

Pre-symptomatic Healthcare Worker Transmission of Pandemic (H1N1) Influenza in Acute Care Settings, Los Angeles County, 2009

Case 2

27

569

Respiratory

distress

Yes

125

Yes

No

Yes

No

Yes

Case 3

32

8 9 N/A

Respiratory

distress

Yes

44

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes



Patricia Marguez, MPH, Dawn Terashita, MD, MPH, L'Tanya English, RN, MPH, David E. Dassey, MD, MPH, Laurene Mascola, MD, MPH County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Health, Acute Communicable Disease Control Program

Background

·Asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic transmission of influenza is not well understood, but believed to be possible and of concern in healthcare settings

•Pandemic (H1N1) influenza was first seen in Los Angeles County (LAC) in April 2009

•Department of Public Health (DPH) staff investigated several hospital outbreaks of pandemic (H1N1) influenza

•Two outbreaks were hypothesized to involve presymptomatic healthcare worker (HCW) transmission to high-risk patients in acute care settings

•Outbreaks occurred prior to the release of pandemic (H1N1) influenza vaccine

•We describe two outbreaks investigated in July and October 2009 in two separate LAC acute care hospitals

Facility A – July 2009

 Infection preventionist (IP) called to notify DPH of pandemic (H1N1) influenza outbreak in pediatric hematology-oncology unit in patients admitted for chemotherapy

•Case defined as patient in hematology-oncology unit positive for H1N1 influenza via real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (rt-PCR)

Two cases initially met case definition (Table 1)

 Cases resided in adjacent rooms on the same floor with symptom onset 5 days apart

ases

÷р

·Later in investigation, Index Case identified

 Index Case admitted to Facility A symptomatic with influenza-like illness (ILI) 7 days prior to onset of symptoms in Case 1 (Fig. 1)

 Progression of infection to respiratory distress required all three case to be transferred to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU)

•All three case were treated with oseltamivir while in the PICU

•All three case subsequently expired in the PICU from complications of influenza

•HCW 1 identified with ILI – provided direct patient care to index case. Case 1 and 2

HCW 1 symptom onset same day as Case 1

•HCW 1 was clinically diagnosed with influenza A by an outside provider; no specimen was obtain for testing by DPH

•HCW 1 provided care to Index Case. Case 1 and Case 2 three days before her symptom onset

•No contact between HCW 1 and patients occurred while HCW 1 was symptomatic; HCW 1 did not return to work until symptoms resolved and treatment with oseltamivir completed

•Case 1 and 2 were not exposed to any other known symptomatic or pre-symptomatic visitors or staff

	Index Case	Case 1	Case 2		Case 1		
Age	8 years	15 months	3 years	Gestational age (weeks)	37		
Underlying chronic	Chronic Langerhans	Down syndrome/	Down syndrome/	APGAR score ^o	7, 8, N/A		
condition	histiocytosis	Acute myelogenous leukemia	Acute myelogenous leukemia	Underlying medical condition	Gastroschisis	Re	
Admission	Fever/neutropenia	Chemotherapy	Chemotherapy	Ventilator dependent	Yes		
Days in facility	0	27	7	Days in NICU prior to onset	148		
Symptoms:				Symptoms:		1	
Cough	Yes	Yes	Yes	Cough	No		
Fever	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fever	Yes		
Respiratory	Yes	Yes	Yes	Increased secretions	No		
Diarrhea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Vomiting	Yes		
Vomiting	No	Yes	No	Poor feeding	Yes		

					⁶ At on	e, five and 10 minu	tes			
		Fig. 1	imeline of Infectio	ns in Facility A amo	ng Patients and He	althcare Work	er in the Hemato	ogy-Oncology Un	it	
Case 2								•		
Case 1						•				
« Case			·							
ICW 1			}							
7/1/09	7/4/09	7/7/09	7/10/09	7/13/09	7/16/09 Date	7/19/09	7/22/09	7/25/09	7/28/09	7/31/09
is shedding period, H	ICW 1 had direct contact w	ith Case 1 and 2 in their	Work exposure period	Off Work/III	Heme-Onc	■Sy	mptomatic E	cpired		



Facility B – October 2009

•IP called to notify DPH of one infant symptomatic with ILI and two infants with non-specific symptoms (Table 1) in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) with positive rt-PCR influenza tests within a 24 hour period

•Case defined as patient residing in the NICU who was positive for pandemic (H1N1) influenza via rt-PCR

•Three patients met the case definition

Interviews with NICU staff revealed four HCWs on the same shift who cared for the three case patients

These HCWs subsequently became ill (Fig. 2)

•While potentially shedding the virus. HCW 1 provided care to Case 1 and 2 during the exposure interval for the infants

•HCW 1 experienced a mildly achy prodrome at the end of her shift on the third day and did not return to work

•HCWs 2, 3 and 4 became symptomatic with ILI 2-3 days after HCW 1

•HCW 2 provided care to Case 1 and Case 2 while presymptomatic

•HCWs 3 and 4 provided care to Case 3 while presymptomatic

•No HCWs cared for patients while symptomatic

•Facility B has strictly enforced visitor policy excluding sick visitors from the NICU; there were no known ill visitors

•None of the HCWs were tested for influenza by Facility B or their primary medical doctors

•All infants and HCWs recovered from their illness

Conclusions

 Influenza patients are considered most infectious during the 24 hours before the onset of symptoms and during the most symptomatic period

•Though transmission cannot be proven in both reported outbreaks, HCWs in their pre-symptomatic phase, had direct contact with patients who subsequently developed influenza

•A limitation of these investigations is the lack of diagnostic testing of HCWs; ill HCWs were clinically diagnosed only

•Both outbreaks occurred in areas with severely immunocompromised patients: HCWs place their patients at high risk of infection if not properly immunized

·Annual vaccination against influenza is recommended for all HCWs as it continues to be the primary method to prevent infection and transmission

. Exposure of HCWs to ill patients, and exposure of vulnerable patients to ill HCWs, is an occupational hazard that can be prevented via influenza vaccination

•We hypothesize that had vaccine been available at the time, and HCWs vaccinated, both outbreaks would have been avoided

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the IPs of Facility A and Facility B for their cooperation and assistance during the investigations.