### Index hospitalization predictors of subsequent readmission for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia in North Carolina Medicare enrollees

Bryce A. Van Doren,<sup>1,2</sup> Joshua M. Noone,<sup>2</sup> Debosree Roy,<sup>1,2</sup> William Saunders<sup>2,3</sup>

### UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA at CHARLOTTE

### 1: Health Services Research PhD Program, 2: College of Health & Human Services, 3: Department of Public Health Science

Section 3025 of the Affordable Care Act established the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP), which has increased attention on hospital outcomes through reductions in inpatient prospective payments to hospitals with excess readmissions for select conditions (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2013). Readmissions have become a focus given excessive hospital readmissions are associated with poor quality (Berenson, Paulus, & Kalman, 2012) and higher costs (Friedman & Basu, 2004; Jencks, Williams, & Coleman, 2009). In addition, targeting readmissions helps to offset the unintentional incentive for hospitals to benefit from multiple admissions, as well as pressures hospitals to improve patient outcomes.

Starting in 2012 hospitals have been required to report 30-day readmission rates for three primary conditions, heart failure, myocardial infraction and pneumonia (with the list conditions and procedures to be expanded in future years). For the reporting period ending on September 30, 2013 (or the second year of the HRRP), the average penalty for North Carolina hospitals was 0.33% as compared to a mean penalty of 0.38% for all states (Rau, 2013). Of the 88 North Carolina hospitals included in the HRRP, 66% of them were required to pay penalties, which is consistent with the national average of the number of hospitals paying penalties per a state (Rau, 2013). While, North Carolina, as a state, is performing slightly better than the average state in terms of penalties, the fact that they are incurring penalties implies that 66% of NC hospitals have readmission rates for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia in excess of the benchmark establish by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare services. Given this focus on reducing hospital readmissions for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia to understand the factors which lead to increased odds of readmission.

Data from the 2010 North Carolina State Inpatient Database (Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality, Healthcare Cost & Utilization Project) were obtained and analyzed for this project. The State Inpatient Database includes a 100% sample of hospital stays at participating community (non-federal) healthcare facilities. The 2010 State Inpatient Database includes a unique patient identifier that allows patients to be tracked between hospitalizations; however, subsequent data-releases (2011 and 2012) have suppressed this patient identifier. To allow for 30-day follow-up with all patients, the dataset was restricted to index (initial) hospitalizations occurring between January and November 2010. Readmissions were identified as any admission occurring within 30 days. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate the frequency, length of stay, and cost of readmissions. Logistic regression was used to calculate the odds of readmission for various factors.

Frequency and cost of readmissions varied by condition. Proportionally, heart failure patients had higher frequency of readmission than acute myocardial infarction and pneumonia patients (16.04%, 11.94%, and 11.88%, respectively). As a result, the total cost of hospital readmission was greatest in heart failure patients than in acute myocardial infarction and pneumonia patients (\$128,423,156, \$107,338,858, and \$105,602,704, respectively); however, the mean cost of a single hospital readmission was highest for acute myocardial infarction patients (\$18,967.81 (SD: \$17,144.90)) than for heart failure (\$8,958.71 (SD: \$11,168.85)) and pneumonia (\$9,097.41 (SD: \$8,713.92)) patients. The average length of stay for readmissions was similar between conditions (approximately 5 days).

Readmissions led to significant health care resource utilization in 2010. These hospitalizations are expensive and, frequently, preventable. Notably, sicker patients are more likely to be readmitted. Increasing scores on the Charlson Comorbidity Index was associated with the greatest odds of readmission for all conditions. Additionally, Medicare enrollees under the age of 65 (i.e., the medically disabled) have significantly greater odds of being readmitted for all three conditions. There were no noted racial or gender disparities in readmissions. Thus, hospitals would benefit from targeting interventions (e.g., intensive follow-up with primary care physician, case management, and medical social work) at the sickest patients. In particular, the Charlson Comorbidity Index should be used as a predictive tool to identify which patients are most likely to be readmitted.



### **Corresponding Author:**

Bryce A. Van Doren, MA, MPA, MPH Doctoral Student, Health Services Research UNC - Charlotte UNCC 3058 Charlotte, NC 28223 (216) 367-2781 bvandore@uncc.edu



- infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia.



# **Index hospitalization predictors of subsequent readmission for acute myocardial** infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia in North Carolina Medicare enrollees

## Bryce A. Van Doren,<sup>1,2</sup> Joshua M. Noone,<sup>2</sup> Debosree Roy,<sup>1,2</sup> William Saunders<sup>2,3</sup> **UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA at CHARLOTTE**

1: Health Services Research PhD Program, 2: College of Health & Human Services, 3: Department of Public Health Science

**UNC Charlotte • 9201 University City, Blvd. • Charlotte, North Carolina 28223** 

Readmissions led to significant health care resource utilization in 2010. These hospitalizations are expensive and, frequently, preventable. Notably, sicker patients are more likely to be readmitted. The Charlson Comorbidity Index was associated with the greatest odds of readmission. Additionally, Medicare enrollees under the age of 65 (i.e., the medically disabled) have significantly greater odds of being readmitted for all three conditions. Thus, hospitals would benefit from targeting interventions (e.g., intensive follow-up with primary care physician, case management, and medical social work) at the sickest patients. In particular, the Charlson Comorbidity Index should be used as a predictive tool to identify which patients are most likely to be readmitted.

30, 2014, from h



## Discussion

• Readmissions resulted in 4,802 additional hospital admissions for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia, combined, in 2010.

• These readmissions led to 26,151 additional hospital days and cost in excess of \$341 million for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia, combined, in 2010.

• Younger age (<65 years) is associated with significantly greater odds of readmission for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia.

• However, advanced age ( $\geq$ 80 years) is associated with significantly lower odds of readmission for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia. This may be because these patients are more likely to be discharged to a nursing home or long-term care facility where they will receive additional medical treatment and monitoring.

• For all 3 conditions, higher scores on the Charlson Comorbidity Index significantly increased the odds of having a readmission.

• Race was not a significant predictor of readmission, with the exception of Other Race for heart failure. • Stays greater than 1 week were associated with significantly decreased odds of readmission for acute myocardial infarction and heart failure. Stays greater than 2 weeks were associated with significantly decreased odds of readmission for pneumonia.

• OR Procedures during the hospital stay decreased the odds of readmission in heart failure patients. • Patients admitted for acute myocardial infarction in the Piedmont Region of the state were more likely

to be readmitted than on the Coast. Geographic location (i.e., Mountains, Piedmont, and Coastal Regions) did not significantly change the odds of readmission for other conditions.

• There was not a significant difference in odds of readmission between Rural, Urban Non-Teaching, and Urban Teaching hospitals.

## Conclusion

## References

Berenson, R. A., Paulus, R. A., & Kalman, N. S. (2012). Medicare's readmissions-reduction program a positive alternative. New England Journal of Medicine, 366(15), 1364-1366.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2013). Readmissions reducation program Retrieved October ://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/Readmissions-Reduction-Program.html

Friedman, B., & Basu, J. (2004). The rate and cost of hospital readmission for preventable conditions. Medical Care Research and Review, 61(2), 225-240.

Jencks, S. F., Williams, M. V., & Coleman, E. A. (2009). Rehospitalizations among patients in the Medicare Fee-for-Service program. New England Journal of Medicine, 360(14), 1418-1428.

Rau, J. (2013). Armed with bigger fines Medicare to punish 2,225 hospitals for excess readmissions. *Kaiser* Health News. Retrieved from Kaiserhealthnews.org website