

The Role of Local Manufacturers in Producing Essential Malaria Commodities: Nigeria and Uganda Case Studies

Authors: Kenyetta Lovings MA, Bryan Callahan PhD, Richard Tren MSc, Jasson Urbach MSc

> Public Health Strategies to Address Trade and Trade Policy APHA Session 3351.0

Monday, November 8, 2010 • 2:30 PM-4:00 PM



AED 1825 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW, STE 680 WASHINGTON, DC 20009



APHA Disclosure Statement

During the past 12 months neither me nor my spouse or partner has had a financial, professional or personal relationship that might potentially bias and/or impact content of the educational activity/session.

I agree not to promote any products, goods or services or to bias the educational content and to comply with the APHA Conflict of Interest Policy, Commercial Support Standards, and Off-Label Experimental Drug Use, as they become applicable to me.



About M-TAP

- Funded by BMGF and supported by RBM Secretariat
- Two-year evidence-gathering and targeted advocacy project
- Goals and Objectives:
 - Support sustainable access to anti-malaria commodities
 - Rekindle advocacy for removal of taxes, tariffs, non-tariff barriers
 - Engage Heads of State and Ministers of Finance in malariaendemic countries
 - Create materials and partnerships to support advocacy under RBM



M-TAP 2009 - 2010

Materials Development

- Case studies
- Tariff data for 76 malaria-endemic countries
- Modeling Tool

Advocacy

- Maps and fact sheets
- Active partnership with ALMA (WEF, AU Summit, MDG Summit)
- Tax and tariff removal adopted as key ALMA policy objective
- Significant media coverage (WSJ, Guardian, FT)
- Renewed commitments to remove taxes and tariffs by several African Heads of State









Social Justice Context

Affordable and Sustained Access to Healthcare

- Millennium Development Goals (Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8)
- Abuja Declaration of the Africa Summit on Roll Back Malaria (2000)
- World Health Assembly (2008)
- UN General Assembly (2009)
- ALMA Resolution (2010)



Methodology

- Semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders involved in malaria control
- Nigeria: 37 interviews in Abuja, Calabar, Kano, Lagos, Port Harcourt
- Uganda: 36 interviews in Entebbe and Kampala



Potential Long-term Benefits from Local Manufacturing

Donor Funding for Malaria Control

- Historically cyclical and unpredictable so there is a need for local private sector engagement
- Recent scaling-up of treatment and prevention will create a significant increase in demand

Mobilization of Resources for Local Production

- Reduce long procurement processes
- Reduce stock-outs of anti-malaria commodities
- Job creation and workforce development
- Reduce delivery times
- Catalyze economic growth for malaria-endemic countries
- Encourage country-level ownership of malaria control efforts



Disincentives for Local Manufacturing

- Commodities produced by local manufacturers in sub-Saharan
 Africa are more expensive than imported ones
- Multinational companies (Sanofi Aventis and Novartis) provide ACTs at lower negotiated prices through financial mechanisms such as the Affordable Medicines Facility for Malaria (AMFm)
- Difficult for local manufacturers to compete with subsidized and donated ACTs and LLINs



Challenges for Local Manufacturers

Sub-Saharan Africa

- Currently none of the ACTs produced in sub-Saharan Africa meet the standards of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) required for WHO prequalification status
- Only one local manufacturing facility in sub-Saharan Africa (A to Z Textile Mills in Tanzania) has achieved recommended status under the WHO Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPES) for LLIN production

Nigeria and Uganda

- 5% VAT applied to raw materials, machinery and packaging in Nigeria
- Since the removal of taxes and tariffs in Uganda, non-tariff barriers associated with regulatory and registration processes are problematic
- Low access to financial and technical capital
- Volatile infrastructures for energy and transport lead to higher production costs



RBM Resolution on Local Manufacturing

May 2010 RBM Resolution

- Strengthen the technical capacity of national regulatory agencies to ensure quality anti-malaria goods
- Strengthen the capacity of local manufacturers to reach GMP and WHO prequalification standards
- Develop joint ventures with partners who can provide investment, technology transfer, technical assistance and access to regional and global markets
- Encourage African leaders to cooperate on steps to strengthen local industrial capacity by creating a business enabling environment



Policy Implications

North-South Cooperation

- Develop a transparent and predictable trading and financial system in Nigeria and Uganda that allows for greater access to markets in other malaria-endemic countries
- Eliminate or reduce 5% VAT applied to production inputs in Nigeria in order to increase access to malaria treatment and prevention
- Encourage GMP through joint ventures that build local industrial capacities in management, planning and forecasting, and technology and expertise

South-South Cooperation

- Relax NTBs by harmonizing the registration of anti-malaria products by region or trade bloc to reduce the cost of retooling production facilities
- Create new incentives for exports earning foreign exchange in tax savings such as exemptions, deductions or credit



Thank You

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Roll Back Malaria Partnership

Nigerian National Malaria Control Program

Ugandan National Malaria Control Program

Africa Fighting Malaria

Ogilvy Public Relations

William Davidson Institute of the University of Michigan

www.m-tap.org

AED 1825 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW, STE 680 WASHINGTON, DC 20009