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Background
• Genital HPV is most common STD in the US
  • 14 million males and females newly infected annually
  • Over 80% of sexually active women are exposed to the virus within 3-4 years after first sex
• Approximately 75% of sexually active individuals will become infected in their lifetime
HPV Vaccine Uptake

- Rates are low, particularly among adolescents
- Only 53% of female adolescents 13-17 received one or more doses of the HPV vaccine and only 34.8% completed the 3 dose series (2006-2011 NIS)
- Racial and ethnic disparities exist in uptake
  - African Americans are less likely to initiate and complete vaccine series
  - African Americans are more likely to become infected, develop cervical cancer, and experience mortality from cervical cancer

What is Needed?

- To meet the needs of those at greatest risk, evidence-based interventions are needed
  - Novel
  - Innovative
  - Culturally-tailored
  - Theory-based
  - Multi-component
  - Cost-effective
  - Acceptable and adaptable
  - Effective in enhancing the adoption of health promoting behaviors

Purpose of Study

- To conduct a randomized controlled trial to test the efficacy of a theory-based, multi-component computer delivered media-based intervention, Girls OnGuard
- Main objectives:
  - Evaluate the efficacy of Girls OnGuard, relative to health promotion comparison condition, in enhancing uptake of Gardasil
  - Evaluate the efficacy of Girls OnGuard relative to the health promotion comparison condition, in enhancing compliance with receiving second and third doses
Theoretical Framework: Application of IMB Model

Enhancing Information
- Awareness of HPV infection among African American girls
- Correlates of HPV infection and benefits of HPV vaccination
- Factors influencing HPV vaccination acceptability

Increasing Motivation
- Greater perceived susceptibility to acquiring HPV infection
- Higher perceived severity of HPV infection
- Perceived effectiveness of vaccine to prevent HPV infection
- Physician recommendation for HPV vaccination
- Familial acceptance of vaccination to prevent HPV infection
- Peer norms supportive of vaccination to prevent HPV infection

Introducing Behavioral Skills
- Modeling – observing same age, race, and gender adolescents obtaining vaccination
- Increasing confidence to obtain vaccination

Methods used to Develop Girls OnGuard

Formative Research
Theater Testing
Pilot Test

Intervention Development: Lessons Learned
- Formative data collected suggested:
  - Lack of understanding about HPV and vaccination
  - Open-minded and interested in receiving additional information
  - Inconsistencies among providers in terms of vaccine recommendations

- Theater testing revealed:
  - Dialogue edits and phrasing changes were needed to finalize content of media intervention
  - Information content was perceived to be useful, practical, and relevant

- Pilot testing indicated:
  - Participant acceptability of completion time
  - Content was realistic and interesting
  - Computer-based media intervention was relevant to age group
### Intervention Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment and Screening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- 2010 – 2012, 5 health clinics in Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Eligibility:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Self-identify as African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 13-18 years of age at time of enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unmarried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Seeking reproductive of STD services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Have not previously received HPV vaccine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provide written consent/assent and HIPAA when applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- ACASI (Audio-Computer Assisted Self-Interview) Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Randomization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Watch computer delivered intervention or comparison video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- All participants received standard-of-care counseling according to clinic protocol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Measures

- Quantitative data examined was collected using ACASI and medical chart abstraction 7-months post randomization

- ACASI measured:
  - Sociodemographics, sexual history, awareness of HPV and cervical cancer, perceptions about vaccines in general and the HPV vaccine, risk compensation, HPV vaccine acceptability, and normative beliefs

- Primary outcome:
  - Receipt of Gardasil vaccination
  - Completion of series

- Secondary outcome:
  - STI incidence during 7-months post randomization

### Analysis (N=216)

- Descriptive statistics evaluated distribution of participants with regards to variables assessed in ACASI and primary/secondary study outcomes

- Bivariate analyses were conducted to test variables for possible inclusion in regression models

- Confounding factors were also assessed

- SPSS version 21 was used to perform all analyses
Primary Outcomes (N=204)

- Primary (initial vaccination and completion of series):
  - Only 12% of study participants (n=24) received at least 1 dose of the HPV vaccine
  - Equal number of adolescents in intervention and control groups
  - Of those who were vaccinated, more intervention participants were compliant with the series than controls
  - Intervention participants received more total doses of the vaccine (26 vs. 17 doses), p=.12

Secondary Outcomes (N=204)

- Secondary (STD incidence):
  - More intervention participants tested positive for STDs (50.9%, n=29/57 vs. 34%, n=18/53), p=.075
  - Collectively, there were more positive STD diagnoses in the intervention group (54 positives) vs. the control group (47 positives) 7-months post randomization, these diagnoses were not mutually exclusive
  - There were no differences between the total number of positive STDs among individual participants in the two study conditions (M=.50, SD=.83, range 0-4 positive STI diagnoses per individual, p=.452)

Summary

- This study is among the first to evaluate a brief, computer administered culturally- and gender- tailored intervention to enhance Gardasil uptake among a high-risk population
- Demonstrated feasibility of computer-administered media-based intervention in clinical setting
- Although not statistically significant, we observed greater uptake of Gardasil among adolescents in the intervention condition
- Overall vaccine uptake was low
Summary Continued

- Unique to this study, we eliminated financial barriers and created a targeted intervention
- So, why was uptake so poor??? Million dollar question!
- There may be other salient barriers to HPV vaccination for this population

Implementation and Evaluation: Lessons Learned

Implementation

- Clinic-based interventions are feasible but traditional clinic hours are not totally conducive to reaching adolescents
  - Transportation issues
  - Interference with school
- Longer intervention may have been needed
- Factorial design to disaggregate components on the intervention could have been helpful
- More visual emphasis may have been needed from provider’s perspective to build trust and increase credibility

Evaluation

- Need tracking system in place to better monitor/evaluate vaccine doses outside of those at partnering clinics
- Rethink randomization procedure in order to help assure study groups are equivalent
- The addition of a follow-up assessment would have been both useful and beneficial
  - To help clarify what the most influential factor was for more intervention participants receiving vaccination
  - To understand what happened during provider visit
  - To know how perceived susceptibility changed post-intervention
Conclusions

- Feasibility of intervention was evidenced by high participation rate, 91%
- Acceptable to patients and clinic providers and can be implemented without adversely impacting clinic flow
- Must figure out how to monitor patient-provider interactions as this may have a profound impact on perceived susceptibility and whether adolescents elect to be vaccinated
- Further research is needed that elaborates on the methods from this study and expands on the duration of the intervention to truly enhance vaccine uptake in this vulnerable subgroup
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