Turning knowledge into practice

Developing questionnaires on underreporting of occupational injuries & illnesses: Results of cognitive testing

Presented by K. Kristina Peterson¹; Laura Flicker¹; Claire E. Dye²; Suzanne M. Marsh²; Audrey A. Reichard²; Larry L. Jackson² ¹RTI International (RTP,NC); ²National Institutes for Occupational Safety and Health/Division of Safety Research

Presented at The 139th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association Washington, DC • October 29–November 2, 2011 Phone 919-485-7722 • Fax 919-541-6604 • e-mail koelerson@rt.org

Two Questionnaires

www.rti.org

Questionnaires designed for two telephone surveys on the barriers for workers to report occupational injuries /illnesses:

- 1. Barriers Study: Barriers to reporting [NORA]
- 2. Congressional Study: Occupational injury and illness underreporting

ØRTI

Target populations

employed persons treated in Emergency Departments

- Barriers Study: work and/or non-work related injuries [src: National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS-Work)]
- Congressional Study: work related injuries and illnesses [src: NEISS All Injury Program (NEISS-AIP)
- age 20-64
- English-speaking
- survey-specific criteria (e.g., type of employment, work days lost)

Draft questionnaires

Drafts developed by NIOSH through:

- literature review
- subject matter experts
- pre-testing (Barriers questionnaire only) (n=6 workers)

ØRTI

Questionnaire content		
	Barriers to Reporting Study	Congressional Study
Injury/illness characteristics		•
Type of employment	•	•
Employment characteristics		•
ER reporting of current injury/illness	•	•
Work reporting	•	•
Medical coverage and return to work	•	•
History of chronic health problems		•
Hypothetical scenarios	•	
Demographic information (incl. industry & occupation)	•	•
5		ØRT

Cognitive testing

- RTI performed two types of cognitive tests:
 - Questionnaire appraisals by expert survey methodologists (RTI's Questionnaire Appraisal System – QAS)
 - Cognitive interviews with representatives of the target populations

Goal: improve validity and reliability of survey data

Cognitive interview procedures

- Subjects came from the target populations.
- Survey methodologists conducted the interviews by telephone.
- Interviews examined the thought processes that affect data quality, using "think aloud" and concurrent probing techniques.
- 9 interviews were conducted for each questionnaire.

ØRTI

Findings: Survey procedures

- contact information often proved unreliable
- very high % of out-of-service phone numbers
- mail contact must be from a recognized source
- people with non-work related injuries are not motivated to participate
- declining response rates to telephone interviews

ØRTI

Findings: Survey content

Workers were:

- willing to answer questions about their injury experience
- able to recall interactions with emergency room staff
- able to answer questions about their health status and their medical billing

Findings: Survey content

Respondents were confused about the meaning of some terms, e.g.:

- categorizing whether their ED visit was due to an illness or injury
- company/employer size; type of industry, occupation
- terms of employment (e.g., contract worker)
- "first day they felt well enough to go back to work after their injury"

ØRTI

Findings: Survey content

Cognitive tests also identified these issues:

- The reference period embedded in questions is a potential source of confusion.
- Asking questions about a future, hypothetical injury confused some respondents; others felt threatened.
- Some people had difficulty reporting their household income.

ØRTI

Implications

- Administer a chronological sequence of questions to enhance respondent recall.
- Provide definitions in a "Frequently Asked Questions" document for interviewers.
- Provide frames of reference throughout the questionnaire.
- Provide the right amount of information.
- Keep the interview short, and the questions simple!

Conclusions

- Cognitive interviews are a very valuable method for refining survey questions and identifying potential sources of response error.
- Fact-based questions are preferable to those based on hypothetical scenarios or vignettes.