Use of Laboratory Test Data to Describe Histoplasmosis Epidemiology in the United States

¹Department of Public Health, Walden University, 155 5th Ave S. Minneapolis, MN 55401; ²ARUP Institute For Clinical and Experimental Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, University of Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108; ³Department of Pathology, Utah, ARUP Laboratories, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City

Revised Abstract

Histoplasmosis can be a devastating invasive fungal disease caused by Histoplasma capsulatum. Twenty-six states are considered endemic for Hapsulatum, as defined using skin testing data collected from US military recruits between 1958-1969. Today, histoplasmosis surveillance is a notifiable disease in only 11 states, limiting our knowledge of histoplasmosis pidemiology. We explored the use of *Histoplasma* antigen laboratory test (HALT) data to describe the epidemiology of histoplasmosis. HALT data were extracted from the laboratory information system of ARUP Laboratories for the years 2007, 2008, and 2009. Data were cleaned and analyzed using version 9.2 of the SAS System (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). Positivity proportions and binomial distribution estimates (BDE) for HALT were calculated using exact binomial methods. We assessed the precision of histoplasmosis proportion estimates for each state using 99% upper confidence limits. HALT data were available for 45 states (n=31201) with TX, CA, OH, AR, and KY, constituting >15,000 tests. For the years 2007-2009, 14 states provided high resolution estimates (BDE<0.0573) of histoplasmosis prevalence. The states were ranked in order of prevalence. Most cases of is consistently occurred in the age group 40-49 years. This study was delimited to HALT data from a single reference laboratory. With complete laboratory data from all labs in the US, HALT data may be a useful source for histoplasmosis surveillance.

Introduction

Histoplasmosis is an invasive fungal disease caused by *Histoplasmosis capsulatum* associated with certain geographic regions, including the Ohio and Mississippi River valleys of the United States. The fungus thrives in soil with high nitrogen content, such as in regions of bird roosts and bat habitats. Upon ground disruption, fungal spores become airborne, subjecting humans and animals to infection through inhalation. After inhalation into the lungs, the fungus changes from a mycelial (i.e. mold) phase to a yeast form that can readily enter the blood stream and disseminate to any part of the body. Histoplasmosis is an opportunistic disease and immunocompromised individuals are at greater risk for becoming ill after exposure.

No universal histoplasmosis surveillance system exists for the United States. Some individual states receive reported cases and report annual summaries, but many of the endemic states do not track histoplasmosis cases. Nonendemic states would benefit from a histoplasmosis surveillance system as well, because of the high rate of travel and the high number of immunocompromised persons.

This study aims to describe the epidemiology of histoplasmosis using *Histoplasma* antigen laboratory test (HALT) data. The NIAID Mycoses Study Group states histoplasmosis cases are defined by laboratory confirmation (De Pauw et al., 2008). The HALT is the most common laboratory test used in conjunction with the clinical description to diagnose histoplasmosis.





Figure 1. Histoplasmosis exposure study using skin tests performed on US Navy recruits, ages 17-21, during the years **1958-1969.** This map was generated using the data published by Edwards et al. (1973) and shows the degree of positive proportions (% positive) of skin testing by state.

Methods **Study Period Data Sources**

Histoplasma Antigen Laboratory Test (HALT) Data Data Collection • HALT data were extracted from the database of ARUP Laboratories. a clinical reference laboratory

Data Analysis

Notifiabl<u>e Disease Data</u>

Data Analysis • Data were compiled into a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel, 2010)

Joann L. Cloud^{1,2}, Andrew Wilson², Marc Roger Couturier³, Talmage M. Holmes¹

Histoplasma Antigen Laboratory Test (HALT) Data

□ Study period includes years 2007, 2008, and 2009

- Patient identification
- Histoplasma Antigen Test Result
- o Age
- Gender
- Geographic location

• Data were cleaned and deduplicated using SAS, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA)

- Multiple patients one per year
- Mixture of positive & negative results- 1 positive counted
- Quality control eliminated
- Human data only (animals eliminated)

• Data were compiled into a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel, 2010) • Data were uploaded into the JMP Pro 9 software (SAS, Inc.) • Positive proportions (per state) were calculated

• Binomial distribution estimates (per state) were calculated • States with stable HALT data were determined

- Binomial distribution estimates weighted by total tests
- Cutoff established at upper 99% confidence limit

Individual cases were evaluated for positive proportions of HALT

- o Age
- Gender
- Geographic distribution

Data Collection

• States with notifiable disease data were identified using the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) website (http://www.cste.org/)

• Of these states, states in common with those with stable HALT data

were noted • Individual state department of health websites were visited for data collection

• Histoplasmosis counts and rates were recorded

- Total numbers of cases per year
- Rate per 100,000 population per year
- Rate by gender
- Rate by age

		HAL		
	Number of	Total	HALT	Binomia
	Positive	Number of	Positive	Distribution
State	HALT	HALT	Proportion	Estimat
AL	4	278	0.0144	0.6288
AR	59	2173	0.0272	0.5340
AZ	14	657	0.0213	0.5704
CA	58	4210	0.0138	0.5348
CO	6	373	0.0161	0.606
CT	1	39	0.0256	0.7358
FL	18	1230	0.0146	0.5622
GA	8	792	0.0101	0.592:
HI	0	83	0.0000	1.0000
IA	5	282	0.0177	0.616
ID	1	25	0.0400	0.7358
IL	34	1609	0.0211	0.5454
IN	9	593	0.0152	0.5874
KS	2	40	0.0500	0.676′
KY	25	1787	0.0140	0.5529
LA	6	314	0.0191	0.606
MA	14	1033	0.0136	0.5704
MD	0	38	0.0000	1.000
ME	0	68	0.0000	1.000
MI	8	489	0.0164	0.5926
MN	0	13	0.0000	1.000
MO	11	187	0.0588	0.5793
MS	0	6	0.0000	1.000
MT	0	4	0.0000	1.000
NC	5	714	0.0070	0.616
ND	0	17	0.0000	1.000
NE	17	755	0.0225	0.5640
NJ	28	350	0.0800	0.550
NM	4	390	0.0103	0.6288
NV	0	50	0.0000	1.000
NY	17	976	0.0177	0.5348
OH	42	2214	0.0190	0.5409
OK	1	84	0.0119	0.735
OR	5	386	0.0130	0.616
PA	3	186	0.0161	0.6472
SC	8	519	0.0154	0.5920
SD	5	238	0.0210	0.616
TN	21	1189	0.0177	0.557
ΤX	170	5496	0.0309	0.5204
UT	8	273	0.0293	0.592
VA	1	210	0.0048	0.7358
WA	2	37	0.0541	0.676
WI	14	746	0.0188	0.5704
WV	2	43	0.0465	0.676
WV	0	5	0.0000	1.000

Notifiable Disease Data

Reported Cases

	Number of Cases				
State	2007	2008	2009	Average	
IL	123	150	102	125	
IN	116	89	136	114	
MI	126	93	58	92	
AR	80	46	46	57	
MS	31	55	41	42	
KY	46	43	32	40	
AL	35	8	7	17	
DE	1	1	1	1	
PA	2	0	1	1	
WI	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MINI		NT A	NT A	NT A	

Bureau)



per State (2007-09) *Note:* *states with HALT data

Sandifer, T., & Goldoft, M. (2010). Capture-recapture method for estimating completeness of disease surveillance. *epiTRENDS*, 15(12). Retrieved from http://www.doh.wa.gov/ehsphl/epitrends/10-epitrends/10-12-epitrends.htm