

Slide 2



All speakers agree that neither they nor members of their immediate family have any financial relationships with commercial entities that may be relevant to their presentation.

Slide 3

Learning objectives

- 1. Describe the history of the Nevada Clean Indoor Air Act and its effect on precancerous oral conditions in Nevada youth.
- Compare differences and linear associations between oral lesions/enlarged lymph nodes in reported users and non-users of cigarettes/marijuana.
- Compare oral differences and linear associations between oral lesions/enlarged lymph nodes in reported subjects exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS).
 Discuss potential interventions to change the environment regarding adolescent cigarette and marijuana use.







Slide 6

Nevada Clean Indoor Air Act Nova YES ON 5

- During the 2011 legislative session lawmakers passed Assembly Bill 571 which made changes to the voter-approved act.
 Based on these revisions to the statute, stand-alone bars, taverns and saloons in which patrons under 21 years of age are prohibited from entering, may allow smoking.



Slide 8

Lifetime F Marijuana	Prevalenc Use	e of	<u></u>	
conditions and greate than tobacco.	er concentrations of	carcinogens		
Group		2009	2012	1
8th graders		15.7%	16.4%	
10th graders		32.3%	34.5%	
12th graders		42.0%	45.5%	
College students*		47.5%	46.6%	
Young adults (19-28	s)*	56.0%	56.3%	
*Numbers may over	lap in results.			

Slide 9

Purpose of Study

To determine significant associations between tobacco/marijuana use and precancerous oral conditions (oral lesions and enlarged lymph nodes) in Nevada adolescents.

Background

• Ongoing statewide school-based dental health screening initiative (2002 to 2010) known as Crackdown on Cancer

- Public and private middle and high-schools invited to participate.
- Funded by Master Settlement Agreement allocated to the Trust Fund for Public Health and the Fund for a Healthy Nevada.

Slide 11

Metho	ods			
• 31,306 sc from 200 went into	reenings o 6/2010 (Th b Law)	f adolescent e year the N	s between [.] Ievada Clea	13-19 n Air Act
Year and Total N-value	2006-2007 N = 7,290	2007-2008 N = 7,378	2008-2009 N = 7,064	2009-2010 N = 7,163
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Total Identified	288 (0.04)	632 (0.09)	365 (0.05)	428 (0.06)
Note: N=1713, wit enlarged lymph n	h 71 overlappin odes.	g cases; 826 with	oral lesions and 8	87 with

Slide 12

Methods

- Examinations conducted by licensed/calibrated dentists, hygienists, and dental hygiene students.
- •UNLV IRB approved this initiative to assure participant confidentiality and protection.
- Precancerous lesions were defined as any oral lesion and any enlarged lymph nodes not related to known disease.
- Tobacco use and ETS were self-reported.



Methods

•Descriptive (frequency/percentage).

- Percent Change (PC) when comparing an old value and a new value. Used to see changes from year-to-year.
 Percent Mean Difference (PMD) used to assess differences in percentages within each year on various demographic variables.

Slide 14



Tobacco By Type	and Marij	uana Use	2006-201	0,
				Year 4
Year and Total N-value	2006-2007 N = 7,290	2007-2008 N = 7,378	2008-2009 N = 7,064	2009-2010 N = 7,163
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Total Identified	288 (0.04)*	632 (0.09)*	365 (0.05)*	428 (0.06)*>
No Tobacco	25 (08.8)**	39 (06.1)**	74 (20.3)**	109 (25.5)**
Tobacco [£]	133 (46.1)**	139 (21.9)**	147 (40.3)**	173 (40.4)**
Marijuana	130 (45.1)**	454 (71.8)**	144 (39.4)**	146 (34,1)
*Percentage of t lesions/enlarged	otal screened; ** I lymph nodes; 티	Percentage of tho obacco includes c	se identified with igarettes, cigars	n , and chew.





Slide 17

Differen Females	ces betw , 2006 - 1	veen Males 2010	and	
				Year 4
Year and Total N-value	2006-2007 N = 7,290	2007-2008 N = 7,378	2008-2009 N = 7,064	2009-2010 N = 7,163
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Total Identified	288 (0.04)*	632 (0.09)*	365 (0.05)*	428 (0.06)*
Males	174 (60.4)**	364 (57.6)	234 (64.1)**	281 (65.6)*
Females**	114 (39.5)**	265 (41.9)**	131 (35.9)**	145 (33.9)**
*Percentage comp identified with le	outed out of the sions/enlarged	total screened; * lymph nodes	Percentage com	puted from those







ETS Exp	osure,	2006 - 2	2010	
				Year 4
Year and Total N-value	2006-2007 N = 7,290	2007-2008 N = 7,378	2008-2009 N = 7,064	2009-2010 N = 7,163
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Total Identified	288 (0.04)*	632 (0.09)*	365 (0.05)*	428 (0.06)*
Exposed	179 (62.2)**	603 (95.4)**	192 (52.6)**	234 (54.7)**
Not Exposed	109 (37.8)**	29 (0.05)**	173(47.4)**	194 (45.3)**
*Percentage of to lesions/enlarged l	tal screened; ** ymph nodes; SH	Percentage of tho 1S exposure was s	se identified with elf-reported	

_		 	 	
-				
-	 	 	 	
-	 	 	 	





Discussion

onal Cancer Institute and CDC. 2014

- Approximately 42,440 Americans will be diagnosed with oral or
- Approximately 42,440 Americans will be diagnosed with oral or pharyngeal cancer this year.
 Cause over 8,390 deaths, killing roughly 1 person per hour, 24 hours per day.
 Each year in the United States, more than 21,000 men and 9,000 women are diagnosed with oral cancer. Most are over 60 years old.
- Because of the HPV Virus, it is becoming more prevalent in adolescence. Depending on the source it has or will surpass tobacco as a risk factor.

Slide 23

Questions to Ask Patients Regarding Tobacco and Marijuana Use

1. Do you use any form of tobacco or marijuana?

- How much do you use?
 a) Tobacco (Number of cigarettes in a day/week)
 b) Marijuana (Number of joints in a day/week)
- 3. How long after you wake up do you have to use tobacco and/or marijuana?
- 4. Have you ever quit?
 a) If so, how long (<30 days, >30 days); what did you do to help you quit?
- 5. Are you interested in quitting?

Slide 24

Patient-Centered Counseling Model Strategies for Behavior Change

- 1. Motivational Interviewing
- Stages of Change
 Payoff Matrix
- ICR Readiness Ruler
- 5. 5A's Model
- 6. Value Cards
- 7. Reflective Listening

Principles	Goals
Express Empathy	Build Rapport
Develop Discrepancy	Elicit Pros and Cons
Roll with Resistance	Respect Patient Autonomy
Support Self-Efficacy	Communicate that the patient is capable of change

Slide 26

ACTION STEP	PS	
CONCEPT	DEFINITION	APPLICATION
PRE-CONTEMPLATION	Not considering possibility of change. Does not feel there is a Problem.	Goal: Raise awareness. Task: Inform and encourage. Validate lack of readiness.
CONTEMPLATION	Thinking about change, in the near future.	Goal: Build motivation and Confidence. Task: Explore ambivalence. Evaluate pros and cons.
PREPARATION	Making a plan to change, setting gradual goals.	Goal: Negotiate a plan. Task: Facilitate decision making.
ACTION	Implementation of specific action steps, behavioral changes.	Goal: Implement the plan. Task: Support self-efficacy.
MAINTENANCE	Continuation of desirable actions, or repeating periodic recommended step(s).	Goal: Maintain change or new status quo. Task: Identify strategies to prevent relapse.

F	PAYOFF MATRIX	(Example)
	Behavior (Drinking Alcohol)	Alternate Behavior (Quit Drinking Alcohol)
Pros Advantages	Helps me relax Enjoy drinking with friends Eases boredom and reduces depression	Feel better physically Have more \$ Less conflict with family, work, some friends
Cons Disadvantages	Hard on my health, including oral health Spending too much \$ Might lose my job Teeth will look bad and cause me to be uncomfortable	I'd miss getting that "buzz" What to do about friends who drink? How to deal with stress of not drinking?



Slide 29

1A	Assess - current behavior, importance of changing it, self efficacy, stage of readiness, social support
2A	Advise - clear, specific, and personalized behavior change, including information about personal health harms/benefits.
	Agree - collaboratively on the behavior to target (may be several), next steps based on stage of readiness
	Assist - self-help and/or counseling including goal setting and action planning to develop skills, confidence, support to achieve goals
5A	Arrange - follow-up (in person or by telephone) to discuss progress, barriers, adjusting plan

Slide 30

Discussion!

- 1. What do you think the impact on oral health might be with the legalization of marijuana?
- How can healthcare providers better address the risk of non-traditional risk factors for oral cancer (i.e., Hookah, HPV, marijuana, ecigarettes etc.) as they are growing trends in adolescents?



References

, P., Paulander, J., Lindhe, J. (1998). Relationship between smoking and dental 35, 50-, 65-, and 75-year-old individuals. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 25, do, C. A., Setouhy, M. E., Abdel-Aziz, F., Israel, E., & Mohamed, M. and moderate-to-heavy cigarette smokers in a rural area of Egypt: rey. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 11(2), 134-138. doi:

ntion [CDC]. (2002). Annua and economic costs—Unite *rt*, 57(14), 300-303. Retriev (mmwrhtml/mm5114a2.htr ntion [CDC]. (2008). Smoki ctivity losses—United State (2226-1228. Battieuwal form ial smoking ted States. attributable 995-1999. ed fron ng-attributable mortality s, 2000-2004. *Morbidity*

1228 R

Slide 32

References

h, E.S. (2 gh Scl ol and Yo

Slide 33

References

ey, P. M., Bao n, J.G., & Schulenberg, J.E. (2011). Monitoring the Future : Overview of Kev Findines, 2010. Ann Arbor: Institute for DA1 (2011) 64(3), 184-POTC Visit and Fin Oral He POTC Visit and Health Sta HO Report on the global tobacco epide ultre Report. Retrieved from 1_TFL_09.1_eng.pdf valence in tobacco h Stati nic 2009 use. Retrieved from

```
Slide 34
```

