#### Seasonal Trends in Water Contamination and *Cryptosporidium* Infections in Households with On-site Wells and Septic Systems



Stephanie Jackson, MPH University of New Mexico November 10, 2010





#### **Presenter Disclosures**

**Stephanie Jackson, MPH** 

The following personal financial relationships with commercial interests relevant to this presentation existed during the past 12 months:

No relationships to disclose



# Introduction

- Safe drinking water is a critical component of public health.
- In 2007, approximately 26 million homes use on-site wastewater systems for waste removal, about 20% of U.S. households (U.S. EPA 2008).
- What is the prevalence of the contamination of well water by septic tank contents?
- What are the public health impacts of these interacting systems?

# Background

Regulation of wastewater systems and private wells are governed by NM State Engineering Dept.

and NM State Environment Dept./Bernalillo County.

In this study, *Cryptosporidium* antibodies are used as an indicator of an immune response that may be caused by contaminated water.









# Phase I: Cross-sectional Study

- Are people who reside in houses with private wells and septic systems at increased risk of enteric illness or infection from *Cryptosporidium*?
- Cross sectional study collected water samples, blood samples and questionnaires from exposed (septic and well) vs. unexposed (city water and sewer).
- Geological variation in the sites included riverbed, foothills, and mountain areas.







# Phase I: Cross-sectional Study

#### Results

- Water samples testing positive: 15.8% riverbed, 27.6% foothills, and 28.2% mountain.
- People with on-site wastewater systems/private wells on the riverbed site had more intense serological response to *Cryptosporidium* antigen, after controlling for other risk factors, than the users of city water and sewer (other sites showed same trend).
- Having an on-site septic system and private well may increase risk of *Cryptosporidium* infection.



#### Phase II: Repeat Water Sample Study

- We wanted to examine the occurrence of groundwater contamination indicators and serological responses to *Cryptosporidium* antigens over time.
- Hypothesis: People with strong antigenic serological responses will be more likely to have water samples that have elevated indicators of contamination, suggesting that the water is the source of exposure.

#### Phase II: Repeat Water Sample Study

This analysis looks at individuals with high levels of *Cryptosporidium* antibodies in regards to:



- water contamination indicators,
- diarrheal and gastro-intestinal illness,
- risk factors for *Cryptosporidium* infection.

## Methods: Participants

- Recruitment pool: Phase I participants who had an onsite wastewater system and private well, and who had a serological response ≥20% of the positive control.
- Participants lived in either the riverbed geological area, or the foothills geological area.





• 60 participants selected from exposed group of Phase I.

## Methods: Data Collection

- Data collected Feb. 2008 to Feb. 2009.
- 7 water samples once every other month.
- 5 blood samples once every 3 months.
- 5 questionnaires once every 3 months.

	1												
			Month Following Enrollment										
	Enrollment	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Water	X		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х
Blood	X			Х			Х			Х			Х
Questionnaire	Х			Х			Х			Х			Х

# Methods: Water Samples

• Water tested for: total coliforms, *E. coli, Enterococcus*, and somatic coliphage.



coliform



E. coli



Enterococcus



somatic coliphage

- Water samples sent to Dr. Marylynn Yates, UC Riverside. Results reported to participants after each test.
- Exposure: ever tested positive for any water test during the data collection period.



# Methods: Blood Samples



- Serum was analyzed for the presence of *Cryptosporidium* antibodies by Western miniblot.
- Outcome for analysis: ≥75% of positive control for 27-kDa and 15/17-kDa antibody levels.



• The five antibody measures for each participant were averaged for one summary measure for each band site.

## Methods: Questionnaire

- Information collected at the time of blood draw included:
  - Age, gender, marital status, education level.
  - Length of time at residence.
  - Information about well and wastewater system.
  - Children, pets, travel, and activity around water.
  - Amount of water consumed in past 24 hours.
  - Past diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis.



## Methods: Questionnaire

Answers to risk factor questions varied during the course of the study and were combined into two different summary variables.

• Most often positive: the five responses to the risk factor questions were most often positive.



• Ever positive: the five responses to the risk factor questions were ever positive.

### Results

#### Total number of positive water tests by month



### Results

Demographic characteristics of participants who have 27-kDa *Cryptosporidium* antibody levels at 75% or greater of a positive control, and participants with less than 75%

	Variable	Percentage of people with high antibodies (N=21)	#	Percentage of people without high antibodies (N=38)	#	p-value
Gender	Male	47.6 %	10	39.5 %	15	0.54
	Female	52.4 %	11	60.5 %	23	
Age	< 50	19.0 %	4	23.7 %	9	0.65
	50-59	28.6 %	6	34.2 %	13	
	60-69	19.0 %	4	23.7 %	9	
	70-79	33.3 %	7	18.4 %	7	
Ethnicity	Non-Hispanic	71.4 %	15	84.2 %	32	0.02
	Hispanic	28.6 %	6	15.8 %	6	
Marital Status	Married	71.4 %	15	84.2 %	32	0.40
	Single/Divorced/ Widowed	28.6 %	6	15.8 %	6	
Education	High School	14.3 %	3	15.8 %	6	0.88
	Vocational/College	85.7 %	18	84.2 %	32	
Geographic Location	Riverbed	47.6 %	10	28.9 %	11	0.15
	Foothills	52.4 %	11	71.1 %	27	

## Results

Water tests and reported illness of participants who have 27-kDa *Cryptosporidium* antibody levels at 75% or greater of a positive control, and participants with less than 75%

Varia	Percentage of people with high antibodies (N=21)	#	Percentage of people without high antibodies (N=38)	#	P-value	
Positive water test: Total Coliform	Yes	71.4 %	15	42.1 %	16	0.03
Positive water test: Enterococcus	Yes	61.9 %	13	44.7 %	17	0.28
Positive water test: <i>E. coli</i>	Yes	57.1 %	12	31.6 %	12	0.06
Positive water test: Coliphage	Yes	9.5 %	2	18.4 %	7	0.36
Positive for any water test	Yes	90.5 %	19	73.7 %	28	0.17
Diarrhea lasting 4 days (over 1 yr)	Yes	9.5 %	2	15.8 %	6	0.68
Gastrointestinal illness (over 1 yr)	None	47.6 %	10	47.4 %	18	0.14
	<ul><li>1-5 episodes</li><li>6 or more episodes</li></ul>	42.9 % 9.5 %	9 2	52.6 % 0.0 %	20 0	

#### Variations in answers over 5 questionnaires in a 13 month period (N=59)

	Percentage giving
Risk Factor	variable answers out of
	5 questionnaires
Diarrhea in the last 2 months	35.6 %
Episodes of GI illness in past year	40.7 %
Used daycare for child in home	8.5 %
Handled child in diapers	20.3 %
Cared for someone with diarrhea	25.4 %
Visited someone in the hospital	57.6 %
Handled pets	15.3 %
Handled young pets	35.6 %
Handled livestock or wild animals	13.6 %
Drank untreated water	1.7 %
Swam or waded in lake or stream	18.6 %
Used pool, hot tub or water park	35.6 %
Plumbing work done in home	39.0 %
Traveled outside United States	37.2 %
Have pets in home	8.5 %
Diagnosed with Hepatitis A	3.4 %
Vaccinated for Hepatitis A	15.3 %
Eat fresh food or vegetables	8.5 %
Eat organic vegetables	54.2 %
Wash produce before eating	35.6 %
Eat fruit and vegetable peels	79.7 %
Eat outer leaves	57.6 %

#### Risk factor: answer is most often positive

Relationship between 27-kDa antibody level  $\geq$  75% of positive control and a positive water test for total coliforms

Variable	Ν	Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval	p-value
Positive total coliform test	59	3.4 (1.1-10.8)	0.04

Relationship between 27-kDa antibody level  $\geq$  75% of positive control and a positive water test for *E. coli* (risk factor: most often positive)

Variable		Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence	p-value	
		interval		
Positive <i>E. coli</i> test		2.9 (.96-8.7)	0.06	

#### Risk factor: answer is ever positive

Relationship between 27-kDa antibody level  $\geq$  75% of positive control and a positive water test for total coliforms.

Variable	N	Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval	p-value
Positive total coliform test	59	2.5 (0.7-8.5)	0.14
Traveled outside the US	59	.18 (0.0-0.8)	0.02

Relationship between 27-kDa antibody level  $\geq$  75% of positive control and a positive water test for *E. coli*.

	Ν	Adjusted odds ratio	p-value
Variable		and 95% confidence	
		interval	
Positive <i>E. coli</i> test		2.9 (.96-8.7)	0.06

# Implications

The results suggest an association between contaminated water and infection from *Cryptosporidium*.



• After controlling for other risk factors, the most likely source of exposure is an on-site underground wastewater system that exists in close proximity to the private wells.

# Implications

- People with onsite wastewater systems and private wells are at risk for fecal contamination of their drinking water.
- In a 13 month cycle, 80% of the wells had fecal contamination at some point.



 It was common for a household to have more than one water test be positive in a sample, and for homes to test positive more than once.

## Implications for Public Health Policy

- Despite the high number of contaminated samples, there were no associations between positive water tests and diarrhea or GI illness.
- Protective immunity may be occurring.



 Visitors may be susceptible to illness or deposit foreign bacteria, virus or parasite into the septic tank.



### Implications for Public Health Policy

- People were not consistently reporting the same answers to risk factor exposures on the questionnaires.
- Cross-sectional study designs may be underestimating the prevalence of contamination and may also lead to risk factor misclassification.
- We rely on cross-sectional, point in time surveys for large national data sets.



# Acknowledgements



Funding was provided by APTR (Association for Prevention Teaching and Research) through a cooperative agreement with the CDC.

Thanks to:

- Kristine Tollestrup, PhD, MPH
- Floyd Frost, PhD
- Marylynn Yates, PhD
- Jason Witter, MA
- Rick Gelting, PhD
- Mike Herring, REHS, MPH
- Max Zarata, PhD













