Peruvian FSW Perspectives on Ethics-Relevant Experiences of Participating in an HPV Vaccine Clinical Trial

Brandon Brown, PhD, MPH, UC Irvine Celia Fisher, PhD, Fordham University Mariam Davtyan, MPH, UC Irvine Angela Bayer, MPH, PhD, UCLA



Aims of study

 Examine Peruvian female sex workers' (FSWs) evaluation of participation

Retrospective look

- social and health risks/benefits
- informed consent
- Incentives
- fair treatment

All following participation in a vaccine study

Phase 4 clinical trialQuadrivalent HPV vaccine

Rationale

- Participant post-trial trust, fears, and feelings not addressed in published lit.
 - Investigators fearful to find this out?
- Understanding participant wants/what works best helps us conduct appropriate studies
- Little known about participant perspectives on social value and personal risks and benefits of participation in HPV vaccine trials

Background-FSWs in Peru

- 18,000 FSWs in Lima
- Selling sex legal
- HIV and syphilis
 - requirement 3 months
 Not always practiced
 - One time 'card'
- High stigma
- higher risk of STIs



NUMBER FAILLIDGS. NUESTRA: NC. PRUBA PARA NV. JELIJSK. BALTINI. HTV: PRUBA CONFIRMATORA NV. NO REACTIVOCUMAN ALL INTERNATIONAL DE BA

Background-HPV vaccine

- HPV vaccine completion
- borders 30% among girls in the US
- Little to no data in Peru
- At the time, no previous studies of HPV vaccine completion in high risk groups
- We conducted a clinical trial of HPV vaccine with a highly exposed group
 - Female sex workers
 - Sunflower Study

Background-Original Study Details

Sunflower Study took place in 2009

- Longitudinal study of HPV prevalence
 - Cervical HPV DNA and cytology
 - HPV antibody testing
- a randomized trial of HPV vaccine
 - 2 schedules (0,3,6) or (0,2,6)-Merck vs GSK
 0,3,6 match with STI testing
 - □ All participants received vaccine
 - 92% completed all 3 doses

Background-Benefits

- HPV vaccine
 approved for use in Peru
- Condoms, lubricants
- Cervical cancer screening, wart removal, STI trtx, HIV testing
- LEEP procedure-cervix
- Small token of appreciation



GARDASIL

Research Design

- Retrospective look
 - participation in Sunflower study
- Mixed methods approach
 - Semi structured interviews
 - 1-2 hours
 - experiences and impressions
 - Quantitative survey
 - 5 min
 - Demographics and scale questions



Measures/Methods

- Quantitative Measures (survey):
 - Age, current status in sex work, income level, access to health care, continued cervical health care
 - Longitudinal HPV/vaccine knowledge
 - Scale questions
- Qualitative Measures (4 major areas):
 - Participant recruitment
 - Understanding of the study
 - Incentives given in the study
 - Long term impact of the study

Identifying Participants

- Recruitment strategy
 - Asked women who came to the FSW clinic we established at NGO
 - Did you participate in Sunflower study?
 - Small amount of cash to cover transportation
- IRB approval; Informed consent
 - UC Irvine IRB and the Impacta IRB approved study protocol and verbal consent process
 - No names/signatures recorded
 - Colors to keep track of individual responses

Results: Demographics/knowledge

- 16 total participants
 - mean age: 25.8 years
 - Education: High school only most common
- Mean age of first sex: 16.2 years
- Mean frequency of sex work: 5 days/week
 50 clients/month
- 93.75% agreed HPV is sexually transmitted
- 75% claimed to know of a vaccine that protects against HPV

Quantitative Results-study experience

Measure	Agreed	Disagreed
Study well described in consent	14	2
Participation is voluntary	16	0
Felt they could withdraw at anytime without loss	13	3
Enjoyed participating	15	1
Primary reason for participating was CC screening	16	0
Study should have paid us	3	13

Themes and Representative Quotes

- Balancing fear and trust
 - 'Yes I had a little bit of fear, but it seemed they were sincere people'
 - Red (regarding doubts about the study)
 - Yes, if my family found out where I work. I accepted because everything was coded'
 Lileo (regarding form about the study)
 - Lilac (regarding fears about the study)

Themes and Representative Quotes

- Gratitude and respect
 - 'In every visit they gave us gifts, incentives, and I felt important.....it was just right. My health was of more importance to me'
 - Green (regarding incentives)
 - Gifts, and I was grateful, and if they had not given me anything like this, I would have been grateful for keeping me in mind and making me part of the vaccines, that was enough'
 - Pink (regarding incentives)

Themes and Representative Quotes

- Fair treatment and dignity
 - ...their service was so friendly, for example, if you say that you work in a brothel or in any bar, you go to a regular hospital, they treat you very ugly, very ugly treatment. They don't look at you, and do not treat you well, however in Girasol (the Sunflower study), I was treated very well'
 - Lilac (on treatment)
 - 'If nothing else, they always treated me as a human'
 - Blue (on treatment)

Themes and Representative Quotes

- Becoming more visible
 - 'All sex workers should be in this.....there are a number of workers and female friends of mine who have not been able to have access (to vaccine and services). This is the case in Lima.
 Red (on advice for future studies)
- Abandonment and security
 - 'I was in a lot of pain, because I wanted to keep continuing with the treatments, with advice of the doctors I know and trust'
 - Pink (on feelings about hearing the study would end)
 'After it was finished, I thought that they would forget about me. I never thought that afterwards they would return to visit. Now that I know that is not true, that they are looking after me I feel a lot better'
 Red (on feelings after the vaccine trial ended)

Conclusions

- Participants generally content with all aspects of study and emphasized its non-coercive attributes
- Participants expressed gratitude for professional treatment despite normalized stigma
- Further work may be needed to reduce feelings of abandonment
 - navigation plans to properly transition participants out of research projects once studies have ended
- Need more research like this to ensure ethical treatment of research participants

Acknowledgements and Contact information Research funded by the Fordham University HIV and Drug Abuse

Prevention Research Ethics Training Institute/National Institute of Drug Abuse (R25DA031608-01; Director, Celia B. Fisher). •Thanks to the study participants and Epicentro Salud •Thanks to Alejandra Cabral and Luis Cendejas for translating the study materials and results

For questions contact Brandon Brown

UCI GHREAT initiative
 http://ghreat.uci.edu/