Examining Birth Outcomes Hotspots in Maryland

Andrew D. Williams, MPH Sandra Hofferth, PhD

University of Maryland School of Public Health



Presenter Disclosures

Andrew Williams, MPH

The following personal financial relationships with commercial interests relevant to this presentation existed during the past 12 months:

No Relationships to Disclose

Purpose of the Study

Geographic Variation of LBW Rates in Maryland



To identify hotspots of poor birth outcomes in Maryland.

Examine population characteristics of identified hotspots.

Discuss how programs and policies can be both geographically targeted and culturally appropriate.



SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SCIENCE

Research Questions

1. Do birth outcomes hotspots exist at the Census tract level in Maryland?

2. How do population characteristics differ between high and low birth outcomes hotspots?

Definitions

- LBW Rate: Births <2500 grams as percent of all live births.
- Hotspot: Tract in which LBW Rate of the focal tract is statistically similar to the surrounding tracts; clustering of LBW Rates (Queen Specification)



Methods



Data Analysis

- Global Moran's I (GMI) and Local Moran's I (LMI)
- T-tests compared hotspots with High Rates of LBW to hotspots with Low Rates of LBW
- Tracts with <100 total population excluded



SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SCIENCE

Global Moran's I Results

LBW Quartiles by Tracts in Maryland (n= 1388)



Local Moran's I Results

LBW Hotspots (n= 127) LMI > 0; p<.05





Results

Frequency of LBW Rates among Hotspots



DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SCIENCE

Results

LBW Hotspots (n= 127)



District of Columbia Area Hotspots

High LBW Hotspots 77.95% (n= 99)

Low LBW Hotspots 22.04% (n = 28)



T-Test Results

	High Rate of LBW Mean (sd) (n= 99)	Low Rate of LBW Mean (sd) (n=28)	t-value	F value		
Race/Ethnicity						
Percent White	12.66 (21.09)	85.09 (10.63)	24.79***	3.93**		
Percent Black	81.75 (24.07)	6.06 (7.14)	-27.32***	11.37***		
Percent Hispanic	1.99 (2.29)	3.78 (4.28)	2.12*	3.47***		
Percent Asian	1.39 (3.13)	3.64 (5.03)	2.25*	2.57**		



T-Test Results

	High Rate of LBW Mean (sd) (n= 99)	Low Rate of LBW Mean (sd) (n=28)	t-value	F value		
Socioeconomic Status						
Poverty Rate (%)	23.39 (16.27)	3.85 (3.27)	-11.17***	24.66***		
Female Headed	14.97 (8.75)	3.28 (1.77)	-7.01***	24.30***		
Household (%)						
≥ High School	79.38 (10.47)	94.50 (3.61)	7.49***	8.41***		
Education (%)						
Receiving Public	6.49 (5.91)	.53 (.69)	-5.31***	72.46***		
Assistance (%)						
≥ 16 Years Old	29.27 (14.97)	53.67 (13.96)	7.72***	1.14		
Employed (%)						

DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SCIENCE

Discussion

- 1. Do birth outcomes hotspots exist at the Census tract level in Maryland?
 - GMI suggested global spatial autocorrelation
 - LMI identified hotspot tracts with both High and Low Rates of LBW

- 2. How do population characteristics differ between high and low birth outcomes hotspots?
 - Hotspots with High Rate of LBW
 - Higher concentration of Black NH residents
 - Low SES across multiple indicators

Aligns with previous work in Maryland and across the United States



Implications

- Geographically targeted programs and policies
 - E.g. Maryland Health Enterprise Zones
- Racial/Ethnic concentration of area matters
 - Community based, culturally appropriate actions
- Low SES may indicate lack of access to resources
 - Data and community input to target resources
- Potential to improve birth outcomes and overall health of populations

