-299%; 300% and above)
  - Family Type (Single parent; Married couple)
  - Intimate Partner Violence Since 18 (Yes; No)

# Results: What predicts SDQ abnormal or borderline scores?

- Adult IPV history
  - Children in HH with an IPV history almost twice as likely to have an A/B score as those in HH with Non-IPV histories (OR=1.8 )
- Poverty
  - Children in the lowest income HH (0-99% FPL) almost three times as likely to have an A/B score as those at 300% FPL or above (OR=2.5)



# Results: What predicts SDQ abnormal or borderline scores?

- Gender
  - Being male child: Almost twice as likely to have A/B score (OR=1.6)
- Family Type
  - Children in married couple HH were less likely to have A/B scores than children in single parent HH (OR= -.65)
- Race
  - Not an explanatory factor

# Study Limitations

- Findings limited to CA population
- Smaller sample of child HHs with *recent* IPV limits the breadth for analysis
- Children are impacted by exposure to a variety of adverse experiences, including violence and poverty
  - Unable to determine if environment, temperament or other factors not measured on CHIS also impact child emotional and behavior difficulties

# Conclusion

- A number of factors significantly increase the odds of child emotional or behavioral difficulties:
  - *Being male*
  - *Being poor*
  - *Living in a HH with an adult with an IPV history*
  - *Living in a HH with a single parent*
- Direct as well as indirect victims of IPV
  - Over 10% of adults grow up in HH in which women are IPV victims (Felitti, 1998)
  - Children suffer as hidden victims from parent's IPV trauma

# Policy Implications

- Providers should increase their efforts to prevent IPV by screening and referring
  - *Protocols often used in adult medicine and OB/Gyn*
  - *Yet no standard for screening and a lack of funding for such services in pediatric settings*
- While the findings point to the importance of IPV & SDQ screening in pediatric settings
  - *Care must be taken not to blame the adult victim*
  - *The goal is to provide the parent and the child with any support and services they need*

# Obtaining CHIS data

- *AskCHIS: User-friendly online data query system containing adult, adolescent and child state and county level data*
- *Public Use Files*
- *Confidential CHIS files: Apply to CHIS Data Access Center at UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*
- *CHIS publications: CHIS website [www.chis.ucla.edu](http://www.chis.ucla.edu)*