PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR HEALTH: IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICYMAKERS

138th APHA Annual Meeting November 9, 2010 Denver, Colorado

Tatiana Lin, M.A., J.D. (Russia), Senior Analyst Caitlin McMurtry, Analyst Kansas Health Institute





PRESENTER DISCLOSURES

Tatiana Lin and Caitlin McMurtry

The following personal financial relationships with commercial interests relevant to this presentation existed during the past 12 months:

No relationships to disclose.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to:

- Suzanne Cleveland, J.D.
 - Kansas Health Institute
- Andrew Ward, M.P.H., Ph.D., Ph.D.
 - Kansas Health Institute
- Emily Meissen-Sebelius, M.S.W.
 - Kansas Health Institute
- Julia Paradise, M.S.P.H.
 - Kaiser Family Foundation



OUTLINE

- I. Personal Responsibility for Health in the United States
- II. Beliefs About the Need for Personal Responsibility
- III. Personal Responsibility Views: Shaping Policies and Programs
- IV. Understanding Personal Behaviors in a Larger Context
- V. Personal Responsibility in an Applied Context
- VI. Going Forward: Shared Responsibility



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR HEALTH IN THE UNITED STATES



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR HEALTH

- Implies that individuals have control over their health-related behaviors and holds individuals personally accountable for their choices
- Reflects a cultural belief about the importance of recognizing an individual's right to make his/her own choices (e.g., health behaviors)
- Central to many health care approaches in the U.S. and shaped the idea of "health promotion"



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY: PROMOTION V. PROTECTION

| | Health Promotion | Health Protection | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Focus | Individual lifestyle or | Economic, environmental, | |
| | personal behavior choices | physical and social | |
| | | determinants of health | |
| Typical | Providing knowledge and | Creating supportive | |
| Interventions | skills for changing unhealthy | environments at the individual, | |
| | behaviors | social and structural levels | |

Main consequence of this distinction:

 Most health promotion programs have focused on personal behaviors with limited attention to environmental challenges

"We Americans allowed ... lifestyle to be interpreted too narrowly as pertaining primarily if not exclusively to the behavior of those whose health is in question."



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY: A CONTEMPORARY VIEW

Tenets of personal responsibility:

- Individuals have control over their health related behaviors
- Individuals should be held accountable for the lifestyle choices that they make

Being "personally responsible for health" means:

- Leading a healthy lifestyle: Exercising, maintaining a healthy weight, and abstaining from smoking
- Being a good patient: Showing up on time for appointments, taking medications as prescribed, and fully disclosing your history and habits to your doctor
- Using hospital emergency rooms for emergencies only



BELIEFS ABOUT THE NEED FOR PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY



MOST COMMON BELIEFS

Belief #1:

Health care costs would decrease if people took better care of themselves by reducing engagement in negative health behaviors



Source: Kaiser Family Foundation. (2010). Distribution of Personal Health Care Expenditures by Source of Payment, 1998 and 2008. Retrieved October 1, 2010, from http://facts.kff.org/chart.aspx?ch=134 e.g., Smoking)



MOST COMMON BELIEFS

Belief #2: The "fairness" argument

People who engage in negative health behaviors should pay more for their care

Annual Medical Expenditures Attributed to Selected Chronic Conditions and Unhealthy Lifestyle Activities, 2005



Source: America's Health Insurance Plans. (2008). *A Shared Responsibility*: Advancing Toward a More Accessible, Safe, and Affordable Health Care System for America. Retrieved October 5, 2010, from http://www.ahip.org/content/default.aspx?docid=23427.



MOST COMMON BELIEFS

Belief #3: Existing evidence supports the importance of individual responsibility for health

Studies/Research

- "Actual" causes of death (modifiable factors, mostly related to lifestyle and behavior, e.g., tobacco, diet) directly related to individual behavior
- Several programs showed that it is possible to achieve improved health outcomes through individual behavior change
 - Alameda County Study and Stanford Coronary Risk Intervention Program (SCRIP)

Data (BRFSS, USA 2009)

 High rates: Obesity 26.9%; Physical Inactivity 25.4%; and Smoking 17.9%



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY **VIEWS: SHAPING** POLICIES AND PROGRAMS



TYPES OF PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY PROGRAMS

 Programs addressing personal responsibility for health have employed both positive and negative incentives to motivate behavior change

Positive incentives

- Removal of structural barriers such (e.g., eliminating or reducing high co-pays)
- Removal of attitudinal barriers through improved patient and clinician education and communication
- Direct rewards for desired behaviors such as cash payments or credits

Negative incentives

 Penalize people for failing to meet stated goals, through for example, loss of benefits



REASONS BEHIND POLICY CHALLENGES AND SUCCESSES

Policies Focus: Individual behavior modification ✓ Education and skills (e.g. counseling)



Policies in Agreement Focus: Population health ✓ Mandated vaccination ✓ Clean indoor air laws



Why did these policies succeed? "Direct harm" Policies Focus: Population health ✓ Taxes on sugared beverages ✓ Restricting "junk food in school" ✓ Menu labeling

Opposing

Why is this policy opposed? It fits with PR views



UNDERSTANDING PERSONAL BEHAVIORS IN A LARGER CONTEXT



SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH

"Sociologists describe 'health lifestyles' not as isolated individual choices, but rather as contextually embedded behavioral patterns ... Adults make choices every day that affect their health[, but] those choices are shaped by social circumstances that influence which options are available, as well as the meaning, value, and costs associated with them."



DETERMINANTS OF OBESITY

Personal behaviors are one piece in the full context of obesity





PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY IN AN APPLIED CONTEXT



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY CASE STUDY: WEST VIRGINIA

Mountain Health Choices (2006)

Divided eligible beneficiaries into two levels:

- Basic (Benchmark) Plan: Fewer benefits than traditional Medicaid, default categorization
- Enhanced Plan: Enhanced benefits, must sign and adhere to a member agreement (e.g., taking medication as prescribed, keeping all medical appointments), undergo a health assessment

 Focused on incentives and consequences for patient compliance with physician instructions and medical recommendations

| | CASE STUDY: WEST VIRGINIA | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Beneficiary compliance determines Medicaid benefits | | | | |
| | Benefit Description | MHC Basic Plan (default, non-compliant) | MHC Enhanced Plan (opt-in, compliant) | | |
| Adults – | Prescriptions | Limited – 4/month | Covered | | |
| | Chemical dependency, mental health services | Not Covered 🖊 | Covered, max 20 visits/year | | |
| | Tobacco cessation programs | Not Covered | Covered | | |
| | Diabetes education, nutritional counseling | Not Covered | Covered | | |
| Children | Prescriptions | Limited – 4/month | Covered | | |
| | Chemical dependency, mental health services | Covered (Prior Authorization Required), max 26/year | Covered (Prior Authorization Required) | | |
| | Inpatient hospital psychiatric services | Prior Authorization Required, max 30 days/year | Prior Authorization Required | | |
| | Vision services | Comprehensive exam, glasses max \$750/year | Comp. eye exam, glasses, contacts, vision training | | |

Source: The West Virginia University Institute for Health Policy Research and Mathematica Policy Research. (2009). Evaluation of Mountain Health Choices: Implementation, Challenges, and Recommendations. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from http://www.hsc.wvu.edu/wvhealthpolicy/reports/RWJ%20Policy%20Brief%20FINAL%2003.27.09.pdf.



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND HEALTH CARE REFORM

The Affordable Care Act alters the *practice* of personal responsibility programs in the US:

Benchmark plans must provide "essential health benefits," adhere to other Medicaid requirements

- Essential health benefits include mental health and substance use disorder services, prescription drugs, preventive care, wellness services and chronic disease management
- Other Medicaid requirements include transportation services, family planning services, care provided at rural health clinics and federally-qualified health clinics

Raises the minimum level of coverage for beneficiaries, regardless of their compliance



PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND HEALTH CARE REFORM

- ACA removes socioeconomic barriers to personal responsibility:
 - <u>4106</u>: Offers incentives for states to provide preventive services (e.g., diagnostic screening, vaccinations) to eligible adults in Medicaid without cost sharing
 - <u>4107</u>: Requires comprehensive tobacco cessation services for pregnant women in Medicaid
- ACA creates opportunities for personal responsibility programs:
 - <u>4108</u>: Grants for states interested in piloting *incentiveonly* personal responsibility programs for the prevention of chronic diseases among Medicaid beneficiaries



LESSONS TO BE LEARNED FROM MNT. HEALTH CHOICES

- Targeted incentives and disincentives toward compliance with medical instructions
- Did not address the broader social circumstances that contribute to unhealthy behaviors
- May have inequitably benefitted some groups more than other groups
- Beneficiaries may not be healthier long-term
- Implemented MHC without first using an evidencebased assessment process
- Unclear whether incentives were meaningful enough to affect behavior change



ETHICAL ISSUES RAISED BY MOUTAIN HEALTH CHOICES

- Should Medicaid be used for purposes other than health care coverage?
- Are all beneficiaries entitled to receive medically necessary care?
- Should the medical care of children depend on the compliance of their parents?
- What is the appropriate relationship between a physician and a patient?
- Could MHC lead to health inequities?
- Should beneficiaries be held accountable for circumstances outside of their control?



GOING FORWARD: SHARED RESPONSIBILITY



THE CASE FOR SHARED RESPONSIBILITY FOR HEALTH

"No one would [question] that, as individuals, we are responsible for our health ... [but] we don't live in a vacuum. Whether we like it or not, our thoughts, ideas, wishes and behaviors are influenced and conditioned by the people around us, by the environments in which we find ourselves ... Effective behavior change therefore requires that we do our best as individuals, but also that we work together with one another to create more healthful and supportive social environments."

Source: Syme, S.L. (1987). The importance of social environment for health and well-being. In R. Carlson & B. Newman (Eds.), *Issues and Trends in Health*. St. Louis, MO: C.V. Mosby.



THE CASE FOR SHARED RESPONSIBILITY FOR HEALTH

Behavior change requires individual commitment to health as well as societal collaboration to eliminate barriers



CHALLENGES THAT CAN BE ADDRESSED THROUGH SHARED RESPONSIBILITY

Food "Swamp"



Unhealthy Foods at School





Poor Physical Environment

Predatory Lending



Cheap Unhealthy Foods





SHARED RESPONSIBILITY -SUPPORTING RESPONSIBLE BEHAVIORS

Complete Streets





Healthy Food Options in Schools



Banking Opportunities



Affordable Healthy Foods







RESOURCES

- American College of Physicians. (2010). *Ethical Considerations for the Use of Patient Incentives to Promote Personal Responsibility for Health: West Virginia Medicaid and Beyond*. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <u>http://www.acponline.org/running_practice/ethics/issues/policy/personal_incentives.pdf</u>.
- America's Health Insurance Plans. (2008). A Shared Responsibility: Advancing Toward a More Accessible, Safe, and Affordable Health Care System for America. Retrieved October 5, 2010, from <u>http://www.ahip.org/content/default.aspx?docid=23427</u>.
- Blacksher, Erica. (2008). Carrots and Sticks to Promote Healthy Behaviors: A Policy Update. *Hastings Center Report,* 38 (3), 13-16.
- Brownell, K. D., Kersh, R., Ludwig, D. S., Post, R. C., Puhl, R. M., Schwartz, M. B., et al. (2010). Personal responsibility and obesity: A constructive approach to a controversial issue. *Health Affairs*, *29*(3), 379-387.
- Kaiser Family Foundation. (2010). *Distribution of Personal Health Care Expenditures by Source of Payment, 1998 and 2008*. Retrieved October 1, 2010, from <u>http://facts.kff.org/chart.aspx?ch=1349</u>.
- Kaiser Family Foundation (2010). Explaining Health Reform: Benefits and Cost-Sharing for Adult Medicaid Beneficiaries. Retrieved October 1, 2010, from <u>http://www.kff.org/healthreform/8092.cfm</u>.
- Minkler, M. (1999). Personal Responsibility for Health? A review of the Arguments and the Evidence at Century's End. *Journal of Health Education and Behavior*, *26*(1), 121-140.
- The West Virginia University Institute for Health Policy Research and Mathematica Policy Research. (2009). Evaluation of Mountain Health Choices: Implementation, Challenges, and Recommendations. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from http://www.hsc.wvu.edu/wvhealthpolicy/reports/RWJ%20Policy%20Brief%20FINAL%2003.27.09.pdf.



KANSAS HEALTH INSTITUTE



Information for policy makers. Health for Kansans.