Monitoring the monitors: Assessing the implementation of performance management change after institutional reorganization in a health promotion agency
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Abstract

Monitoring performance management within a health promotion organization can be complex. This observational case-study demonstrates the use of methodological pluralism in assessing monitoring and evaluation. Concurrent with institutional reorganization, performance management changes included using the principles of RE-AIM (reach, effectiveness, adoption, implementation and maintenance) to plan and evaluate initiatives under a shared accountability framework. A series of workshops was held involving a quartet of agency program staff with two objectives: to achieve a common understanding of the principles of performance management, and develop their institutional indicators with cross-department input. These indicators were used to populate a summary framework of successes, challenges, opportunities, risks and evaluation (SCORE) to convey progress consistently to management monthly. Post-workshop survey found that 86% of respondents felt the objectives were achieved, with the educational component being found useful by the majority. Thematic analysis of survey responses indicated that simultaneous education by key decision makers was crucial to finalizing indicators. Participant observation brought out that concurrent resituarizing in various departments was holding back commitment to specific targets, in particular due to delays in communication between key agents both along and across hierarchies. In conclusion, establishing a common language for performance management that is understood and meaningful for public health was crucial in organizational change. Strategic capital residing in senior managers is critical to creation of inter-departmental networks. Iterative improvements rather than a static monitoring plan may be a more realistic solution to a dynamic intra-organizational Bourdieusian field.

Context

The Health Promotion Board is a national statutory board operating autonomously while being funded and reporting to the Ministry of Health. A complex reorganisation took place aggregating health domain-specific and population outcomes. Multiple departments with the aim of rationalizing oversight of key functions. An increased need for performance management resulted in the intra-organizational monitoring and evaluation (M&E) function becoming more prominent. We note that M&E plays a key role in bridging managerial (business performance) field with the professional (health promotion) field.

Objective

In recent years, the pressure of business performance reform in public sector organizations has accelerated due to competition for scarce government resources and demands for fiscal responsibility through evidence-based practice, including in Singapore. A pioneering study in this area examining Albertan cultural heritage sites was by Leslie Oakes et al using a Bourdieuian framework to describe the conflict arising from overlapping institutional fields and different forms of capital involved in interactions. One conflict highlighted was the disparity between professional (i.e. cultural heritage) and managerial priorities and language. We found these concepts relevant in our government health promotion context.

Method

While monitoring of organization-wide professional functions has clear advantages for strategic alignment and evaluation of progress, the monitoring of internal M&E activities may be neglected. We aim to evaluate the intra-organizational implementation of a new performance framework by a health promotion M&E team using mixed methods. Participation, satisfaction, and perceived utility were measured quantitatively. Qualitative methods were used to evaluate gaps, limitations and opportunities for improvement. This could improve further pedagogical iterations reinforcing the current performance framework or introducing new components within the same organization. Further, lessons learnt may be applied to M&E teams in other organizations.

Intervention

Pedagogical workshops for training health promotion planning staff

- Shared accountability of performance measures
- Using RE-AIM as a monitoring framework

Results

A total of 113 health promotion planning staff attended one or more of the workshop series, (approximately 25% of the organizational health promotion planning staff strength).

Post-workshop survey results

| Response rate | 56% |
| Test of difference in satisfaction | 3.5 (0.8) |
| Knowledge gained met expectations | 59% |
| Knowledge gained definitely or mostly useful/applicable to work | 76% |

Thematic analysis of answers to the open-ended question what was most useful about the workshop resulted in three motives:

1. The (novel) use of RE-AIM as a framework
2. Opportunity to discuss performance framework and indicators at length across health domain and population outcomes teams (10%).
3. Hands-on practice at indicator development from scratch with team criticism (7%).

The most common suggestion for improvement was the necessity for key decision making actors to be present.

Observation during the workshop brought out that inefficiencies lay in missing capital, both informational capital in terms of updated data and plans, as well as strategic capital of being of sufficient seniority to definitively comment on and discuss issues. Repeated opportunities for discussion between teams were required for plans to advance.
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